The Pyrocynical Files

If images too small to read use CTRL + [+] and [-] to zoom in/out

Archive this document and please help share it. Use catbox and internet archive or copy the document.

This document contains new information and debunks Pyrocynical's initial response.

Prologue

This document comprehensively goes over **numerous allegations** of Pyrocynical [Niall] **inappropriately engaging with 10+ minors while he was an adult,** some who are *significantly younger* than himself, **discussing sexual fetishes, sending pornography to minors which is illegal, sending fetish content and even discussing real life sexual topics**. While also addressing Niall's long history of lies and manipulation to downplay his actions. This document **substantiates** many of these allegations.

This document proves that Pyrocynical continued to engage in sexual erotic roleplay [ERP] with a **16 year old boy named Ivory Rasmus while he was 20** until they were 17 and he was 21 **while knowing their age**.

Pyrocynical engaged in sexual discussions and had continued to send furry pornography to Ivory from 2017 spanning to 2018 which is **illegal**, admitted to masturbating to NSFW art of the minors sona and also discussed the idea of commissioning NSFW art & fetish art of their sonas. *This was all after he was proven to have known that he was **16**.*
Pyrocynical and Ivory also discussed aspects of their sex life together. *This was after Pyrocynical had **reasonable suspicion to believe he was a minor.***
This document will also: **categorically debunk the misinformation surrounding Ivory Rasmus** 'lying about his age', and meeting Pyrocynical in an '18+ RP server' as both claims are **untrue and have been proven false**.

- This document will prove that **Pyrocynical was on Twitter during the time period that he claims he was not** and **was actively engaging with Ivory Rasmus' tweets**, proving his plausible deniability alibi to be false and proving that **he actively lied to cover for himself.**

- This document will also cover the numerous **red flags** that **Niall probably knew Ivory was underaged long before he claims**, due to Ivory telling Pyrocynical he was at **school** and would have needed to use a **fake ID** to get into an 18+ bar. With Pyrocynical even referring to Ivory as a "**child**" and "**smol boy**".

- This document will cover how Pyro **dated a girl named Louanne when she was 14 years old** while he was 18 in 2015 and stated he would wait for her to turn 16 (the age of consent in the UK) to then engage in a proper sexual relationship with them. This document also covers numerous examples of Pyro **lying about the relationship he had with Louanne** and **lying about the age gap** to manipulate his fanbase.

- This document will cover how Pyro was much closer to Ida and Ivory than he claimed in his response video and also *allegedly* **commissioned Ida when they were 16 - 17 years old at the time to create NSFW furry fetish art** while he was **19 - 20**. [*In regards to the timeframe it lasted long after they were a minor*]

- In reference to the multiple other allegations of how Pyrocynical sexually messaged minors significantly younger than himself while he was an adult, the allegations go as follows;

- Pyrocynical allegedly sent furry fetish content to, and discussed sexual fetishes with a **15 year old girl** when he was **19** in late September 2016.

• Pyrocynical allegedly sent NSFW images and allegedly sexually messaged TrippyFox, a **15** year old fan / associate of his in 2016 when Niall would have been **18/19**.

• Pyrocynical allegedly sent NSFW images to a **15** year old fan of his which he followed named Turk in December 2015 while he was **18**.

• Pyrocynical allegedly **discussed NSFW fetish art** and **exchanged fetish art** with Assault who was **16** and an associate of his in October 2017, while he was **20**.

• Pyrocynical allegedly **sent furry fetish content** to a **16** year old fan named Ken whilst he was **19** during December 2016.

• Pyrocynical **allegedly groomed** a **15** year old boy when he was **19**, and had them make fetish art for him.

• Pyrocynical was proven to have sent furry fetish content to, and discussed sexual fetishes with a **15 year old boy** named Saku when he was **19.** Pyrocynical then also went on to **send fetish content** to **two 16 year olds** in a group chat with Saku, while he was **19** in February 2017.

• Pyrocynical allegedly got a **14** year old minor named Mewibos to create fetishistic pornographic art for him on Skype while he was **19** in 2016. This is an allegation levied by numerous knowledgeable individuals.

• Pyrocynical is friends with Sive Morten who was **publicly making sexual jokes to a minor named SolidShibe** who was significantly younger **(16)** and then editing his face onto pornographic images, while he was **21** in 2016.

• Pyro **knew that Vagabonds [Memecorp], a 19 year old YouTuber, had sex with a 15 year old** and covered it up nonetheless and **never publicly mentioned the name of the abuser**.

• This document will cover how Pyrocynical was close friends with Seylel who was a self admitted lolicon and **prolific pedophile who had sex with a 15 year old girl in Estonia when he was 19. Seylel also allegedly was in a relationship with another minor.**

• This document will address how Pyrocynical knew that Louanne, the 14 year old girl he dated, was dating a 23 year old man named Ancient Reality [Mick], shortly after their own relationship, and did nothing to call the large age gap dynamic out regardless, instead **Pyrocynical remained friends with him months after knowing about the relationship.**

• This document will highlight Ida's egregious history of **scamming thousands of dollars** from clients and Pyrocynical doing nothing to reimburse them. **Ida has continued not to pay clients to this day.**

…and finally, cover Pyrocynical's interactions with pedophile artist Shadman, over the course of July 2016 to July 2018, after it was already known that he drew loli content & CSAM material.

Pyrocynical has managed to get away with his actions up until now by consistently **lying** to his fanbase in his response video and underplaying his behaviour severely. **Pyrocynical relies on his fanbase to harass victims** and have them consistently excuse, belittle and play down his actions. This document will call out members of his fanbase for **attacking victims** and will also **debunk** many of the false points used to defend Pyrocynical.

The Ivory Rasmus Situation

People believe that Pyro never knew the age of Ivory during the time they messaged each other. This is **false**. Pyro admitted in his own response video that he apparently "learnt" of Ivory's age when he sent a twitter link showing his profile which showed that he was **16**, as of **July 5th 2017**. Pyro at this time would have been **20**, and in this same video **he established that once apparently learning of his age, he continued to ERP with Ivory and send him furry fetish pornography**. Ivory's age did not dissuade him. The only argument presented by Pyro was that he "didn't know Ivory was 15", pre-dating July 5th 2017 when he had sent Pyro his twitter profile with his age. Despite Ivory disclosing that he was 15 on Twitter numerous times, Pyro claims that he was suspended so he could not access the platform during the time therefore he did not see Ivory's Twitter account or tweets. However, as you'll come to see in this document provided with screenshot and video proof, this turns out to be a **lie**. Furthermore there is **no proof** that Ivory ever lied about his age being 18+ or originally met Pyro in an 18+ furry discord server and the original contributors to the claim **went back on it**. Pyrocynical also stated in his response video that Ivory **never** lied about his age to him. The claim that they met in an 18+ discord server is debunked and proof will be shown in this document.

Pyrocynical acknowledging that he knew that Ivory was 16, yet continued to ERP with him.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **9:22 - 9:48**
Transcript: 'In retrospect, I never should have continued the furry stuff with him **once I learned of his age**, even though **at the time I didn't see it as a problem**, legally age of consent is 16 in both my country and his state. **I didn't consider the age gap significant enough** because what we were talking about and doing was so far removed from actual sex'



Ivory clearly made his age known to Pyro on **July 5th 2017**, beyond that point, Pyro knew that Ivory was 16, and **<u>continued</u>** to sexually message him. The idea that Pyro did 'not know', beyond this point is false, the only argument that stands was that if Pyro knew the age of Ivory beforehand when he was 15, which we will address later.

In regards to the age gap, Pyro was born on **May 14th 1997**. Ivory was born on **April 21st 2001** as he is 23 turning 24 this year. This means there is a **3 year 11 month age gap**, essentially a **4** year age gap between them. In Pyro's My Response he attempts to downplay the age gap and use UK and Pennsylvania age of consent laws to justify why he had continued to engage sexually with a 16 year old when he was 20. The fact he admitted that he didn't consider a 4 year age gap **'significant enough'**, was already a red flag.





As specified, Ivory Rasmus was born on **April 21st 2001**. He is 24 years of age as of the creation of this document.











When Ivory had linked his Twitter profile to Pyro which had his age present in the bio, Pyro claims this was the 'first time', he acknowledged Ivory's actual age. This was on July 5th 2017 as discussed earlier.

If we are to assume that this is true, and Pyrocynical somehow didn't know earlier, Ivory would have been 16 years 2 months old, and Pyrocynical would have been 20 years 1 month old. Even if Pyrocynical didn't know the exact birthdate of Ivory, acknowledging that he was 16 and roughly 4 years younger would be enough for anyone to cut contact or scrub their

socials for an exact birthdate. Pyrocynical, as we have discussed, did not cut contact and instead **continued** to be sexual with Ivory. Since Ivory made his age known on July 2017, this was after the time period where Pyrocynical claimed he was suspended, [26th December to 27th June], meaning he had ample opportunity to access and monitor Ivory's Twitter account, filter through his tweets and come to terms with his birthday and age in the past, it really was not hard to do so. It's already a massive red flag that he continued to sexually message a teenager while being an adult and using age of consent laws to justify the age gap, but it is even more unusual that Pyrocynical didn't chronologically look back at his messages with Ivory, come to terms with that fact that **even** if he didn't know the age; he still sexually messaged him when he was 15, and **cut him off** there and then. The fact he not only continued, but kept this situation on the low until Ivory came out about it in 2020 are major flags that went under the radar for many people which does a disservice to how disgusting the situation was. Keep in mind what is listed is only the **best possible situation** for Pyrocynical, if indeed his response that he ''didn't know Ivory was 15'', was true. However, as will be discussed later, Pyrocynical's alibi turns out to be a **lie**.

Now I wish to dismantle the argument that Pyrocynical was not aware of the age gap between him and Ivory after he continued to sexually message Ivory after learning that he was **16**, when he himself was **20**. This can be immediately discredited by the fact that **Ivory made his birthday known to Pyrocynical and was referencing it in late April - Early May**. This meant when Pyrocynical claims that he came to terms with Ivory's age in July 5th 2017 to which the age 16 was present in the bio, he would understand that he just recently turned 16 as of 2 months prior. This effectively meant that **Pyrocynical knew there was about a 4 year age gap between them**, but nevertheless he **continued** to inappropriately message Ivory.







Ivory is seen specifying to Pyrocynical in dms about drama he was having with Twitter artist Eli during April 21st 2017, which was his 16th birthday. He shows twitter private messages **dating back to the time**, and had specifically referenced to Pyrocynical in DMs that the day was his **birthday**. So therefore there **cannot** be any excuse that Pyrocynical did not know his date of birth at the very least. So if we are to actually believe that Pyrocynical only knew of Ivory's age when he linked his Twitter profile with age present in the bio on **July 5th 2017**, then he would have come to terms with the fact that he just recently turned 16, and that he was 15 beforehand. This would therefore be very much criticisable, because in the best case scenario, **Pyro would have knew that Ivory just turned 16**, and yet instead of cutting them off like many Pyrocynical fans have deluded themselves into thinking, he instead continued to inappropriately engage with him at the age of 20.



Ivory was also seen in December making his birthday present; April 21st. Keep in mind Pyrocynical had followed his account in Nov/Dec 2016 before he was suspended, but was still actively looking through his tweets during his suspension period, *which he conveniently does not address*, but we will cover in the **Pyrocynical Lied** segment of this document. Ivory was evidently **open** about the date and month of his birth.

Proving it was Pyrocynical

Google drive link of Ivory's dms with Pyrocynical; IMG_1132.m4v - Google Drive **[NSFW warning]**
Twitter thread of Lumi comprehensively going over the video logs and analyzing the discord UI to prove the messages are real and untampered with. The Twitter thread also proves how the discord tag of the deleted account that messaged Ivory was the owner of Pyrocynical's previous discord server. https://twitter.com/lumi_cx/status/1323302751678263296?s=20



The account that Ivory Rasmus [lovebird] was messaging, had the tag '**Deleted User 49d1e8c5#9982**'.



The deleted user present had the discord User-ID of **108354332730105856.**





As provided in [Ivory's evidence screenshots on Imgur], the same deleted user which Ivory was messaging, **bore the exact same discord user ID as Pyrocynical's account** which owned his discord server back in 2018. The account is seen having owner role and having the tag 'lol#9982', after the account was deleted it became '**Deleted User 49d1e8c5#9982**'.



Provided in the same [Imgur link], Ivory provides video proof of Twitter mobile direct messages he had with Pyrocynical's current girlfriend being Ida, filmed in 2020 shortly after his initial callout post on Niall [Pyrocynical].
In the conversation exchanges, Ida victim blames Ivory claiming that the age gap dynamic wasn't even 'that bad' and that he 'wasn't innocent either', her messages to Ivory cement the

fact that Niall did in fact message Ivory and that was his account, she did not deny that fact whatsoever. According to Ivory, **he states Niall had a repeated pattern of behaviour where he behaved inappropriately around other minors such as Assault who was apparently 15**. We will go into more detail in regards to these dm exchanges later, this is included in this specific segment to add more validity to the fact that the deleted user account that was messaging Ivory was in fact Pyrocynical.







[GIF 1] **[GIF 2]** **[GIF 3]**

[GIF 1] Ivory is seen accessing an old defunct group chat which contained the deleted accounts of both Ida and Pyrocynical. In the video he provided, he clicks on Pyrocynical's deleted account and opens the direct messages he had with Niall on that account, showing the most recent dms he had with Pyrocynical, however as you can see, Pyrocynical had purged all his messages. The video shown is over 3 minutes and is provided on this google drive link.
[GIF 2] Ivory is seen showing his oldest messages with Pyrocynical, showing the same discord tag which had the same discord ID as the account which had the owner role in Pyrocynical's discord server. As seen in the gif, Pyrocynical's messages were still present, this is because

Pyro wiped his messages with Ivory from 2019 all the way back to early April 2017, the reason for why the older messages have remained is unknown.
[GIF 3] The video provided by Ivory shows him quickly scrolling through messages, so that is why most screenshots shown throughout this document are lower quality, however as proven in the video, they are 100% authentic and Pyrocynical admitted in his own response video that he did message Ivory with this account. The gif shows a slowed version of Ivory scrolling through his suggestive erotic roleplay with Pyrocynical when he was 16 and Niall was 20. This was in September 2017, which is after Pyrocynical knew Ivory's age.

Debunking the misinformation regarding Ivory

This segment is created to once again clarify the situation and to debunk any misinformation that may be generated when this situation is being opened up and discussed again.

In order to discredit the situation and to drastically vindicate Pyrocynical and alleviate him of culpability in this situation, there is a massive public consensus online that Ivory had directly lied about his age to Pyrocynical. This is completely false, unsubstantiated and untrue. Pyrocynical attests in his own video that **Ivory never lied about his age**, he was just unaware of him being 15 at the time.



Pyrocynical; **'Me including the anecdotal evidence led to the belief that Ivory lied about his age, <u>that is never a claim I have made that he has done to me</u> I've only said that I did not know his age at 15.'**
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **13:50 - 14:00**
Another lie created was that Pyro apparently never knew the age of Ivory throughout their entire message history together. This is yet another lie.





Pyrocynical: 'When Ivory linked me his Twitter profile, **the age bio says 16**. **This is something I acknowledged in my reddit post** but to be fair I probably didn't elaborate enough on it. In retrospect I never should have continued the furry stuff with him once I learned of his age even though at the time I didn't see it as a problem legally age of consent is 16 in both my country and his state **I didn't consider the age gap significant** enough because what we were talking about and doing was so far removed from actual sex'.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **9:22 - 9:48**

SolidShibe's discord server

Another big lie which is oftentimes used to downplay the severity of the situation and to shift blame on to Ivory, was the claim that Pyrocynical and Ivory met up in an 18+ NSFW roleplay discord server, this unsubstantiated claim is then used to claim that Ivory then must have lied about his age being 18+ to access the channels and the server, therefore making Pyrocynical

innocent as he would be under the guise that Ivory was an adult. **This is yet again completely false**.
Pyrocynical and Ivory originally met up in SolidShibe's discord server who was an associate of Pyrocynical. It was NOT an 18+ RP server as SolidShibe himself was **15 years old** at the time. The server contained **numerous minors** in it. For context, SolidShibe was a very well connected influencer during the time of 2016-2018. Despite him having removed his YouTube channel and having Twitter consistently suspend his accounts, by looking at archived videos containing screenshots of his accounts, it was clear to see that he was a large figure present in the community at the time. Being associated with **SiveMorten** who went on to edit for PewDiePie 3 years later, and SolidShibe was at the time, an *alleged* editor for Pyrocynical.



[LEFT], as presented in videos dating back to 2016, it shows archived screenshots of SolidShibe's now deleted YouTube channel, showing that he had Pyrocynical's channel on the featured section, with the title 'Great people'. His channel is seen to have over 12k subscribers.



[ABOVE], SolidShibe in archived screenshots presented in the 2016 video, is [seen to have a large following on Twitter at the time] especially for 2016 standards, with over 1.4k followers. He is also seen making videos with Sive, who had a lot of connections at the time and went on to edit and create videos for PewDiePie. Sive is also someone who was close friends with Niall [Pyrocynical] and was a talented video editor.





To demonstrate how close SolidShibe and Pyrocynical were, 6 years ago as presented in a reddit post; [Wholesome picture I found of Papa Pyro : r/pyrocynical]
You can evidently see SolidShibe on the right side of a group photo containing Pyrocynical and his ex-girlfriend Squizzy. Sive is also present in the image at the bottom lefthand corner.



Ivory is seen attesting that he had originally met Pyrocynical in SolidShibe's discord server in which was extremely popular and active at the time.
Ivory is also seen specifying the fact that SolidShibe was actively editing for Pyrocynical and that he was **15** years old at the time, the same age as Ivory.
Ivory was also seen stating that SolidShibe was friends with him, this can be backed up by the fact Ivory was given admin permissions in the server for a certain amount of time.

This is proven with archived tweets showing that SolidShibe looked obviously underaged.





This is proven with archived tweets showing that SolidShibe looked obviously **underaged**.
https://x.com/wolfborgg/status/7747661146435993607s=46

TrippyFox, an important figure who we will cover later, and someone who was involved in SolidShibe's friend groups and **was friends with Thomas himself**, was seen stating that SolidShibe was **15** in reply to SiveMorten;
https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/747939730411642882

Not once any circumstances did Pyrocynical ever claim in his response video that he met Ivory in an +18 furry RP discord server. The claim was completely unsubstantiated and because no one was willing to prove that it was false, the rumour was allowed to spread unchallenged and unmitigated.

KrasPootis was present in SolidShibe's discord server at the time, and **they attested how Pyrocynical and Ivory had originally first crossed paths in SolidShibe's server**. The idea that they met up in an 18+ discord server was completely unfounded and false. Also the possibility that SolidShibe's server had NSFW channels is a problem in itself because SolidShibe was said to be 15 at the time, so it would have been a case of edgy teenagers allowing pornographic memes to be sent, and at the time seeing no problem in it.

The misinformation that Ivory and Pyrocynical originally met up in an 18+ discord server originally from the commentary YouTuber Diesel Patches made a video titled "Update on Pyrocynical Allegations", in which he gave Pyrocynical the benefit of the doubt with this theoretical assumption.

However what many people neglect to bring up, is in his follow up video addressing the allegations, Diesel Patches established the fact that the claim was untrue and unsubstantiated. Archived reuploaded video of Diesel Patches; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=duFhYRfe9gI 0:33 - 1:10

Diesel Patches; **“Before I talk about the new updated version of the Pyrocynical allegations, I need to address a comment I made in my last video. So on my last Pyro video I made the assumption that Pyro and Ivory came from an 18+ community. The reason why this is an issue is because some people treated that as a fact because I didn’t clarify enough that my comment was merely an assumption. The reason I assume this is because I wanted to give Pyro the benefit of the doubt but it doesn’t matter anymore because after looking at the new updated allegations it’s pretty clear that he is in the wrong, so again please refrain from using that as the number one defense for Pyro. As far as I know, there isn’t any proof that Pyro and Ivory came from an 18+ server.”**

Unfortunately many people pushing forward this claim did not watch Diesel Patches second video clarifying the situation so it eventually became the new common consensus among people despite it simply being untrue, and having no basis behind it whatsoever.





As highlighted in Turkey Tom’s video, KrasPootis had circulated a video filmed originally in 2016 of SolidShibe’s discord server, where Ivory was jokingly singing about ‘e-fame’. This video was spread maliciously to discredit Ivory as a ‘clout chaser’, as if that suddenly invalidated the claims made against Pyrocynical. This was an example of an ad hominem point





[As presented in the video](), Pyrocynical is seen in the discord VC in SolidShibe's server, when Ivory was singing. Ivory and Pyrocynical have been highlighted with a red box, Pyrocynical is high ranked in SolidShibe's server with ownership role, and went by the discord name 'lol'.





As seen in the bot screenshots shown earlier, the same name lines up with the server owner of Pyrocynical's discord server in 2017 being **'lol#9982'**.





To add more credibility to Ivory's claims, not only has it been confirmed by witnesses present in SolidShibe's server at the time, **that Pyrocynical and Ivory originally met up in the server**, but Ivory's claim that he was 'friends with SolidShibe', can be attributed to the fact that he was a high rank in the discord server and had admin.



<< As seen, the video KrasPootis recorded of Ivory singing with Pyrocynical on call, was taken on **November 11th 2016**. **Ivory would have been 15.**



DM's between both Ivory and Pyrocynical were initiated first on **November 10th 2016**, when Ivory reached out to Pyrocynical first, linking his YouTube channel and his music on November 10th 2016, **1 day before the video emerged of Ivory singing in call with Pyrocynical and numerous other discord users**. Ivory is again seen in Twitter DM's to a commentary YouTuber, attesting that he met Pyrocynical on SolidShibe's discord server.





In August 11th 2016 when [the original Twitter video was made by stefoni_vi](), Ivory was clearly seen being an active member of the discord server, he posted that he just reached 40 subscribers, and was seen with an already relatively high rank in the discord server.
At the time, Ivory went by the account alias ‘Ivory 463’, and on Twitter his alias was Ivory446633. This video proves that Ivory was an active member of the discord server and friend circle, months before Pyrocynical joined in November 2016, and then dms between the two initiated.



In further videos found on Twitter of SolidShibe's discord server, in a Tweet made on January 22nd 2017, Ivory is seen having a high discord rank, below Ivory's discord profile, you can clearly see KrasPootis present too, who was someone originally who came out in 2020, accusing Ivory of being a clout chaser and had leaked the 'e-fame' joke video, however attested that Pyrocynical and Ivory originally met up in the SolidShibe discord server.



This further adds more credibility to Ivory's side of the situation. Furthermore, to dismantle the argument that there were 'nsfw channels so therefore it was an 18+ server', as presented in numerous archived videos of SolidShibe's discord server, the voice channels were filled with minors and underaged teenagers with edgy humour.
It was one of many cases of a discord server with an edgy community of teenagers who posted Nazi symbols, 'memes' regarding Columbine, as well as instances of NSFW content. That does not somehow mean I am justifying or playing down the situation and it certainly does not mean everyone who was on the server was above the age of 18, because that simply was not the case.

This entire segment of the document has been created to explain how the rumour that Pyrocynical and Ivory 'met up on a 18+ RP server', is completely untrue and was never substantiated with any proof whatsoever. It was one of many lies used by members of Pyrocynical's fanbase to undermine the credibility of Ivory and to play down the severity of the situation. The misinformation needed to be clarified before we continue with the messages as to not allow any excuses to be derived.





As you can see via these archive logs of the SolidShibe discord server, the humour was extremely edgy and that was reflective on how young some of the users were who were present in it at the time. It was certainly not a server catered towards adults.





In a tweet created on April 14th 2017, a user is seen telling SolidShibe to not have given Ivory admin. You can clearly see Ivory and SolidShibe present in a call together, with many users in separate calls having their names changed to ‘uwu’. This further adds credibility to Ivory’s story that he and SolidShibe were close friends, because not only were they on call together, but Ivory also had admin permissions.

So for clarification, Pyro and Ivory met in SolidShibe's discord server, not a 18+ furry RP discord server. SolidShibe was a well connected YouTuber at the time and had cultivated a following of edgy teenagers who were also largely supporters of Pyrocynical. Ivory did not lie about his age to Pyro under any circumstances. Even Pyro attested that Ivory never lied about his age to him directly. Furthermore Pyro did end up admitting in his response video that he knew the age of Ivory when he linked his Twitter bio clearly showing himself to be a minor [16], and yet he continued to sexually roleplay with them regardless and send them pornographic material, while he was 20.



The sexual conversations

In Pyrocynical's response video, he does his best to attempt to **belittle** the nature of the conversations he had with Ivory, completely **underscoring** the sexual depravity and seriousness of the situation as either 'furry stuff' or 'furry roleplay'. If any other YouTuber had openly admitted to sexually messaging a **16** year old when learning of their age and being **20** at the time, they would be completely ostracised from the platform. Somehow though, Pyrocynical has managed to be consistently defended and his behaviour has been belittled despite the fact that video logs of the messages are still present and accessible to this day proving the nature of the conversations to have a lot more sexual basis to them than just 'cringe furry roleplay'.

Pyro trivialising the situation as just 'furry stuff' and establishing how he continued once learning Ivory was 16, mentioning how he wasn't dissuaded from the age gap :
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **9:30 - 9:37**
'In retrospect, I never should have continued the **furry stuff** with him once I learned of his age, even though at the time I didn't see it as a problem'

Pyrocynical underplaying the tone of the messages as 'furry roleplay garbage';
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **21:16 - 21:26**
'Now I do want to directly say something to Ivory and apologize to him and to anyone else that I did the **furry role play garbage** with what seemed consensual and reciprocal may very well not have been'

Pyrocynical claiming the messages 'never escalated', and once again belittling the nature and topic of the conversations in an unserious manner to detract the value of the situation as just 'furry fart discussion';
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **14:11 - 14:16**
'but this **never escalated** from two morons talking about inhaling furry farts'

Pyrocynical purged messages

In archived dms with Ivory in which will be presented, Pyrocynical had **systematically wiped** his dms specifically to Ivory up until April 7th 2017. In messages preceding April 7th 2017, the messages have remained untampered with. It is puzzling for why Pyrocynical didn't entirely wipe his dms with Ivory, but what can be assumed is beyond the point of Ivory making his age specifically known to Pyrocynical on July 5th 2017, **he could no longer use the plausible deniability card when it came to Ivory's age**, and so when looking back on his past sexual messages with Ivory, he then systematically removed the messages he had sent after knowing his age, to purge all and any evidence that directly incriminated him. There is no other logical explanation.





In private messages provided by Thafnine, he shows that Niall was asking specifically for a discord script that can systematically purge discord messages. Thafnine also provides discord mobile screenshot proof on the [RIGHT], that the account in which requested a discord script to mass purge logs had the exact same tag as Pyrocynical's account in which has been proven earlier; **'Deleted User 49d1e8c5'**

Archived link to Turkey Tom showing proof of Thafnine's screenshots: zaptie 🦃 on Twitter: "Thafnine submitted DMs of Pyrocynical asking how to clear chat logs - same discord ID matches up with before… "

Archived video of the Thafnine DM's with Pyrocynical; https://youtu.be/nZ3X6Er4emo



<< The last two available messages of Pyrocynical's discord account following on from his messages being systematically purged for the entirety of 2018 to April 2017.
As you can see very obvious conversational changes were transpiring with Pyrocynical having asked Ivory if he wished to see 'thicc' furry fetish pornography of his character's sona. To which Ivory complies and asks for, this is also followed by Ivory saying 'oop', 'also that thicc is ace', in acknowledgement to receiving the image.
Clearly Pyrocynical had sent him the image and called him on discord to get his attention, however the bot Pyrocynical used to purge his messages, deleted the image.





Despite Pyrocynical having purged most his messages, it is easy to decipher what was said by viewing what Ivory has stated in response, for example in archived messages with Pyrocynical, Ivory is seen discussing about deleting his dms however he stated how he was only able to manually delete each individual message he sent, with him also adding on that Pyro would have

to delete his messages himself too. Judging by the conversation, it was clear that Pyrocynical instigated this topic and was heavily urging Ivory to wipe his messages and was discussing how to delete entire conversations. This was largely overlooked by many people when this was initially brought up, and it should have been a massive red flag that clearly Pyrocynical had something to hide.



Ivory is even seen messaging Ida as to why Pyrocynical had systematically wiped his messages entirely, however left his dms from 2016 to early 2017, when Ivory would have been 15. Pyrocynical's messages being purged up until early April 2017, this can possibly be attributed to a discord script he most likely used which ended up malfunctioning and not clearing the entirety of his messages.

For context, it is crucial to understand that with the messages of Pyrocynical continuing to sexually message Ivory after knowing his age to be 16, as of July 5th 2017, his images and messages were all systematically purged, meaning it is reliant on Ivory's responses to construct what the conversation was and assume what Pyrocynical was discussing, by relying on Ivory's untampered messages and logs. **Keep in mind this section is focusing on what Pyrocynical himself admitted to, in regards to knowing Ivory's age, we will not be focusing on messages made pre-dating July 5th 2017 <u>yet</u>** where Pyro claims he didn't know the age of Ivory, because that is *<u>another entire topic to touch upon</u>* and there are many massive contradictions to Pyrocynical's defense. Even if we were to assume Pyrocynical was telling the truth, the situation has a negative outlook for him because he had continued to send furry

pornographic images to a minor which is against the UK and US law on obscene material towards minors. It is even worse when considering the fact that between them, there was an approximate 4 year age gap, which many people still don't know about because they believe Pyrocynical unilaterally didn't know Ivory's age.
So even in the best case scenario for Pyrocynical, on paper his actions were still extremely disgusting.

The double standard



There is a massive double standard present on the internet where popular users such as Squimpus McGrimpus for example [popular FNAF influencer], was called out for grooming in reference to him sending sexual kink based content and messages to a 14 year old when he was 17, and then with him continuing to do it for numerous following years up to when he was 20 and she was 16. To which he was immediately called out and ostracised from the platform. But somehow Pyrocynical who admitted to sending furry fetish pornography and sexual ERP messages to a 16 year old to which he knew the age of when he was 20 and continuing the same behaviour, is allowed to be consistently defended and excused, with Ivory the victim, harassed and mocked over the course of many years for being a 'clout chaser' and a 'liar'. If everyone was in unanimous agreement that Squimpus was a groomer for sexually engaging in kink discussions with a 14 year old when he was 17 and then continuing the behaviour, then how is Pyrocynical suddenly alleviated when his age gap was larger and his case was more comprehensively explicit. [not to undermine the Squimpus situation].



In the Twitter video calling him out, the original person who called out Squimpus themselves stated how Squimpus was belittling his actions by just addressing what he did with the 14 year old as 'kink stuff', they stated that 'squimp tries to downplay the material as being non sexual', 'it is fetish art, **it is sexual**'.
This situation bares a massive resemblance to the Pyrocynical situation, to which people in Pyro's case, claim Pyrocynical unilaterally 'did not know the age', which is a lie, they also claim that Pyrocynical 'debunked the situation' [as a blanket statement with no evidence], and they either use age of consent laws to justify the age gap or then use ad hominems to either discredit the victim Ivory or anyone else who brings up the situation.

The idea that this happened in 2017 so therefore the past 'invalidates' Pyrocynical's culpability and actions does not detract away from the veracity of the situation or how egregious Pyrocynical's actions were. The time period from the situation did not dissuade DrDisrespect from being cancelled for behaving inappropriately with a minor in 2016, nor did it dissuade people from criticising Ava Kris Tyson for her behaviour in 2016/18. The community has just shown a massive double standard when it specifically comes to Pyrocynical, and to clarify, I am not comparing the actions of Pyro to Ava Or DrDisrespect, just highlighting similar timeframes.

The messages

In an archived video originally filmed by Ivory in 2020, showing all his messages with Pyrocynical's deleted account, in which was proven to be him, there is a plethora of messages I wish to cover showing how sexually explicit and degenerate the conversations were contrary to Pyrocynical's belittlement of it. As mentioned, he purged all his messages but it is easy to decipher what was mentioned when taking into account Ivory's messages in reply. First we will focus on the topic of conversation after July 5th 2017 **when Pyrocynical knew that Ivory was 16**. The messages will not be in chronological order, so the dates of when the conversations took place including the ages of Ivory and Pyrocynical will be specified. However just to clarify once again, **all these messages took place when Pyrocynical was an adult, and knew Ivory was a minor**.







<< As some of the messages are hard to decipher in the video logs provided by Ivory, it is distinguishable that the last day timeframe specified in the logs was August 4th, before the logs regarding masturbation are shown. Regardless however, the conversations transpired in early August 2018, [the video recording has been provided].

Arguably the most serious conversation to bring up which went completely unnoticed by everyone during the initial 2020 situation, was that in a conversation Ivory had with Pyrocynical around August 4th 2018. Ivory would have been [17 years 3 months old], and Pyrocynical would have been [21 years 2 months old]. In these message exchanges, Ivory and Pyrocynical both talk about how they have masturbated to furry porn, with Ivory mentioning how he masturbated to furry porn that Pyrocynical had sent him making comments about the 'ass' present in the pornographic image, and then asking Pyro if he has ever ejaculated to NSFW art of his furry sona. The sona Ivory had at the time being a [ghost furry sona] referred to as a **haunter**. Pyrocynical responds with yes, which we can insinuate as Ivory responds with 'wait you did', 'god bless'. The **timeframe is not referred to specifically** so this could have transpired when Ivory was 16. So the claim that this was just 'roleplay' and 'nothing escalated', as Pyrocynical tried to affirm in his video is a flat out lie. As clearly visible in the messages, both Ivory and Pyrocynical discussed sexual topics pertaining to real life, and **Pyrocynical seems to have admitted to masturbating to NSFW of a minors fursona while being an adult himself.**

Pyrocynical was fully aware of Ivory being 17 at this time, and continued to discuss the topic of masturbation with them at the age of 21. It is therefore no surprise that Pyrocynical would have purged his messages with Ivory, because it is abundantly clear that through these message logs, that Pyrocynical asked and received furry porn of Ivory's sona when he was a minor, and then received sexual gratification from it. To simply belittle these interactions as 'furry roleplay garbage', does a massive disservice to how serious this situation is.



There are also instances of Pyrocynical continuing to send furry porn to Ivory despite already knowing his age and acknowledging the fact he was a minor. Now to quickly shoot down any defense that Ivory was above the age of consent in his state, the age of consent laws do NOT protect an adult distributing pornography to minors. Furthermore using age of consent laws to rationalise and justify large age gaps is something many predators have done before to belittle their actions.

127 Improper use of public electronic communications network

(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he—

(a) sends by means of a public electronic communications network a message or other matter that is grossly offensive or of an indecent, obscene or menacing character; or

(b) causes any such message or matter to be so sent.

- **Pennsylvania's age of consent is 16**, but that only applies to **in-person sexual activity**—not pornography.







On September 11th 2017, Ivory is seen having posted furry fetish images directly to Pyrocynical, commenting on how 'shiny' the characters look. This was then followed by Ivory stating 'dats pretty bueno [good] and 'ooo', to which we are able to decipher that Pyrocynical had originally

sent Ivory suggestive and NSFW furry fetish content back to him, which warranted Ivory's response.
This then prompted Ivory to make inappropriate comments in reference to the content. Ivory was then also seen going into more detail about the NSFW content that Pyrocynical had provided him, making comments about the body parts shown in the content and talking about the farts present. With Ivory also making a comment about how he wished to bury his face in them.







On 15th September 2017, Ivory and Pyrocynical were seen sharing furry fetish content to one another. One is easily able to discern that Niall had shared such content to Ivory despite his messages being systematically removed as Ivory's messages reacting to the instances of the content being posted have remained. Ivory is seen stating 'Oooo' in response to when Pyro sent them furry NSFW / pornographic content. Ivory was also seen describing the content in detail mentioning how fat the character was, in reference to fat furry fetishism art.
Ivory was also then seen posting twitter links in reference to such content to Pyrocynical, mentioning the 'moobs', and 'gas' present in images that Pyrocynical had sent to him in return.





On 17th September 2017, Pyrocynical was seen continuing to ask Ivory if he wanted to see more furry fetish content, to which Ivory replied ‘hell yea’, ‘do show uwu’. Ivory is then also seen stating ‘ooo’ most likely in response to more content that Pyrocynical had sent him. With Ivory specifying that he liked the last image the most.
Ivory is once again seen stating ‘ooo’, in reply to Niall sending him yet more content, and then Ivory is seen posting furry fetish material himself, stating that he very much likes the image, ending off by him yet again stating ‘ooo’, in response to receiving even more furry fetish content.

In numerous messages it is abundantly clear to see that Pyrocynical had posted pornographic content to Ivory. Ivory explicitly stated ‘do show’, in which it can be assumed that Pyrocynical then posted it, to which Ivory then states ‘goodness das a big bab’, Pyrocynical is then seen continuing to which Crumar [Ivory] states ‘ooo’, and that he likes the last one most, showing how

Pyrocynical posted a collage of furry fetish images, with Ivory sending an instance to him in return.

In another instance Pyrocynical sends Ivory an image to which he states ‘ooooo’, ‘dassa big butt’, obviously making no secret with the fact that the furry fetish pornography he sent to Ivory had large sexualised body parts.

Both these instances took place on September 11th and September 17th 2017, when Ivory would have been 16 years 4 months old, and Pyrocynical would have been 20 years 3 months old.

So essentially Pyrocynical continued to send Ivory furry porn despite being fully aware of his age, he continued to send furry fetish pornography to a minor significantly younger than himself and then attempted to use age of consent laws to justify the behaviour despite age of consent laws now covering the action of distributing obscene content to minors, especially in Ivory’s home state of Pennsylvania.





On July 18th 2017, only 13 days after Pyrocynical claimed to have known Ivory’s age after Ivory had linked his Twitter account with his age present in the bio, and Pyrocynical is seen having sent more furry pornography in Ivory’s dms with him mentioning how it ‘has such a massive ass’, and when Pyrocynical is presumed to have asked what his favorite image was, Ivory states how it was ‘probably the second u sent’ [meaning there were other images he had sent]. So once again Pyrocynical sent instances of furry pornography to a 16 year old when he was 20.

Now to make a clear distinction, the furry art in which Pyrocynical and Ivory were sharing and exchanging with one another was inherently fetishistic and sexual, when the word ‘thicc’ is referenced, it had overt sexual connotations because it is images that both Pyrocynical and Ivory had masturbated to.

The ‘thicc’ art they reference is closely related to fetishistic sexual content and they closely correlate, as Ivory put it, **if they’re good at porn, they’ll probably be good with inflation fetish content.**







Ivory was even seen in March 13th 2019, specifically stating that he had not received porn “for a while”, and then following up by requesting for Niall to send him “thicc” content. Showing a **succinct correlation** between pornographic content and fetishistic “thicc” content. Right after Ivory discusses the topic of pornographic content being sent to him, he is then seen immediately asking for thicc content.



Ivory is seen admitting when he was 17 to Pyrocynical who was 21 as mentioned earlier, that he masturbated and ‘nutted’ numerous times to NSFW furry pornography in which Pyrocynical had sent them. Ivory stated this was ‘forever ago’, so it was presumed that this happened when Ivory was 15/16.





[ABOVE] The first conversation to cover was sent on August 5th 2018 when Ivory was 17 years 3 months old and Pyrocynical was 21 years 2 months old. In these messages, Ivory is seen discussing with Pyro about hiring a furry artist to commission furry fetish art of their characters together with Pyrocynical’s ‘thicc sona’ sitting on Ivory’s thin sona in which the art would **derive sexual arousal**. As discerned from the messages, Pyrocynical agreed however he wanted to

have messy food dribbling down his sonas stomach when sitting on Ivory. The idea that the creation of this content was not for the purpose of sexual arousal is simply untrue, both Pyrocynical and Ivory made it clear in dms about how **this content sexually stimulated them**.







As seen in the messages, Pyrocynical was perfectly fine with commissioning the fetish art of a minor and his character. Ivory is seen talking about the commission fees and discussing payment methods and then asking Pyro if he should tell her if they're going to do it or not, to which it is assumed Pyrocynical says yes, in which warrants Ivory saying 'hell yeee' in reply. The constant compliments of 'good boy' is something inherently suggestive and flirtatious and shows what kind of tone these conversations had in terms of sexual undertones.
On **23rd October 2017**, Ivory is seen posting a sketch of the suggestive fetish image of his character and Pyrocynicals character.





[LEFT]



[RIGHT]

In the first message presented **[LEFT]**, on the 8th September 2017, Ivory and Pyrocynical were seen sending erotic roleplay dms to one another (ERPing). This was 2 months after Ivory made his age known to Pyro. Ivory is seen stating how he wanted to have anal sex with Pyrocynical's fox sona and wanted to 'eat him out'. Despite Pyrocynical having systematically deleted his messages, it was clear that he continued to be complicit in the ERP, with Pyrocynical believed to have mentioned that he had a suggestive furry fetish image with Ivory asking him to 'send that too', to which Pyrocynical did, with Ivory making comments about the gas present in it. Ivory

would have been 16 years 4 months old and Pyrocynical would have been 20 years 3 months old.

In the second message presented **[RIGHT]**, Pyrocynical is assumed to have sent Ivory yet another instance of a pornographic image, to which Ivory is seen making comments about the 'massive ass'. This was followed by Pyrocynical asking if he could send more, with Ivory stating 'do send uwu', with Pyrocynical being presumed to send more content with Ivory stating in response 'ooo god damn', acknowledging the wrinkles and the bulge present. These messages transpired on November 2nd 2017, meaning Ivory was 16 years 6 months old and Pyrocynical was 20 years 5 months old.







<< On the 29th December 2017, it is believed that Pyrocynical once again posted an example of furry fetish pornography to Ivory, when he was 20 and Ivory was 16.
There is a massive conversational change present which is only attributed to someone, being Pyrocynical in this instance; mass deleting and wiping his messages to avoid incriminating himself. Crumar [Ivory], is seen stating 'ooo good god', obviously in reference to something Pyrocynical had posted, this was followed by Ivory stating 'that ass honestly…'', obviously in response to another example of furry fetish pornography that Pyrocynical had just sent to him.
Ivory would have been 16 years 8 months old, and Pyrocynical would have been 20 years 7 months old.





Pyrocynical was even seen agreeing to see suggestive fetish content from Ivory, despite knowing that he was 16 years old at the time on October 21st 2017, 3 months after Pyrocynical claimed to know Ivory's age [July 5th 2017]. Pyrocynical **solicited** furry fetish content from a minor.

Ivory was [16 years 6 months old], Pyrocynical was [20 years 5 months old].





Ivory had stated to Pyrocynical that he has had NSFW art of his sona drawn 2 times, this is already alarming on its own because he was 16 when he commissioned the art, but this relates to the aforementioned section when Ivory had asked Pyrocynical if he 'nutted' to his sona's nsfw. To which Ivory is seen responding to Pyrocynical's deleted message with 'wait what u did?', 'god bless'. This now opens up an entirely different topic of conversation in this situation, clearly **the dms were purged by Pyro to obfuscate his culpability in this situation**. However the NSFW sona claim can be proven when Ivory was seen posting instances of it in dms to Pyro, such as his '[fem sona]'. Pyrocynical's deleted messages paint a far more nefarious and sinister picture than once thought and at bare minimum, Ivory sent NSFW images of his sona to Pyrocynical, in which Pyrocynical alluded to pleasuring himself to which can be deciphered by Ivory Rasmus' response. This is even more concerning as these conversations happened **after** Ivory had linked his Twitter account to Pyrocynical showing that he was 16 **[August 8th 2018]**.





On **April 14th 2018**, Ivory even brought back an alleged discussion they had with Pyrocynical where they were discussing how they were going to commission NSFW art of Pyrocynical's 'thicc fox' engaging in sexual actions with their ghost sona. With Ivory following up by showing interest in getting Izzy to create the drawing.

This is extremely concerning in numerous aspects because Ivory was 16 still, and was planning to commission porn of his character with Pyrocynical who was 20. Furthermore, by looking at how the conversation was brought back up according to Ivory, Pyrocynical was seemingly fine with the idea of it beforehand however was later disinterested. The fact it was even brought up as an idea in the first place is a major red flag because under no circumstance should furry porn be made of a 16 year olds character and a 20 year olds character.





[LEFT] **[RIGHT]**

In the **[LEFT]** screenshot, Ivory is seen mentioning how the video that Pyrocynical had sent him was nice. Ivory goes on to describe the nature of the video being a furry fart fetish video, to which Pyrocynical had posted to him as deciphered by the message logs, in **August 2017**, **an entire month after he knew of Ivory's age being 16**. Furthermore this is corroborated by more message logs provided by Ivory on the **[RIGHT]**, of him stating sexual comments in reference to his bird sona and Pyrocynical's fox character. This is followed by a conversation change of Ivory stating 'oop, nu', which appears to be in reference to Pyrocynical having posted something in

which he believed Ivory might not be into, to which Ivory affirms ‘I like it tbh uwu’. Ivory is then seen saying ‘oooo’, after his message implying that Pyrocynical had sent more instances, with Ivory specifically stating that he loved the ‘first one u sent’, directly implying that Pyrocynical had sent numerous images of furry fetish content. Ivory goes on to describe in detail the sexual nature of the image in reference to the underwear in which was present. The message logs on the **[RIGHT]**, took place on the **20th August 2017**. Ivory would have been 16, Pyrocynical would have been 20.







In these messages between Ivory and Pyrocynical, **Pyrocynical was seen instigating a conversation about commissioning furry fetish art of their characters**. This is evident when Ivory is seen responding with ‘Oh yea, but how would I commission it?’, after Niall had originally brought up the idea of a possible commissioned art piece with their two characters. Ivory is then seen showing himself messaging an artist named Tourca about a ‘private commission’. Ivory is then seen discussing the idea of having a ‘blob’ version of Niall’s character, with Ivory wanting to use his ‘haunter’ sona.
These messages took place on **9th September 2017** when Niall would have known Ivory was **16**.







In the following messages, Ivory is seen discussing the prices with Pyro, and Niall offered to pay according to what Ivory was saying in response with ‘No it’s fine’, ‘I already did [pay] aa’. Ivory is then seen showing his private Twitter messages with the artist, showing Niall that the commission would be private.



Keep in mind too, Ivory is seen messaging this artist a “reference sheet”, for Pyrocynical’s sona showing it to contain **furry inflation fetish material.**
Ivory was also seen asking for this commission to **remain private**, to which Tourca [the artist] states that they can make it private.



This was evidently due to Niall asking Ivory for this to remain a private commission so it wouldn't be traced back to him under any circumstances.
Despite his messages being systematically purged, it was obvious to decipher the fact that he had asked Ivory if the artist would make it private, to which Ivory stated "**Yea**".





On **August 5th 2018**, Ivory is seen referencing a video that Pyrocynical had forwarded to him of NSFW content to which Ivory directly addresses it as "gay porn". He then goes on to make a comment about the "fat showing softness", when Niall is presumed to have asked him what his favourite aspect of the content was. Ivory would have been **17** in these message exchanges, Niall would have been **21**. It is **illegal** in the state of Pennsylvania to send pornographic content to a minor. Pyrocynical is **never** seen addressing the instances of NSFW content he sent Ivory in his Response video.



Pyrocynical shows a consistent pattern of behaviour where he will attempt to create any excuse or lie possible to play down the situation or refute responsibility. It is also further complicated by his incessant paranoia and need to hide all proof of his culpability and actions. The best case of this is seen when he asked Ivory how he could respond if furry fetish commissions of his character were to be leaked [this is the second time a conversation like this transpired], with Ivory telling him he could lie and claim its “fanart”. With Ivory then affirming that the artist Niall was referencing was a good person, so he wouldn’t need to do anything abrupt as Niall was inferring.

Up to this point, it has been sufficiently proven that **Pyrocynical knew that Ivory was 16 past the point of July 5th 2017**, there is absolutely no refuting that.
This document has systematically gone over the instances where it appeared that Pyrocynical sent furry fetish pornography to Ivory even after knowing that he was a minor, it has also highlighted instances when Pyrocynical was perfectly fine with asking Ivory for furry fetish content too.
It also covers how according to archived messages of Ivory, Pyrocynical masturbated to NSFW artwork of Ivory’s character and that NSFW commissions of their two fursonas were being planned.
The argument that the fetish discussions were not inherently sexual is completely false and it has been proven that the topic of fetishism of furry inflation are sexual based themes that revolve around erotic fantasies that often derive arousal. Both Pyrocynical and Ivory have made it no secret that they derived sexual pleasure from engaging in topics pertaining to furry inflation. So that feeds into the problem, that Pyrocynical was willingly sexually engaging with a teenager significantly younger than him, and sent porn to them while knowing their age.
It does not matter if Ivory had requested to see the content at the time, responsibility lies on the adult in this situation; Pyrocynical, to have not distributed obscene pornographic content to a minor he knew the age of.





On **20th August 2017**, Ivory is seen suggesting the idea of **flying over to the UK** with friends to have dinner and to 'hang out', and offers Pyrocynical to come meet them.
Ivory is then seen stating 'oop', 'i dunno honestly and I have no idea when', in reference to what month they are planning to do this, with Ivory then seen stating 'But probably after **December [2017]**. Ivory is then seen saying 'hell yea!', in reference to Pyrocynical **most likely agreeing** to the proposition.
This was completely overlooked in the original allegations surrounding Niall but what is clear by these logs, was that Pyrocynical was seemingly comfortable with the idea of meeting Ivory who was **16**, along with his friends, while he himself was **20**.



Pyrocynical is then seen allegedly asking Ivory if he wants to see what we can assume to be furry fetish content, to which Ivory states 'do send gamer', followed by Ivory then stating 'oooo', 'i like all the sounds tbh'. It is extremely evident from these archived messages that Niall sent some form of fetishistic content to Ivory.



On January 14th 2018, Ivory was seen going into explicit and graphic detail about his sexual messages and dynamic he was having with another male. This was while he was 16, and Pyrocynical was 20. Judging by the message of Ivory stating ‘yeee’ in response, it is clear that Pyrocynical was actively engaging in the facilitation of these messages and did not shut them down.

Pyrocynical committed a crime

In Pyrocynical's own response video, he admits he knew Ivory being 16, which the latest he could have possibly known was proven to be **July 5th 2017**, with red flag indications showing that he most likely knew long before, as Ivory made it known 2 months prior in May that he would have needed a fake ID in order to be allowed into a 18+ bar.
In his own response video he confirms that he continued to engage sexually and inappropriately with Ivory, and as proven by archived message logs between Ivory and Pyrocynical's deleted discord account coupled with purged discord messages, it is extremely easy to decipher the fact that Pyrocynical had continued to send furry fetish pornography to him. When Ivory had linked his Twitter profile with his age present in bio, there was no major conversational shift present between dms of Ivory and Pyrocynical. Pyrocynical was not dissuaded in any interval and you would have thought someone who was 'under the guise the other party was 18', would be at least bare minimum shocked that the other person reciprocating with them was underaged and in actuality considerably younger than them.



Ivory even attested on Twitter that Pyrocynical, despite attempting to use the age of consent laws in the UK and the state of Pennsylvania to justify a 4 year age gap, the age of consent law does not justify or protect a 20 year old adult from sharing pornographic content to who they know to be a minor.

Pennsylvania Statutes Title 18 Pa.C.S.A. Crimes and Offenses § 5903. Obscene and other sexual materials and performances

Current as of January 01, 2022 | Updated by FindLaw Staff

(c) **Dissemination to minors.**--No person shall knowingly disseminate by sale, loan or otherwise explicit sexual materials to a minor. "Explicit sexual materials," as used in this subsection, means materials which are obscene or:

(1) any picture, photograph, drawing, sculpture, motion picture film, videotape or similar visual representation or image of a person or portion of the human body which depicts nudity, sexual conduct, or sadomasochistic abuse and which is harmful to minors; or





Sending pornographic content to minors is illegal and Ivory attested multiple times that Pyrocynical would share NSFW content to them.

It is specifically stated under **Pennsylvania Statutes Title 18 Pa.C.S.A. Crimes and Offenses § 5903. Obscene and other sexual materials and performances**, that dissemination of obscene content to minors is illegal, the term obscene is described as any visual representation

of an image in which depicts nudity or sexual conduct which is harmful to minors. Therefore making the distribution of pornographic obscene content to minors illegal in the US, and Ivory's state of Pennsylvania.

"Minor." An individual under 18 years of age.

(2) "**Nudity**" means the showing of the human male or female genitals, pubic area, or buttocks with less than a fully opaque covering, or the showing of the female breast with less than a fully opaque covering of any portion thereof below the top of the nipple, or the depiction of covered male genitals in a discernibly turgid state.

(6) "**Harmful to minors**" means that quality of any description or representation, in whatever form, of nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, or sadomasochistic abuse, when it:

(i) predominantly appeals to the prurient, shameful, or morbid interest of minors; and

(ii) is patently offensive to prevailing standards in the adult community as a whole with respect to what is suitable material for minors; and

(iii) taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, educational or scientific value for minors.

Despite the legal age of consent in Pennsylvania being 16, it is still illegal to send pornography to a minor via discord. Pyrocynical fans attempt to use the excuse that as long as the dynamic was legal, then the 4 year age gap is justifiable, despite the fact that Niall still would have committed a crime regardless. He knew Ivory was 16, and continued to sexually message him and send him NSFW content **despite knowing his age.**

Ivory had also made it abundantly clear that he had contacted the police in regards to the situation and forwarded them the sufficient proof and information.

(c) Dissemination to minors.--No person shall knowingly disseminate by sale, loan or otherwise explicit sexual materials to a minor. "Explicit sexual materials," as used in this subsection, means materials which are obscene or:

(1) any picture, photograph, drawing, sculpture, motion picture film, videotape or similar visual representation or image of a person or portion of the human body which depicts nudity, sexual conduct, or sadomasochistic abuse and which is harmful to minors; or

(2) any book, pamphlet, magazine, printed matter however reproduced, or sound recording which contains any matter enumerated in paragraph (1), or explicit and detailed verbal descriptions or narrative accounts of sexual excitement, sexual conduct, or sadomasochistic abuse and which, taken as a whole, is harmful to minors.

(e) Definitions.--As used in subsections (c) and (d) of this section:

(1) "Minor" (Deleted by amendment).

(2) "Nudity" means the showing of the human male or female genitals, pubic area, or buttocks with less than a fully opaque covering, or the showing of the female breast with less than a fully opaque covering of any portion thereof below the top of the nipple, or the depiction of covered male genitals in a discernibly turgid state.

(3) "Sexual conduct" means acts of masturbation, sexual intercourse, sexual bestiality or indecent contact, as defined in section 3101 (relating to definitions).

(4) "Sexual excitement" means the condition of human male or female genitals when in a state of sexual stimulation or arousal.

(5) "Sadomasochistic abuse" means flagellation or torture by or upon a person clad in undergarments, a mask or bizarre costume, or the condition of being fettered, bound or otherwise physically restrained on the part of one so clothed.

(6) "Harmful to minors" means that quality of any description or representation, in whatever form, of nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, or sadomasochistic abuse, when it:

1. United States (Pennsylvania) Law:

a) Corruption of Minors (18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 6301)

- It is illegal to **corrupt a minor's morals**, which includes exposing them to obscene or sexually explicit material.
- Showing porn (even if it's "furry" or animated) to a minor could be considered **contributing to the delinquency of a minor** or **corrupting their morals**.

b) Obscene Materials & Harmful to Minors (18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 5903)

- Pennsylvania prohibits distributing **material "harmful to minors"** (even if the minor is 16).
- **"Harmful to minors"** includes any sexually explicit content that lacks serious value for minors.

Pyrocynical lied

Pyrocynical exhibits the behaviour of a compulsive liar, he originally lied about never dating the 14 year old French girl [to which the girl; Lou attests he did], and managed to gaslight his fanbase into thinking it was all some nefarious lie created by Keemstar, despite the situation being completely true, Keemstar just botched the situation, leaving Pyrocynical wiggle room to escape with no criticism. However in reference to the Ivory situation first, Pyrocynical's only major alibi for how he didn't know Ivory was 15, was that when Ivory had made his age consistently known on Twitter, **Pyrocynical claims at the time of the tweets being created, he was suspended and therefore did not access Ivory's Twitter account, ergo, he never saw the tweets of him specifying his age.** He claims it was only until Ivory linked his Twitter account on discord, in which contained his age present in the bio, when he then learnt of his age.



Pyrocynical; **'They then provide a list of Ivory stating his age multiple times on Twitter [15] so if I'm so sure that I didn't know he was 15, how would I have missed this? This is something I said in my original reddit statement; He never once disclosed the fact that he was 15 at the time these exchanges commenced. He didn't include his age in his bio until he was 16 but I was not on Twitter between 28th December 2016 until 29th June 2017, as I was suspended.'** https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **7:51 - 8:19**

Reddit post referencing Ivory's allegations in 2020; Addressing the false allegations : r/pyrocynical

> He never once disclosed the fact that he was 15 at the time these exchanges commenced. He didn't include his age in his bio until he was 16 but I was not on Twitter between 28th December 2016 until 29th June 2017, as I was suspended.



Pyrocynical decided to play the **plausible deniability card** when it came to the question on if he knew Ivory's age. His only defense he has to refute the fact that he knew Ivory was 15, was

that **he couldn't possibly have seen his tweets as he was not on Twitter during the time period of Ivory specifying his age, being 28th December 2016 to 29th July 2017.**
Pyrocynical deliberately wedged the situation on a factor that is unprovable on whether he saw the tweets of Ivory's age specification, Ivory attests that Pyrocynical did know he was 15, however Pyrocynical's only defense is the one every person seems to believe, so I am going to dismantle the argument.

Pyrocynical's argument that he was 'not on Twitter from 28th December 2016 to 29th July 2017', is **immediately discredited** by the fact that on **5th April 2017**, Pyrocynical is seen linking Ivory's tweet in his dms in reference to the 'Dark souls screenshots'.





This not only proves how Pyrocynical was on Twitter in the timeframe that he claims he was not, but it also proves how Pyrocynical was actively viewing Ivory's account and even viewing his recent tweets made at the time.
So if Pyrocynical's entire argument is wedged on a lie, then it's getting incrementally more suspicious. link to the Ivory tweet that Pyrocynical had linked (tweet deleted).

By looking into Pyrocynical's YouTube videos created during the time where he claims he was suspended from Twitter and therefore could not access the site, there were **numerous contradictions and discrepancies** that I feel needs to be highlighted.



On May 1st 2017, Pyrocynical openly uses Twitter to scan through a random user's newest tweets, showing how **he is completely able and free to access Twitter and look at specific users**, rendering the excuse that he was 'suspended' and 'couldn't view people's tweets' completely untrue because he either circumvented the suspension by operating an alternate account, or he simply used his main account which was suspended but could still access the search feature.

Pyrocynical: '**The only tweet I could find that had any relevance guys I go for a few days on holy day so I can't upload I'm sorry have a nice day guys that was his last tweet so I can only make out that he went to heaven**'.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=di5nFK23IMw **6:17 - 7:01**



On January 15th 2017, Pyrocynical openly admits to accessing YouTube's Twitter account and highlighted an unusual bio they had in which only he had brought up. Showing once again how he can easily access Twitter, despite at this time claiming he was suspended and 'was not on Twitter'.

Pyrocynical: '**I dunno why, if you go on YouTube's Twitter, their bio says "lol watch this". I don't know why, I think they got hacked and they were just like "Nah, it's funny, leave it", lol watch this**.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t5KLEKTbM20 **8:58 - 9:11**



<< On May 7th 2017, Pyrocynical showed in a video how he could still access the Twitter platform despite him being suspended. He was still able to access the search feature and look at trending tags present on the screen, he was just unable to like, retweet and create tweets himself. Just because he was suspended does not mean he was unable to access the platform.



In a video Pyrocynical made in 2018, he discusses what happens when his Twitter account is suspended. What he describes can still be applied to his 2017 Twitter suspension.

Pyrocynical; '**I think easily the worst thing about being suspended is the fact that you're almost locked in a cage, a cage with a nice glass mirror so you can see all of Twitter. You can't tweet anything, you can't post anything, you can't interact with anyone, you can't even see your DMs anymore, BUT you're allowed to see your notifications. And you know what happens when you get suspended? You will see brainlets, just absolute brainlets arguing over a post you made like two years ago mate, and they are still going to this day!**'
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VHWrQWVtOWE **6:50 - 7:21**

Pyrocynical himself stated that despite suspension from Twitter, he was still able to access the platform and 'see all of Twitter'. While suspensions make it so you cannot interact with users or create tweets, it does not stop you from getting notifications at the time as attested by Pyrocynical. So the argument that Pyrocynical being suspended from December 28th 2016 to July 29th 2017, meant that he did not see Ivory's account is illogical. Not only could he get notifications as attested by himself [he followed Ivory], but he could easily still access the platform and even circumvent the ban on another account if he wanted to. Not to mention the fact he was monitoring Ivory's Twitter account regardless as he linked one of Ivory's recent tweets in dms, so either Pyrocynical was using an alternate account when he was viewing Ivory's account, or he was using his main account, regardless he has contradicted his own alibi

that he was ‘not on Twitter’. **Pyrocynical’s entire argument as of currently relies on the fact that he somehow missed one of the numerous tweets of Ivory stating his age**, because Pyrocynical has not only been proven to have still accessed Twitter during the period where he said he didn’t, but it also proves how he was monitoring Ivory’s Twitter account before Ivory sent the Twitter link to his account with his age embedded in July 5th 2017. The time of Pyrocynical sending the link to Ivory’s Twitter account was on April 5th 2017, when Ivory Rasmus was still 15.



[ABOVE], Ivory is seen linking a Twitter account dedicated to posting cute fox pictures, to which Pyrocynical states ‘MY BABY’, and thanks him for linking. In which we can only assume he goes on to view and access. Just yet another example of Pyrocynical accessing the Twitter platform, despite it being in the timeframe where he claims in his response video ‘he was not on Twitter’. These messages were on March 9th 2017.

The Red Flags

Not only did Pyrocynical flat out lie in his response video and claim that he did not access Twitter in a timeframe that he has been proven and documented to have accessed numerous times, but in regards to Pyrocynical knowing Ivory's age, there is a lot of red flags in which start to emerge.

First in a now [removed Twitlonger created by Ivory](), Ivory claims that Pyrocynical knew of their age, as they discussed it in voice calls on discord however not in message form. Ivory also states that Pyrocynical knew of his full name and the drama he had with his ex-boyfriend.

> Q: Did he know your age?
> A: He did, but I don't have proof of that in message form. We talked often enough for him to know my full name, my ex boyfriend and I's drama, and he followed me on twitter on both of his accounts, where I've always had my age listed in my bio. He absolutely knew my age, and I know I told him multiple times. Either way, his girlfriend confirmed they knew I was underage in the video I posted on the previously linked imgur.

[Screenshot B]



[Screenshot A]





[Screenshot C]

Ivory made it known numerous times to Pyrocynical that he was still in school, to which somehow did not derive any concerned response from Pyrocynical in reference to him asking for his age. In fact, in the responses where Pyrocynical did not purge his messages, Pyrocynical acknowledged Ivory went to school and when Ivory stated he 'has school in an hour', Pyrocynical stated, 'oo I gotcha', 'you must be tired man'. It did not dissuade nor concern Pyrocynical that someone he was sharing furry pornography to and ERP'ing with was stating to him that they're going to school and that their mother is being restrictive on what they get as presents until the school year is over to incentivise them to focus on their schoolwork. I'd like to make an obvious assessment and state that Pyrocynical would easily be able to infer that Ivory was most likely not 18 due to these messages, and if on the occasion that he was unsure, why did he not simply ask his age out of concern. Keep in mind all the instances where Ivory disclosed he was going to school **pre-dated July 5th 2017** where Ivory linked his Twitter account with his age present in bio being 16. In the first screenshot presented on the left where Ivory discusses having school in an hour **[Screenshot A]**, **he would have still been 15**. Whereas in the other 2 screenshots he would have been 16 in June 10th 2017 **[Screenshot B]** and 25th April 2017 **[Screenshot C]**.



[Screenshot D]



[Screenshot E]

Ivory is once again seen stating to Pyrocynical that he was in school and in the study hall on April 7th 2017 when he would have been **15 years old [Screenshot D]**. Ivory is also seen once again stating he is ‘chilling’ in school to Pyrocynical on 25th April 2017 once again, he would have been newly 16 **[Screenshot E]**.







Pyrocynical was also seen referring to Ivory as a ‘child’ and ‘my smol boy’, along with instances of Pyrocynical referencing Ivory as a ‘handsome boy’, these message exchanges took place in March and April 2017, when Ivory would have been 15. Referring to someone you ‘don’t know the age of’, as a ‘child’ and ‘my smol boy’, is especially unusual. Pyrocynical would often affirm that he never knew the age of the people he did ERP’s with, however **using these terms regardless in tandem with Ivory telling him that he had school, should be enough to draw major red flags to any logical person reference to these conversations.**





As stated by Ivory in his deleted Twitlonger, he stated that Pyrocynical knew a lot of personal information in regards to him and knew of his full name, this can be supported by Pyrocynical referring to Ivory as ‘Mason’. This is also followed by Pyrocynical offering to get on call numerous times, with Ivory attesting himself that he had called Pyrocynical and would oftentimes speak to him in VC. How would Pyrocynical be able to derive that Ivory was called Mason if there was no message discussion of it in DMs provided by Ivory? This can easily be attributed to the fact that Ivory mentioned himself that he apparently had shared his name and age to Pyrocynical in calls, hence why there is no message confirmation of Pyrocynical knowing he was 15 in dms.

When taking into consideration that there are no message logs of Ivory telling Pyrocynical that his name was Mason, but Pyrocynical appearing to reference Ivory as Mason nonetheless, it starts to build a picture that they discussed a lot personally about themselves on calls in which is not shown nor displaced in messages. Even more suspicious was how Pyrocynical was unable to decipher that Ivory was a minor based on his voice and mannerisms when they **played games together on call**, Ivory would have been 15.





Pyrocynical had asked Ivory if he had Dark Souls 3 on **5th April 2017**, Ivory replied that he didn't and that he was going to get it, with Pyrocynical offering to play with him to which Ivory agreed excitedly.
Ivory then linked a discord server he owned to Pyrocynical where we can assume **they played together on call.**





As referenced before, Pyrocynical was proven to have **accessed and viewed** Ivory's recent Twitter link completely unprompted, meaning he was an active viewer of his account. Pyrocynical had asked if Ivory was going to post screenshots of the Dark Souls game and 'thicc appreciation', to which can be assumed in terms of the discord conversations; Pyrocynical stumbled across Ivory's tweet and then started laughing at it.
In another image provided by Ivory too; Pyrocynical is clearly seen in the screenshot playing the game with him, with Pyrocynical replying, 'im crying'.

As seen currently, Ivory made it clear to Pyrocynical numerous times that he was in school, Pyrocynical was not concerned nor dissuaded from Ivory stating this when he was 15 and newly 16. Pyrocynical also had referred to Ivory as a boy and 'small child', despite claiming he 'never knew of his age', it is a very usual term to use in reference to someone you are ERPing with despite not knowing the age. Pyrocynical is also seen knowing his name despite Ivory never discussing this in messages with him, and it is only assumed that they spoke in calls and played games together in separate servers, not exclusive to dms, as proven from the fact that they played together on Dark Souls 3, this would also mean Pyrocynical not only knew his name; but also what he sounded like.

A user named Sam, close to Ivory and Pyrocynical's friend group at the time [2017] testified that according to them it was unanimously known to them all that Ivory was 15.

Sam also attested that Niall (Pyrocynical), had sent them furry fetish NSFW content to them as well when he was 18. This is added as additional witness testimony and context to Pyrocynical's history of sending furry nsfw content to numerous people in which is attested by himself and even his girlfriend being Ida.

The fake ID situation



Another extremely large red flag that isn't referenced nearly enough, was that fact that Ivory had specified directly to Pyrocynical, about the fact that he wouldn't be allowed in bars in the UK, unless he sneaked into the venue or made a fake ID. That should have been an immediate giveaway to Pyrocynical that Ivory was underaged.
What makes matters even more serious was the fact that Pyrocynical claimed to only know the age of Ivory when he linked his Twitter account with age present in the bio, specifying that he was 16, however if you compare the dates, Ivory specified that he needed a fake ID and wouldn't be allowed in bars and that he 'looks the age of an adult', **almost a whole 2 months BEFORE** he linked his Twitter Bio to Pyrocynical.





The fact that by analysing the conversation it doesn't seem that Pyrocynical was concerned or dissuaded by the idea of wanting to meet up with Ivory regardless is also a major red flag.

Ivory made it known to Pyrocynical that he went to school as well as Pyrocynical oddly referring to Ivory as a ‘smol child’ and ‘boy’. It is extremely concerning that Pyrocynical in these messages, if he was under the impression that he never knew Ivory’s age, never simply just asked him before Ivory linked his Twitter profile. There were numerous red flags present which are extremely hard to miss, so simply just using the plausible deniability card in this case does not magically obfuscate the fact that several inconsistencies are being revealed in Pyrocynical’s argument.

The situation gets even worse when considering the fact that in Pyrocynical’s response video, he doesn’t actually refute the fact that he could have been under the idea that Ivory was underaged when he directly stated that he would need a fake ID to be allowed into the **bar**. When taking into account that Ivory had made a clear indication that he was underaged to Pyrocynical, it makes it marginally worse because on July 4th 2017, almost 2 months later, and 1 day before Ivory linked his Twitter profile; **Ivory & Pyrocynical were sharing details of their sex life.** This directly contradicts Pyrocynical’s belittling of the situation as just ‘cringe furry roleplay’, and furthermore builds on the fact that Pyrocynical knew a lot about Ivory and his personal life. For example, it has been discussed that Pyrocynical knew what Ivory sounded like, knew his name, and knew that he went to school and details about his past relationships and sex life.







2 months after the conversation about Ivory requiring a fake ID in order to get past doormen outside bars in an 18+ venue in the UK, Ivory and Pyrocynical discussed their sex life on **July 4th 2017.**



The conversation can be seen having originally been **initiated** by Pyrocynical being assumed to have asked a question relating to Ivory's sexuality, in which Ivory replied that he was mostly gay. With Ivory then discussing having had sexual intercourse with a woman while he would have been 15, going into explicit detail about the situation in regards to ejaculation. He then states how he has not done anything with anyone for nearly a year and that he hates it, prompting him to then ask Pyro if he has ever done anything. To which Pyrol can be assumed to have probably either said no at the time, or that he has but hasn't done anything for a prolonged period of time [*as indicated by the green box*]. Whatever the answer, it was still absolutely disgusting for Pyrocynical to have **not shut these conversations down**, and instead allowed them to continue and even worse, **engage with them**.

Judging by the nature of the conversation, Pyrocynical was complicit in the continuation of the conversation and did not tell or request him to stop.

It is easy to decipher the fact that Niall was complicit in this topic of conversation and said something in regards to not being entirely sexually active himself by Ivory's response, "yeah I feel that uwu".
Keep in mind this discussion about their sex life transpired after the topic of a fake ID was brought up, meaning that Pyrocynical has at bare minimum; reasonable suspicion at this point into the fact that Ivory was underaged because there would be no other possible conclusion, but continued to engage in sexually explicit topics of conversation regardless and allowed it.

Pyrocynical was actively complicit in a topic of conversation in regards to someone sex life, who has actively closed the fact that **they still go to school and** are unable to be allowed into bars in the UK, without having a fake ID.

Ivory was also seen continuing to talk about sexual scenarios and how he could pleasure himself to the idea of them, as well as continuing the topic of conversation in regards to sexual intercourse, with Pyrocynical not interjecting or ceasing the conversation whatsoever.



It is reasonable that with this added context and lack of any clarification on Niall's part into what was actually said by him in the chat logs before he wiped them, it was *more than likely* that he knew Ivory was underaged **long before July 5th 2017**.

Now these discussions were not shown in the 'sexual conversations' segment of the document beforehand, as to give benefit of the doubt to Pyrocynical, and to **only show the sexual messages and conversations that continued after he was 100% proven to have known the age of Ivory**. However, if we are to take into account that Pyrocynical saw Ivory stating that he would have to use a fake ID in order to be allowed into a **bar**, which is a major red flag that he was indicating he was underaged, and to which Pyrocynical was for some reason not dissuaded by, then it adds on to the at bare minimum irresponsibility, that Pyrocynical would engage in sexual discussions with someone who has also informed him that he was still at school.



Pyrocynical's response video serves to act more of a self-report, as he establishes that Ivory talking about the fake ID, is in reference to 'doormen outside **bars**'. This is a massive indication that Pyrocynical could have known Ivory was a minor long before July 5th 2017. Especially when analysing chat logs, Pyrocynical is seen to have sent a deleted message prompting Ivory to have stated '**Yes**, I don't know except for sneaking in or making a **fake ID**', '**I think I kind of look of that age uwu**'. Which leads one to believe that Pyrocynical had asked Ivory how he would be able to get into the bar venue considering his age. Keep in mind Pyrocynical had **not once covered the messages he sent to Ivory in that context in his response video**, perhaps because it would *unravel* his entire defense. Clearly Pyrocynical had brought up the topic of age in regards to if Ivory would be allowed in the venue, which then prompted Ivory to discuss whether a fake ID would be sufficient because he 'looks the age' that is allowed in the venue [18+]. So essentially Ivory in these messages has very much revealed he is underaged, stating that he 'kinda' looks 18+ therefore confirming that he wasn't in actuality.
If this case is believed to have indicated that Pyrocynical knew he was a minor beforehand, 2 months pre-dating the July 5th 2017 Tweet link that revealed him to be 16, then another question arises on **when specifically Pyrocynical knew Ivory was underaged** and **if he knew the entire time**.
And just for clarification, Pyrocynical had made it abundantly clear in his own words that Ivory never lied about his age to him, just that he 'didn't know of his age'.
This also complicates matters even further, Pyrocynical very much attempted to downplay this situation in his response video, but why would he invite someone to the UK to a bar and get drinks if he was unaware of their age.

Age Restriction:

The law mandates that licensed premises (like bars and pubs) must have an age verification policy in place, requiring individuals who appear under 18 to produce identification before being served alcohol.

Alcohol and young people - GOV.UK

You can be stopped, fined or arrested by police if you're under 18 and drinking alcohol in public. If you're unde...

GOV.UK

In the UK, you generally need to be 18 or over to enter a bar past doormen, as the law restricts the sale of alcohol to those aged 18 and over.

Pyrocynical **specifically mentioned bars**, **<u>not</u> nightclubs**, just to make that distinct clarification.

Now let's play ***devil's advocate*** and assume that Niall *could* be referring to bodyguards outside a Nightclub and he just misworded himself. In regards to Nightclubs in the UK, attendees would occasionally need to be **21+ to access** in certain circumstances. However this argument is **illogical** too, as Niall himself would have been **too young** to enter the venue as he was **20** he would be 21 the following year in 2018.

Ivory could have been referencing the fact he would have needed a fake ID to get into the 21+ venue, alluding to the fact that he *could* have been *18-20* at the time, but not old enough to get into the Nightclub. This point falls flat though because Pyrocynical attests in his own video that **Ivory never lied about his age**, and *had* Ivory been *alluding* to the fact that he was above the age of 18 but still too young to attend 21+ venues, **Niall would have used that as proof as to why he believed Ivory was an adult at the time.**



Furthermore, as indicated by the orange arrow and highlighted word, it is evident that there was a massive conversational change from where Ivory is seen discussing what date would be the best to fly over and how much money it would cost. To then him stating "Yea, I don't know except for sneaking in or making a fake id". **Clearly Pyrocynical was seen bringing up the problem regarding his age**, and how he would be too young to be able to be allowed into the bar venue, to which prompted Ivory to agree stating "Yea", following up with bringing up the idea of faking his ID because he "kinda looks that age [18+]" regardless.





[8th May 2017] 2 days after the discussion of Ivory stating that he would need a fake ID in order to get past doormen present outside an 18+ bar, and Pyrocynical is seen posting more instances of furry fetish content to Ivory which prompted him to state; “hell yea”, “slobbery boy”.
Ivory is then seen discussing what fetishes he likes to Pyrocynical in regards to him expressing interest in art depicting slobber and how it goes well with “thicc art”.
This is then followed by Pyrocynical sending 2 more instances of furry fetish content, resulting in Ivory stating, “hnnn”, and “Oooooo…”, following with the fact that “both are good”, perhaps in reply to Niall asking him which content he likes.
Ivory is then seen going into detail about the content stating; “…I love how he’s coated in sweat **in what you sent**, and how much gas is coming out hhhhh”.

Not only does this confirm that Niall had sent him fetishistic content, but it also adds on to how Pyrocynical was complicit in distributing content to someone who he had **reasonable suspicion to be a minor** and was attempting to **conform** and to be **complicit** in entertaining their fetishes and erotic fantasies.

Ivory was also seen discussing his interests in guro art, [gore]. It is **unclear** if Pyrocynical distributed such art to Ivory in these discord messages.





Pyrocynical was also seen on **14th May 2017**, 8 days **after** Ivory stated he would need a fake ID in order to get past doormen present outside of an 18+ bar in the UK, sending him furry NSFW content. Ivory was seen stating; “Such a big defined ass like hnnnn”, in reply to the image Niall had sent him.

Niall has shown **no restraint** thus far or even any concern behind his actions as deciphered by these archived DMs. There is not one such archived interval where Pyrocynical was seen questioning his actions or Ivory's age, after May 6th 2017, he has instead continued to engage sexually with someone who has openly made it clear they are unable to access 18+ venues without needing to fake credentials.

Pyrocynical; '**Yeah we shared some fat furry art we had some weird fantasy role play but there was no sexual interest there were no nudes exchanged his name his appearance it wasn't important to me, I didn't even know what he looked like at this point I mean if he linked me a picture or something, I would have forgotten it because it was just that irrelevant to me but I'm going to jump right ahead anyway and invite him to come over just for sex… okay.**'

Pyrocynical waited until 9 minutes into his response video to then admit that he continued to engage sexually with Ivory once he learnt that he was 16 years of age. This was specifically done as to ensure that the majority of people who watched his response video, only saw the first few minutes where he refuted the easy to debunk claims, so he could deny the more severe allegations at the start and then take partial accountability for other serious claims much later into the video, and then due to less people noticing that he just effectively admitted to continuing to send pornographic content to a minor despite being notified of their age, he could essentially just get away with facing no criticism, because the overwhelming majority of people now believe he is unilaterally innocent without actually watching the entirety of his video. **He embedded a very serious admission half way into his video**, counting on the fact that the majority of his fans would just defend him regardless, without actually taking into account the context and the severity of the situation pertaining to it. This is why still to this day, Pyrocynical fans still continue the lie that Ivory 'lied about his age', and that Pyrocynical 'never knew the age of Ivory', despite the claims either being speculative, unsubstantiated or flat out untrue.



When getting in contact with Saku, who was close friends with Ivory at the time, and someone who was also closely associated with Pyrocynical, even featuring in an old video of his, he attests that Pyrocynical knew Ivory was 15 the entire time, however the proof has been lost to time as Pyrocynical **systematically wiped all his messages in old discord groupchats.** Keep in mind there is absolutely no incentive for Saku to lie considering the fact that he was **extremely close** to Niall in 2016/17, Niall had even allegedly asked him sexually provocative questions when he was 15 too, **which will be detailed later.**



Saku is also seen attesting that his collective friend group, which contained many members who Pyrocynical was also seen engaging with, **all knew Ivory's age.** Saku is also seen stating that Ivory **never lied about his age**, stamping out the misconception that Ivory deliberately lied about his age to deceive his close associates which was originally brought up as a point to discredit Ivory's initial allegations.



Tweets from Saku in 2017 prove that he actively communicated with Ivory when he was still using the '@Ivory446633', handle. This therefore makes his allegations more credible as he was someone close to Ivory at the time and in the same friend circles as him.

The idea that all of Ivory's friends knew his age to be 15 at the time was also corroborated by Sam, who testified in 2020 the same narrative.

Pyrocynical Fans Harassment

The harassment Ivory Rasmus has received for the entire situation in regards to the Pyrocynical allegations have been nothing short of atrocious and absolutely disgusting. No other fanbase has subjected a victim to as much unrelenting harassment, insults and victim blaming as Pyrocynical's community, and not once has any safeguarding been placed to protect Ivory from the constant bombardment that befell him, with people still attacking him to this day. Members of Pyrocynical's community and fanbase have victim blamed Ivory and played down the situation in regards to Niall sexually messaging him and sending him porn, they've made a serious situation into a joke and belittled the severity of the dynamic.







Such insults made towards Ivory seek to discredit his situation by claiming him to be a clout chaser, which is a claim often times made by members of Pyrocynical's fanbase at people they dislike, so they can belittle and shutdown any justified criticism towards Niall about his long history of problematic behaviour. It is also something commonly used by other fan-bases such as DrDisrespect's fanbase in order to dismiss credible allegations. It's a common ad-hominem tactic **used to attack Ivory's character rather than the validity of his points**. There is a clear problem with Internet culture where people are seen claiming to care about victims and to believe their story if they have credible evidence, but then seek to brush them off and attack their credibility if it's a victim who is coming out about a YouTuber they respect and are a fan of. It is an extremely large double standard which is abundantly clear when you see how Pyrocynical's sympathisers act.

Many ardent supporters of Pyrocynical are just simply incapable of taking into account that their favourite YouTuber has done objectively indefensible actions. They simply cannot understand that Ivory publicly came out about his situation to encourage other victims of Niall to also come out about their own experiences, however due to the unrelenting harassment and backlash Ivory faced, it only made that case more unlikely.



Pyrocynical's fanbase also seek to claim that Ivory was "mentally ill", to then once again minimize the severity of the situation by pinning the victim as mentally unwell to not only belittle them, but to discredit the entire situation entirely.
A situation often used to discredit Ivory entirely was his encounter with Tommy C, where he was asked how Pyrocynical had groomed him.
Now due to Ivory not being able to specifically state how he was taken advantage of and groomed by Niall, was used to entirely discredit his proof against Niall, and people used it to completely vindicate Pyrocynical and claim the situation to be entirely false allegations.

Ivory was seen on Twitter establishing how he was diagnosed with CPTSD [Complex Post Traumatic Stress Disorder].
Ivory goes on to state how he was evidently stressed and shaking when he was being interviewed by Tommy C, causing him to not provide cohesive answers because he was never put in such a high stakes situation like that before. Essentially Ivory was in a position where he had to address a triggering and personal situation in regards to him on a podium where thousands of people would be watching and tearing down his responses for any inconsistencies possible to alleviate Pyrocynical of his allegations. Many people were already attempting to discredit Ivory ever since the situation came out, due to Ivory having been rather combative of the false information being spread against him and Pyrocynical fans attempting to shutdown his

personal experience, he was seen as short tempered and unprofessional and that was further used to diminish his image. Despite Ivory having extremely valid points, collectively the Internet abused and hyper-analysed the problems regarding his character and how he went addressing criticisms to the situation, to then unilaterally claim he was leading a false narrative and was a liar. It was an unfortunate set of circumstances that played directly to Niall's benefit.
Ivory had the evidence and proof, however rushed the situation out without a cohesive document or video explaining the situation and why the dynamic between him and Niall was inappropriate. If it was originally conducted more fluently, the situation wouldn't have been as muddied as it is now, with people being afraid to reopen the case and situation.

As Tommy C stated, **Pyrocynical didn't need to have groomed him, for the situation to be bad.** Despite no nudes being exchanged between Ivory and Niall, it was clear that instances of grooming behaviours were seen being enacted in this dynamic. At the point of Ivory being unable to coherently make his point clear, many people homed on that to declare that the allegations were false, without actually reading the nature of the DMs and seeing that Niall clearly purged them for a reason.

Now due to Pyrocynical's fanbase successfully dismantling Ivory's credibility, the chance for misinformation to spread unchallenged grew as very few people wished to step in favour of Ivory, this all ended up playing into Pyrocynical's favour, even until this day. One of the largest claims made against Ivory, we have covered earlier being that fact that "*Ivory and Pyrocynical met in an 18+ RP server, meaning that Pyrocynical must have known Ivory was 18*".
This was proof that Pyrocynical's fanbase and the Internet in general **didn't actually even do surface level research** in regards to these serious allegations in 2020, and just spread serious claims with real ramifications to other people **without actually having any evidence to back themselves up**. Diesel Patches, who originally made this claim, **retracted it**.
To this day, people sympathetic to Pyrocynical are seen parroting these claims, showing how bad the misinformation and long lasting effects have been in regards to Ivory.



Gogeta0606 • 1y

Didnt he literally lie tho about his age?

Reply 10

flamingjaws • 1y

Not "lie" so much as keeping quiet about it. Pyro did admit responsibility for not even bothering to ask for Ivory's age, hence why his response is considered an apology.

48

SampleTextHelpMe • 1y

But then again it was an 18+ discord server,

Asking for age would naturally feel redundant considering the context.

44

DrGonzo333 @gonzofett3... · 22/08/2024

Pyro shows that Ivory's age wasn't in his bio initially.
Ivory being a pathological liar is definitely important and others have corroborated that claim.
Ida and Pyro weren't dating until 2019 and they weren't very close before that, so Ida didn't disclose Ivory's age with Pyro

1 67

@pzb1017 2 days ago

@HelloItsAFOOp That is far different.

There is a difference between:
A minor lying about their age; But they infiltrated a 18+ Fetish Server.
&
A minor who lied about their age; in a Roblox Group Chat.

They are not even in the same ball park.

1

Mr Tophat @MrTophat2254 · 10/04/2025

I from what I remember no he didn't groom a kid he was in an 18+ roleplay server and some kid lied about their age (or never stated it idk off the top of my head) and then the pyro and the kid did the roleplay stuff and then the kid leaked it all

4 1 28 7.6K

@kozmicz2667 2 weeks ago

i honestly dont get what parlos problem is if he has "more proof" then he should stop tweeting about it and focus on compiling this huge exposure that he's said he's worked on for so long, also WHY is the french girl still in discussion, there was no proof of it AND keemstar would change the age of the woman, and he's clearly ignoring the fact the minor he had these conversations with JOINED AN 18 PLUS SERVER BY CHOICE, overall i doubt this big video will do anything significant

9 2

spaceman
@biofreak4003

Follow

Out of context and plus the person he "groomed" was underage in an 18+ fat furry inflation fetish discord server, somewhere a 15 year old shouldn't be. He also had no fucking idea he was even 15

20:20 · 30/06/2023 · **261** Views

3





Pyrocynical was an **adult** who abused their platform and the influence they had to **sexually engage with a fan** of his named Ivory who was significantly younger in age. He abused the dynamic he had to discuss fetishes with the minor, discussed their sex life and also exchanged pornography with one another, with Niall having distributed it and solicited instances.
If this was any other YouTuber who engaged in this behaviour they would be publicly called out, however Pyrocynical was allowed to get away with it all as his fans to this day are seen victim blaming Ivory and insulting him with personal character attacks. They have made Ivory out to be a manipulative clout-chasing liar, by homing into the fact he was unable to define how he was groomed coupled with Niall playing the plausible deniability card.

Conclusion regarding Ivory

Pyrocynical managed to utilise **wordplay manipulation** to **drastically play down** his dynamic with Ivory. He affirms that because there was “no sexual interest”, and “no nudes exchanged”, suddenly that means the dynamic was any less bad.

He very meticulously does not decide to specifically go over all his messages with Ivory because it would paint a much more nefarious picture, and he ultimately negates to mention how he allowed Ivory **to discuss his sex life when he was 15**, while **he also was complicit in the discussion**, he **requested furry fetish porn** from Ivory, consistently **sent porn** to Ivory, **erotically roleplayed** with Ivory **playing into his sexual fantasies**, and also planned to **commission furry fetish art** of their sonas and even **NSFW commissions.**

Pyrocynical was supportive of the idea of meeting up with Ivory in December 2017, when he would have been **16**. Niall was perfectly fine with the idea of meeting with a minor he knew the age of, while he was **20 years old**, the same minor he was seen consistently engaging sexually in private.

The idea that age of consent laws can justify this dynamic is completely **false** too, sending porn to minors in the state of Pennsylvania is **illegal**, regardless of if they are above the legal age of consent, and morally, a 4 year age gap between an adult and a minor is abhorrent and **predatory**.

Ivory **never lied** about his age to Pyrocynical and **never** met Pyrocynical in an 18+ discord server. ***Pyrocynical had literally no information to go off of that Ivory was an adult,*** in fact there is a lot more information to allude to the fact that he most likely could have known Ivory was a minor.

No logical adult would have continued to sext someone they didn’t know the age of, if the person stated they went to school consistently, stated they couldn’t get presents because their mother was restricting what they could get until the school year is over, and certainly beyond all reasonable doubt, anyone who didn’t know the age of the person they were sexting would immediately cut someone off if they specifically stated they couldn’t be allowed into an 18+ venue and would need a fake ID to get in.

Ida / Iza / secretcorgii / Daflummify



Ida was a popular furry artist who made fat furry fetish art and NSFW art, and is someone who is crucial to the Ivory situation. Ida and Pyrocynical are also in a committed relationship as of currently.



Ida is seen on instagram making a post celebrating their 2 year anniversary on March 21st 2021, meaning they officially started dating on **March 21st 2019**.

Ida as of currently is facing growing controversy for scamming numerous people, these users had paid Ida to commission furry content from her as she had openly made tweets claiming that her commissions were open and she was willing to create art for people. What followed was Ida asking and accepting the payments and then a few weeks following, consistently ignoring their clients messages where they were concerned, asking for updates. Ultimately showing how Ida showed an unprofessional lack of transparency and that she was as morally bankrupt as to ignore her clients who paid her money, and just assumed she could get away with scamming them up until the story broke by angry clients.

How many people so far have came out saying Ida scammed them personally? I feel like it should be way more talked about online than it is now. : r/pyrocynical

It's been two months since Pyro talked to his girlfriend (Ida/ @Secretcorgii) about scamming me and a lot of other people. Nothing ever came of that. : r/pyrocynical



There are numerous examples of users who have voiced their concern to Ida as to why their messages were not being received and why they were having no updates in regards to their commission. Since numerous users have come out with the same problem, it shows how there is a consistent pattern of Ida's gross negligence when it comes to doing business with clients, and the fact she was paid beforehand and continued accessing Twitter and ignoring them is inherently malicious as she believed she could somehow continue without being criticised for her egregious actions. Ignoring the problem doesn't simply make it go away.



[ABOVE] An associate and mutual of Pyrocynical [Pyrocynicallx] aka **Pixxii** was actually scammed by Ida, they provide logs of Ida going completely offline and inactive as of August 2023 conveniently after they were paid over $200 for the furry art commission. Now despite numerous instances where they were contacted and despite still being friends with the user, Ida is seen not messaging them nor updating them whatsoever about the commission, showing a complete disregard for the person and terrible work ethic. At this time they claimed to have ‘open commissions’, and they are seen here accepting money for the artpieces and then ignoring them consistently afterwards, despite being asked on the progress of their work. In messages provided, each message was made with months between them, and yet no response was made by Ida regardless, and this is also corroborated by other users shown beforehand who attest how they have messaged Ida and yet received no response. In the private discord messages shown of a user speaking to Ida, it shows how Ida would not respond to them whatsoever over the course of half a year. The case of **Pixxii** is more egregious however, as they commissioned Ida in August 2023, and yet they received no art or refund as of February or June 2024.







Pixxii attached screenshot proof that she had commissioned Ida in August 2023 and sent her $211. This is important to highlight because according to them, after contacting Pyrocynical about the situation, Ida quickly refunded them $170, which is $41 short of the payment they originally received to do the art that they promised to do, but failed to deliver. Essentially Ida kept $41 despite showing a complete disregard to this specific user and not even doing the courtesy of explaining why they procrastinated or chose to straight up not finish the art.





Pixxii shows messages between her and Pyrocynical, showing Pyrocynical claiming he would 'forward their message to her'. Shortly after, Ida sends $170 to **Pixxii**, which as discussed earlier, is nowhere near the original amount they were paid. Regardless, responsibility heavily relied on Ida to have refunded her clients months before Pyrocynical needed to be contacted to forward the matter directly to her. The fact it is so easy to refund the clients speaks volumes, and scamming on such an egregious level as this can not be excused whatsoever.





In Harvey's case [NSFW account], he provides evidence of himself having communicated with Ida on getting a commission with him having paid her for the art as of October 2023, with Ida confirming the payment transaction by saying 'perfect!!'.
This is then followed by a prolonged period of silence broken by Harvey asking for any updates in regards to their commission over 2 months later, to which received no response either, not even being viewed by Ida.

As seen by Harvey's tweet, the matter was still not solved as of October 2024, meaning Ida spent an entire year just ghosting and ignoring her clients in which gave her money. She didn't even explain why she left Twitter, she just accepted the money and never refunded it, expecting as if her clients would just be content with that.



A user named Cali even attested how they apparently had to do a paypal dispute in order to get the money back from Ida, because she refused to communicate with them and just refund the money back to them.

Not only does it speak volumes to what kind of person Ida is, where their behaviour goes as low as to receive payment from numerous proven individuals, but then ghost them for numerous months to well over a year, and somehow expect no ramifications from their actions. Honestly, how did Ida expect that this behaviour would just be swept under the rug?

Cadil being scammed by Ida; [Cadil on X: "Buyer's beware, a google doc about my client experience with @secretcorgii https://t.co/WFVgUk0mVr" / X]





In Cadil's document they show Twitter messages between them and Ida, showing how they agreed to send Ida $350 for a commissioned drawing of them and their boyfriend. After Cadil offered to send a payment to them on paypal, Ida replied 'send it!', to which they did. Ida replied how she would be getting a sketch 'asap', however mentioned how they were in the middle of moving, but this wouldn't dissuade them from probably getting them the image 'tomorrow'.





In these messages Ida is seen offering them a sketch of the drawing the following day, and asks them if ‘there are any changes they want’, to which Cadil replies that it looks great.
What follows is a complete lack of response from Ida which can be seen in the other instances provided in this document. Ida is seen in messages with Cadil, failing to respond over a period of numerous months, despite being asked on the updates in regards to the commission that they have been paid $350 for.
As of May 2024, 7 months after the payment was sent to Ida [September 20th], Cadil made her tweet with the google document, proving that Ida had scammed them and still refused to either make the commission for them or refund them the money that they essentially just took from them.

The money that Ida essentially scammed from people probably reaches in the low thousands considering the fact that her commissions are relatively expensive and the fact that she has multiple victims.

Link to the reddit comment testifying how they commissioned Ida and they received nothing in reply too; https://www.reddit.com/r/pyrocynical/comments/1hnjwy1/comment/m438ceq

Now Pyrocynical had responded to the situation on a livestream on October 27th 2024, speaking on his girlfriend's behalf instead of having Ida actually explain herself or simply just talk and negotiate with her clients in private.
In Pyrocynical’s response he attempts to downplay the situation and making excuses for why Ida or artists in general may not have commissions delivered at a specific time, he uses mental health as an example, but then quickly claims that he is not using it an an excuse to justify Ida’s behaviour, despite the fact there was no reason to bring up the topic to begin with because the topic was regarding Ida scamming clients for over a year, regardless of what excuse an artist may have, it does not justify an ultimate lack of transparency with people who have paid hundreds of dollars for artwork and have waited months for it. If Pyrocynical was bringing up the topic of mental health in this situation, what purpose would it serve for him to specify if not for it being a flimsy excuse?

Pyrocynical claims that Ida will be either completing the commissions or refunding the individuals affected, but this later turns out to be untrue as we will soon discuss. Pyrocynical also affirms that she was not trying to ‘ignore it or sweep it under the rug’, despite the fact that is exactly what she was doing for numerous months and in some cases, well over a year. She would have not taken action or corrected her mistakes if she wasn’t called out for scamming numerous people to begin with. She had ample opportunity to communicate with her clients and she actively chose not to. The excuse Pyrocynical uses that she ‘quit Twitter’, is not valid under

any circumstance to warrant not communicating with people who have already paid them money.
Also notice how Pyrocynical attempts to interject his own anecdotal story into a situation to do with his own fans being scammed by his girlfriend making it partially about himself. He attempts to bring up a case where he was scammed to divert the topic of conversation away from his girlfriend, to build up a case of sympathy for himself and present the problem as a more grand issue undermining the actions of his girlfriend, despite the fact that attention needed to be directed on the fact that his own significant other had pocketed thousands of dollars from paying customers being his own supporters.

Pyrocynical; **'Personal example right is I've commissioned artists and I've never got it. I've commissioned art and I've had to wait years, I get that every single artist has different rates, different times sometimes you know mental health is a thing that goes into it as well obviously I'm not saying that as an excuse for what you know what's going on with her… I have talked to her about it in private and she's basically planning on either finishing the pieces that she owes or refunding the people so it's you know it's not like she's trying to ignore it or sweep under the rug uh she's basically completely quit Twitter entirely like she doesn't use it anymore but yeah'**
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t8ZNhABeG9s **5:26 - 6:04**

Now discussed earlier, when Pyrocynical claimed his girlfriend would be 'either finishing the pieces' or 'refunding the people', this did not turn out to be true whatsoever. 2 months after Pyrocynical responded to the situation on stream, and another post was made on the r/Pyrocynical subreddit detailing how absolutely nothing came of Ida. Ida has not responded to

them in regards to the commission and they couldn't even get in contact with her, and that despite them trying to reach out to Pyrocynical to contact him, Pyrocynical had not responded to them on any platform. This is also followed by his own moderators and admins on discord failing to get them in touch with Pyro too.

So not only did Pyrocynical lie, when claiming that Ida was not running away with the money, but he also lied when claiming that she would be refunding people, because 2 months after making that response, the victims still did not receive payment.
Pyrocynical had ample opportunity to press Ida on refunding those affected and it would have taken barely any effort to do. The fact that 2 months after it was personally acknowledged by Pyro and still no initiative or action was taken by either parties speaks volumes.
The fact that Pyrocynical doesn't reach out to those affected to get them in contact with Ida, or the fact that Ida has failed to make a public response or accountability statement on how she is going to address the situation or move forward is also extremely puzzling.



Pyrocynical's second response also continued to lack any initiative, he again attempts to interject his own situation where he was scammed by artists years ago, but attempts to claim that it is not an excuse in regards to Ida, but then follows up by stating 'it can take time for some artists to get back to people they commission'. Now either Pyrocynical is lying and he is attempting to excuse Ida here by attempting to downplay the situation and rationalise why she is taking so long as to contact the victims, or he is willfully ignorant. There is absolutely no excuse, nor justification for why Ida took numerous months to even years to communicate with those who commissioned her, it adds even more salt to the wound for Ida to have still not got in contact with the victims after Pyrocynical first acknowledged the situation in October 2024, and for Pyrocynical himself to have lacked the initiative as to get the victims in contact with Ida or push Ida to immediately compensate her clients who have waited a ridiculously long time. Just because Pyrocynical was scammed himself, does not suddenly justify or alleviate culpability away from his own girlfriend, and if his comment about artists taking time 'was not an excuse', then what was it? Even Pyrocynical's second response to the situation was poorly received with him receiving many downvotes by his own supporters. The response was seen as having zero substance, with many users clarifying how refunding people on PayPal was not hard at all.

How Pyrocynical's Girlfriend Stole THOUSANDS From His Fans;
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fscKiCWFGeE

Pyrocynical Is Dating A Scammer; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xSV_AccfifQ

The Reddit post discussing the situation; https://www.reddit.com/r/pyrocynical/s/QUYyOIsttR

As of the continued creation of this document - 28th April 2025, Ida has still not resolved the scamming situation and has still pocketed thousands of dollars from her clients. She has still actively chosen to not refund the individuals despite Niall actively knowing what is going on, and Niall telling her to refund Pixii, to which it would have been obvious to Ida that she had many others to refund as they were even tweeting her about it in his replies.

Link to the Reddit comment; https://www.reddit.com/r/pyrocynical/s/UbvqKNIz5s

Even members of Pyrocynical's subreddit have voiced that they simply just want money or even a response from her, because Ida has been increasingly harder to contact and reach out to.

The fact that Pyrocynical can’t simply just push Ida to refund everyone else speaks volumes because he managed to get Pixii refunded in the space of a few days.



However now that we have covered Ida’s extensive history of foul behaviour when it comes to deliberately not refunding people and not being transparent with clients who have paid her, now we will cover the main context in which relates to her, and that is the large role she plays in relation to the Ivory Rasmus situation.

Ida's dynamic with Ivory

Now for context and clarification, Ida was born on March 19th 2000 and was someone who was willing to commission furry fetish drawings for Ivory in 2017, despite knowing how old he was and being openly told he was 15 in April by Ivory himself. The deleted user presented here is Ida. Ida has not denied the account being her and in Twitter messages recorded by Ivory in 2020, Ida confirms nonetheless that she knew Ivory was a minor.





Now when this was originally brought up by Turkey Tom, in his original google document, it was countered by Pyrocynical attempting to claim that despite him eventually getting in a relationship with Ida, that ended up transpiring 2 years after Ida knew of Ivory's age in 2017. Pyrocynical claims that there was no reason for Ida to have communicated Ivory's age to him as it was a personal matter to Ivory. Pyrocynical in his response video also downplays how much he often contacted Ida.

Pyrocynical: '**But if you look at the dms Ivory provided himself for this document you can see that he's confiding with Ida about age being a problem. Keep in mind as well I was not in a relationship with Ida until about mid-2019 this document claims it as soon-to-be but like it's a whole two years before we actually start dating and two whole years before this conversation**

took place. I mean we both talked before but we weren't close she had no reason to confide with me with someone else's private information so back when Ivory opened up to Ida about something private there is no guarantee that she would have came and told me despite the fact that this document and videos based off this document claim it as concrete irrefutable proof.'
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **11:06 - 11:49**

Pyrocynical openly downplays the friend circle that had developed between himself, Ida and Ivory in his response video.
Ida is seen in dms with Ivory on 6th April 2017, mentioning how she and Pyrocynical would call '**almost every other day**', not only showing how close they were as friends and mutuals at the time, but also exemplifying the fact that Pyrocynical openly lied in his response once again.



Pyrocynical is seen exhibiting patterns of a liar and consistently **downplaying** his relationship or relations with numerous people. He did this with Lou, the 14 year old French girl that he dated, by claiming he never dated her, and now he is seen belittling and downplaying how close he was with Ida at the time, to distance himself from her connections with Ivory at the time, as to not incriminate himself by association and from Ida had stated to Ivory on Twitter.







As clearly shown, Ida responded when Ivory had referred to them as **Iza**, this is very important and will be referred to later. In the other images presented, it shows how this specific deleted user was in fact Ida. In 2019 she shows Ivory an image of her and Pyrocynical in a room together, to which when utilising google reverse search features, the image comes up with no results, showing how it is a unique and original image.

Video of Ivory scrolling through his discord dms with Ida



[LEFT] **[RIGHT]**

[LEFT] Ida is seen a day later on June 7th 2019, posting a picture of herself in the exact room as she was in with Pyrocynical, in the image she sent to Ivory a day prior, further adding more validity to the fact that she was behind the deleted user account that Ivory was specifying his age to. Ida was also seen sending a video to Ivory on the 6th June 2019, showing Pyrocynical and her in a restaurant together. This video was specifically sent to Ivory.

Now in regards to the video of Ivory scrolling through his discord dms with Ida, and you can see that Ida's deleted discord account has the tag 'Deleted User ff8fe049#1509', this is done when Ivory scrolls to the right of his personal dms with Ida on discord and shows the red profile bearing the specific deleted user tag.
This exact tag that is seen of the account Ivory messaged in private dms is also the same exact account present in a defunct group chat which contained Ivory and Pyrocynical.
Pyrocynical owned the group chat and had Ivory and Ida present inside of it and messages can be seen having been posted there as late as 2019. Indicating how their friend group dynamic was much more prominent than what Pyrocynical originally claimed in his response video.





[LEFT] **[RIGHT]**

As shown, in the **[LEFT]** screenshot, it shows personal dms with Ida, showing that Ida's deleted discord tag bears the distinct tag 'Deleted User ff8fe049#1509'. This is the exact same account which was present in the **[RIGHT]** screenshot in a group chat with Pyrocynical and Ivory. Unilaterally proving how the account was Ida, and was affiliated with Pyrocynical.





The green account ‘Deleted User 49d1e8c5#9982’, as discussed earlier, was Pyrocynical as proven by the fact that they both bear the same discord ID of 108354332730105856. This account was a server owner of the Pyrocynical discord server and bared the tag ‘lol#9982’, confirming that it was Pyrocynical and Ida in that group chat.

So now that we have proven that the account Ivory was messaging was Ida, and Ida was someone who was close to Pyrocynical and regularly called him, despite Pyrocynical severely downplaying his associations to Ida in 2017 in his response video, let’s continue with the messages.





In discord messages with Ida, dated back to April 4th 2017, Ivory would have been 15, and Ida would have been 17.
Ivory is seen discussing how he is worried that Pyrocynical would regret being sexual with him because of his age being 15. By the way the messages by Ivory are worded, **it heavily alludes that Pyrocynical was aware of his age**, however as Ivory puts it; may decide to eventually cut things off as he does not wish to continue to engage in another large age gap dynamic that may result in large controversy, just like with the French girl situation. Ivory highlights that Pyrocynical could have the feeling of regret over time after reconsidering his actions. There is absolutely no inclination that Ivory meant he fears that Pyrocynical would feel regret 'when he knows of his age', this is specified to prevent any people misinterpreting Ivory's statements.
There was no indication in these dms that Ivory was actually withholding his age from Pyrocynical or lying to him about his age, as Pyrocynical even stated in his own response video that Ivory never lied about his age to him, further proving that he never specified an age to him that ended up being wrong, just that according to Pyrocynical 'Ivory didn't disclose it until he was 16'.
Pyrocynical; '**Me including the anecdotal evidence led to the belief that ivory lied about his age. That is never a claim I have made that he has done to me, I've only said that I did not know his age at 15.**'
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **13:50 - 14:00**

The idea that Ivory was completely secretive regarding his age is simply unfounded and not true, he made his age known in friend groups that attested that they knew Ivory was 15, and Ivory had made his age known publicly on Twitter numerous times, to which Pyrocynical used an **inconsistent alibi** to claim as to why he did not see his tweets. Ivory was opening up to Ida and told her to keep the topic secret in regards to him being interested in dating Pyrocynical, Ivory was fearful that Pyrocynical would retroactively look back at his 'friendship' with him and decide to cut him off when he looks back at the nature of their discussions due to his age, if he has a change of heart. Ivory had referenced his situation being similar to the French girl situation, in which Pyrocynical knew the age of the French girl too. There was also no mention or indication by Ida, that Pyrocynical did not know the age of Ivory.

> Q: Did he know your age?
> A: He did, but I don't have proof of that in message form. We talked often enough for him to know my full name, my ex boyfriend and I's drama, and he followed me on twitter on both of his accounts, where I've always had my age listed in my bio. He absolutely knew my age, and I know I told him multiple times. Either way, his girlfriend confirmed they knew I was underage in the video I posted.on the previously linked imgur.

This can all be corroborated by Ivory in an archived Twitlonger in 2020 attesting that Pyrocynical did know his age and it was discussed in calls before.

Now in the dms presented Ida stated that Pyrocynical would not be dissuaded from being in a theoretical relationship with him regardless of the age gap. In Pyrocynical's response video, he explains how it would have not made sense for Ida to have contacted him about some 'random

conversation', when she has no incentive to. Completely obfuscating from his own audience that Ivory, Ida and him had an entire group chat and spoke regularly even back in 2017.

Pyrocynical; '**Why would Ida think to tell me about a random conversation that she had with someone over two years ago**'.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **12:12-12:17**







[Image 1] **[Image 2]** **[Image 3]**

Pyrocynical in his response video attempted to dramatically downplay the dynamic that himself, Ida and Ivory had. Pyrocynical's claim that '**I mean we both talked before but we weren't close'**, is completely untrue because Ida herself stated that she and Pyrocynical talked 'almost every other day'. **[Image 1]** Ivory is seen attesting that Ida had sent him fat furry fetish content she made when he was a minor, to which Ivory shares with Pyrocynical.
[Image 2] Ivory is seen asking Pyrocynical if he wants to play Dark Souls 3, while he and Ida are on call. Showing how close Ida and Ivory were at the time. **[April 21st 2017]**
[Image 3] Ivory is seen sharing commissioned artwork of his haunter sona which Ida made for him, Ivory shared this with Pyrocynical, to which Niall claims 'it is cute'. **[March 15th 2017]**

This is included to build up a case that the dynamic between Ivory, Ida and Pyrocynical, was much closer than Pyrocynical lets be known in his response video.

More instances of Ida having made tweets under her old alias ‘Daflummify’, where she refers to herself as Iza, just to further prove how **Iza was an alias she went by** when referring back to the messages between Ivory and Pyrocynical.



<< As seen in these message exchanges, Ivory explains to Pyrocynical how Ida was posting ‘thicc’ furry fetish pornography of his fox fursona on the furry porn website e621.

This is concerning for a plethora of reasons; Ida was born on March 19th 2000, with her age being present on her Twitter bio. This would have meant that at the time of her accessing the furry porn website e621, and while creating this art, she would have been a minor, being 17 years old as these messages by Ivory were sent on **August 31st 2017**. Another problematic factor to discuss would be how Ivory even had access to Ida’s e621 at the age of 16, unless Ida had specifically told him and/or linked him the content she was making.





As discerned by the messages, it is clear judging by Ivory's responses, that Pyrocynical was uncomfortable with the images being posted publicly on a furry porn website. With Ivory suggesting Pyrocynical to 'just ask her to take it down or something'.

Pyrocynical can be assumed to have mentioned in these messages that he does not wish people to know that he commissioned this art, to which Ivory urges Pyrocynical to use a well crafted lie and just claim it is 'fan art', in case anyone were to bring it up.

Judging by the messages it is clear that **Pyrocynical most likely commissioned Ida at 17**, to have created these instances of furry fetish pornographic content, and did not wish people or the public to find out about his fetishes, to which is something he admitted in his own response video.

The messages Ivory stated where he tells Pyrocynical to just claim it was 'fan art', heavily alludes to the idea that Pyro got Ida to create this art himself as per his request, however he wished it to be a private commission.

For context, the age gap between Pyrocynical and Ida is 2 years 10 months. Nothing necessarily predatory, however it is concerning if Pyrocynical did commission Ida at 17, to create furry fetish content of his character when he himself was 20.







These messages took place on September 2nd 2017, meaning Ida was at least 17 years 5 months old when she was creating the furry pornographic images and uploading them to e621. Pyrocynical at the time was 20 years 3 months old, and Ivory was 16 years 4 months old.

The messages provided on the **[RIGHT]** screenshot show a clearer indication of the date that the messages were sent, in the video some dates are hard to decipher as Ivory is seen quickly scrolling through hundreds of messages. The messages presented were sent on the same day as the discourse regarding Ida's furry commission drawings being posted publicly on a furry porn website.





Ivory is seen asking Pyrocynical if the fat furry fetish content he just posted was drawn by Iza. As proven by message logs presented on the **[RIGHT]**, this took place on **July 31st 2017**. Corroborating the fact that Pyrocynical openly knew Ida was creating furry pornographic drawings while she was still a minor, and was perhaps openly complicit in the creation of them, as judged by Ivory having stated to him earlier that if anyone found out, **'just claim it's fanart'**. Pyrocynical was worried about his complicity in the situation if people found out.

Once again this represents another situation where Pyrocynical had shared furry pornographic content to Ivory after knowing he was 16 years of age on July 5th 2017.

Judging by the conversation change of the dm exchanges too, it is clear Ivory and Pyrocynical then started to sexually fantasise once again about their fetishes. Pyrocynical was complicit in these discussions as Ivory is seen replying to a deleted message of his with 'mmmh', insinuating that Pyrocynical instigated the conversation, and at bare minimum was complicit in its continuation. Judging by the fact that Ivory had asked if the image was drawn by Ida, it is just

further evidence to build a case that Ida and Pyrocynical were closer in reality than what he tried to claim in his response video, where he feigns ignorance about Ivory's age despite Ida knowing, and then playing down his dynamic with Ida, despite proof being shown that they casually talked back in 2017.





On **23rd November 2017**, Ivory is seen telling Pyrocynical that he and his friends such as Eli (artist), Ida and others were present in a private server and asked Pyrocynical if he wished to call with them and speak.
Pyrocynical is seen offering if they could call elsewhere to which Ivory states that they don't wish to move, so Ivory instead invites Pyrocynical to call with them in their private discord server.

This once again exemplifies the fact that Ida, Pyrocynical and Ivory were much closer than Pyrocynical tries to establish in his response video. Pyrocynical systematically obfuscated the fact that he would join calls with Ida and Ivory and would occasionally speak to them. This is to deflate his associations to Ida because in her dms with Ivory, Ida made it abundantly known that she knew Ivory's age when he was 15. Regardless, these message logs I am providing, is to prove a pattern of Pyrocynical lying in his response video, most importantly in this situation; him underplaying his interactions with Ida during 2017/18, while also highlighting how close Ivory

was to Ida and Ida was to Pyrocynical throughout the time period of Pyrocynical and Ivory sexually messaging each other and how she was a recurring figure.





On 18th April 2018, Pyrocynical is shown to have posted ‘thicc’ furry fetish pornography to Ivory, to which Ivory asks if it was made by Iza [Ida].

Once again this builds up on the narrative that Pyrocynical was still continuously sharing pornographic content to Ivory when he was a minor.

Ivory would be 16 years old, turning 17 in 3 days, with Pyrocynical being 20 turning 21 in less than a month.





Ivory is even seen establishing to Pyrocynical that **Ida was drawing thicc furry fetish art** to which he was actively viewing being made at the time. Keep in mind **Ivory was a minor at the time.**

This also coincides with the fact that Ida at the time was most likely creating this art as Pyrocynical was commissioning them in private to create content of this nature. This can be easily derived from the fact that on e621, at the age of 17, Ida was seen creating furry fetish images of Niall's sona, and in private messages between Ivory and Niall, Niall was clearly anxious that Ida was making the images public on furry porn sites with Ivory suggesting for him just to lie and claim it to be fanart, to draw suspicion away from the fact that he most likely requested the content himself. If he utilised the "fanart" defense, he could then use the excuse that he didn't actually request for the content to be made. And therefore avoid facing criticism from the fact that a 17 year old girl was creating pornographic and fetishistic content for him when he was an adult.





In DMs with Niall, Ivory is also seen messaging about how Ida mentioned that she and Niall would be watching a movie together, once again proving how Ivory knew a lot more about their relationship and dynamic than Niall originally let on in his response video. Ivory is even seen stating in response to Pyrocynical's deleted message "if I could that'd be great", to which it could only be assumed that he was invited to watch the movie with them both. These messages took place in **September 2017.**

Furthermore Ivory is even seen mentioning about possibly commissioning Ida once she is less stressed with commissions she was doing.



<< Ivory is even seen thanking Niall about the idea of him paying and booking for a Hotel, with Pyrocynical having replied, “what, did Iza tell you”, with Ivory replying “yes we were talking about it and such”.
As you can clearly see, these messages took place on **7th April 2017**, and were some of the last archived messages of Pyrocynical’s discord account that still remained present before they were mostly purged.
It clearly shows that at the time, Ida was speaking and sharing a lot of personal information to Ivory, and that Ivory oftentimes spoke to Niall about it too, showing the close dynamic they all had with one another. It also shows that Niall and Ida were speaking a lot in private DMs at the time, hence why the idea of booking a Hotel was brought up between them, with Ida later informing Ivory, therefore proving Niall wrong when he drastically downplayed his connections to Ida at the time in his response video.

Furthermore it shows that a Hotel was being planned to be booked with Ivory expressing his excitement in regards to it, however the nature for why this was going to take place is currently unclear.

Now, when taking into consideration that Ivory’s old Twitter account was called “@Ivory446633”, by searching Twitter conversations between “@secretcorgii” [Ida] and Ivory’s old Twitter account, you can clearly start to picture how close they actually were with one another.
In a Tweet made by Ida on April 23rd 2017, she had even streamed on Twitch with both Pyrocynical and Ivory. Proving that Pyrocynical dramatically downplayed his connections to Ida where he claimed they “were not close”, in order to utilise the plausible deniability card in regards to whether he ever knew Ivory was 15 from Ida.





Ida is also seen earlier having originally streamed with both Ivory and SolidShibe, before Pyrocynical joined a few hours later.
https://x.com/secretcorgii/status/856148187270307841?s=46

Ida is once again seen streaming her commissions with Ivory 4 days later on 27th April 2017.
https://x.com/secretcorgii/status/857694295280713728?s=46



Pyrocynical is seen interacting with Ida on twitter in May 2016, retweeting her artwork and referencing her as ‘CORGI’.





The idea that Pyrocynical and Ida were not close either can be instantly dismantled by the fact that the earliest instance of them interacting with one another can be dated back to early 2016 therefore adding basis to the idea that they were considerably closer a year following in 2017. In these archives Pyrocynical is seen on Twitter tagging Ida and retweeting her artwork. One specific piece shows a suggestive image of Pyrocynical's fox sona as Tracer from Overwatch with well defined buttocks. Clearly there is a possibility that Niall most likely commissioned or requested this art.

the big pyrocynical 님이 리트윗했습니다

corgi @Daflummify · 4월 28일

multiple of my markers died in the process rest in pieces

the big pyrocynical 님

108 930 •••

---

the big pyrocynical 님이 리트윗했습니다

corgi @Daflummify · 5월 15일

sad

the big pyrocynical 님



46 615 •••

---

the big pyrocynical 님이 리트윗했습니다

corgi @Daflummify · 4월 8일

@pyroalt

Save me from the nothing I've become

75 698 •••

---

the big pyrocynical @pyrocynical · 20 apr.

meme by @Daflummify

302 1,3 tn •••

---

the big pyrocynical Retweeted

corgi @Daflummify · Apr 10

tired baby @pyroalt

167 1.1K •••

---

the big pyrocynical 님이 리트윗했습니다

corgi @Daflummify · 5월 3일

たわごとの雌犬のお尻のホモダチ毛皮のような作品は、たわごとを話してここに来ることはありません

the big pyrocynical 님



81 601 •••

corgi 님에게 보내는 답글

the big pyrocynical @pyrocynical · 5월 3일

@Daflummify thicc

6 121 •••

---

the big pyrocynical hat retweetet

corgi @Daflummify · 16. Apr.

@pyroalt Ayyyyyyyyy lmao

63 517 •••

Als Antwort an corgi

the big pyrocynical @pyrocynical · 8. Apr.

@Daflummify that style damn

34 •••

Other such instances show Pyrocynical retweeting and actively engaging with the artwork created by Ida.
Archives;
https://web.archive.org/web/20160606153135/https://twitter.com/Pyrocynical/with_replies

Pyrocynical allegedly commissioned Ida when she was a minor

As it was specified earlier in this document, **there is reasonable belief** behind the fact that Pyrocynical had relied on Ida to create furry fetish pornography for him, and commissioned this content for himself.

Pyrocynical was seen on **May 3rd 2016**, tagging Ida and stating the word “thicc”, specifically in reference to the type of artwork that he commissions and regularly consumed. Very coincidentally Ida would be seen creating “thicc” content of Pyrocynical’s sona only a month later.

In DMs between Ivory and Niall, Ivory had sent a link to Ida’s e621 post, with the link having the description embedded stating “ccccccccake”.



This description **matches identically** to an image still available on the website.





Link to the page **[NSFW warning]**; https://e621.net/posts/1264312#tag-list

As you can see the post was uploaded to the platform on **July 2nd 2017**. This was also followed by 2 more art pieces originally created by **Ida**, uploaded on the exact same day.



Link to the page **[suggestive content warning]**; https://e621.net/posts/1264310

Link to the page **[suggestive content warning]**;
https://e621.net/posts/1264308

Archive links to all e621 art pieces **[NSFW warning];**
e621 posts that credit Ida:
https://e621.net/posts/1264308 / https://archive.md/fRfIF
https://e621.net/posts/1264310 / https://archive.md/pfcOU
https://e621.net/posts/1264312 / https://archive.md/5RQtI

As you can see, all 3 posts shown were uploaded to e621 on the same day, **July 2nd 2017**.



Ida was born on **March 19th 2000**, meaning that when these instances of art were uploaded, she was [17 years 3 months old].

Result save

Age:
17 years 3 months 14 days





This effectively meant that Ida was creating furry fetish pornography of Pyrocynical's fursona and uploading it to a furry porn website when she was a minor. This was also during the time that she and Niall were in contact with one another. The date of the furry fetish art being uploaded was July 2nd 2017, **meaning that the art was created earlier**. Ivory was seen verbatim stating that Iza [Ida] had posted the "new thicc of ur boy", on e621, meaning that it was art created directly based off of his character. Judging by Ivory's messages when he stated 'i think it should be fine uwu', followed by 'oop', 'das no bueno', clearly it can be easily derived that Pyrocynical did not want the art to be public, he wanted it to remain only a private commission, this is something he makes abundantly obvious in reference to future commissions Ivory is seen attempting to get, with Pyrocynical allegedly being seen in removed messages affirming if the commission would be private.



Judging by the archived DMs between Niall and Ivory, it was evident that Pyrocynical most likely commissioned these instances of furry fetish pornography from Ida when she was a minor. Judging by Ivory then telling Niall that he could lie and claim that it's just "fanart", if anyone were to bring it up. As instances of fanart are done mostly without the creator knowing beforehand. In reference to the other 65 drawings of his character which Ivory references, it is abundantly clear that Pyrocynical was focused more on the "thicc drawings", referenced, because he did not want people knowing what his degenerate fetish was.



When looking at when the images were originally uploaded, the oldest instances can be traced back to rule 34, with the account in which originally uploaded these images being a **throwaway account**, having uploaded all 3 images on the exact same day, **August 12th 2016.**

[NSFW WARNING]

r34 posts dated August 12 2016:

https://rule34.paheal.net/post/view/1949386 / https://archive.md/UDLuf
https://rule34.paheal.net/post/view/1949385 / https://archive.md/97rnV
https://rule34.paheal.net/post/view/1949384 / https://archive.md/d9BC4

One example is presented:





This would effectively mean **Ida was 16** years old at the time of her creating these instances of furry fetish pornographic artwork for Pyrocynical, and if this throwaway account was actually operated by her [this account only ever posted the 3 referenced Pyrocynical fetish images made by Ida on the exact same day], then we would also have the factor in that Ida at the age of 16 also then uploaded these instances of furry fetish pornography onto a porn site.

This timeframe would match with the tweet Pyrocynical is seen making in reference to Ida creating “thicc” content, on May 3rd 2016. When Ida would have **just turned 16, Niall would be turning 19 in 11 days.**





Ivory was also seen in DMs with Pyrocynical on 21st September 2017, stating that he was on call watching Ida [Iza] doing a commission and Niall is seen stating that he wished he had more or better art pieces made as he was often jealous of people getting more art than him [this will be brought up in reference to Assault later on], to which Ivory is seen recommending Ida to commission art from, to which Pyrocynical is assumed to be seen agreeing with, in which Ivory is seen responding with “mhrms”.

The “thicc”, artwork in which Ivory is seen sharing to Niall in which he claims he saw Ida create, bears a **direct resemblance** to the artwork originally uploaded to Rule34 in 2016.

With all of these factors addressed, it really is not outside the realms of possibility that Niall did in fact commission Ida to create art of his sexual fetishes while she was a minor.

Ida victim blaming Ivory

During the 2020 Pyrocynical allegations, Ida had contacted Ivory in private Twitter messages, to which she is seen victim blaming Ivory and defending the age gap.

Ida is seen first contacting Ivory and stating that Ivory could have contacted Niall about this originally instead of ruining his career. She goes on to state that from her point of view it appeared as if Ivory had a crush on Niall and had asked if he would ever be with a guy, to which Niall was unsure. Ida goes on to state that "**fiction is different than irl**", obviously downplaying the dynamic that Ivory had with Pyrocynical.
She then asks why Ivory felt the need to ever come out about what Niall did to him, and that it was "not cool".





Ivory is seen stating that he did this because they "**knew a lot of people who had the same stuff happen to them**", inferring that there are many other potential victims of Niall's inappropriate behaviour. Ivory stated he has **nothing to personally gain** and he wants to come out to **encourage other possible victims to also share their experiences** and story to the public. Ivory also highlighted that he was dissuaded from contacting Niall about the situation specifically because he would only receive a "half-assed apology".


Verizon
5:29 PM
ida • commissions open
Yesterday 9:39 AM
Like I remember you specifically sent fat art to him and furry shit backa md fourth saying and it being mutual. I remember it from calls and dms. You can't call it grooming when you we're the one flirting with him and seeing if he would bite? I don't understand lol
Yesterday 9:40 AM
how wasn't it grooming when he sent me that shit first and I played along
Yesterday 9:41 AM
If you feel the need to talk about it why not go to Niall himself and be like hey can we talk about it
Played along? You told me personally you liked it
Yesterday 9:42 AM
GIF
Start a message

The 14 year old French Girl - Louanne

One of the biggest lies Pyrocynical had pushed was when **he successfully managed to gaslight his entire audience into thinking the French Girl did not exist**.
Still to this day people consistently claim the French Girl situation was false and 'debunked', because Keemstar was fed conflicting information from third party sources present on Skype and ended up botching the situation by consistently switching between the ages.
Pyrocynical then heavily attempted to discredit the situation by homing into Keemstar's inconsistencies, to paint a narrative that it was entirely baseless and false however **the French Girl did in fact exist.**

Lou is present on Twitter under the alias **ectofrog** and confirms the fact that she was the French Girl. Her old Twitter account before she deactivated it was called **FlyLaMouche** and had the Twitter tag; '**@dumoria67**'
Link to her tweet confirming the fact she was the French Girl;
https://x.com/ectofrog/status/1370434544453713920?s=46

Lou is then seen stating that she originally dated Niall [Pyrocynical], around the beginning of 2015, and then stated she broke off the relationship with Pyrocynical in 2015, 2 weeks later.

Lou was born on July 14th 2001, and Pyrocynical was born on May 14th 1997, meaning that the age gap between them was **4 years 2 months**.
When Pyrocynical would have originally dated Lou in **early 2015**, **Lou would have just turned 14**, and Pyrocynical would have been 18.
The age gap is **inherently predatory** and absolutely disgusting, for reference a 14 year old is an early adolescent and young teenager, compared to a 18 year old in which is a legal adult culpable for their own actions, **they are on completely different spectrums of life**. A 14 year old does not have the mental cognitivity present to understand the possible ramifications of engaging in a relationship with older individuals and that in turn makes them **susceptible to forms of manipulation** and vulnerable to either intentional or even unintentional forms of abuse or control/influence exerted from the other party. **14 year olds are oftentimes developmentally underdeveloped** compared to 18 year olds who are coming out of puberty, **and the difference in maturity and mannerisms forms a massive maturity and power dynamic**. The idea that a 14 and 18 year old can date as long as it is 'platonic', and only romantically driven does a disservice and attempts to discredit how predatory the age gap dynamic is regardless. There is no logical excuse for an 18 year old, legally culpable for their own actions and who has the full mental cognitivity behind their actions; to engage in any relationship with a newly turned 14 year old. **The age gap would be severely criticised and looked down upon by the court of public opinion.**

As seen in this tweet; [Lou on X: "@IAm8BitRyan The way this is from 2015...i was 14... I'M GON BE 19 Hi I love u ryan i doubt you remember me tho 💛" / X]
Lou is seen establishing the fact that Dumoria67 was her old Twitter account, by replying to a tweet 8-BitRyan made in reply to her old defunct account and saying ‘the way this is from 2015… **I was 14**’. Further adding validity on the fact that she was 14 in 2015, when she started dating Pyrocynical.



As seen in this tweet; [Lou on X: "@anything4views @Dumoria67 @KEEMSTAR Chad i should've listened to you 💔💔💔" / X]
Lou is seen further referencing her old defunct account in which anything4views had mentioned on Twitter in reply to a deleted Keemstar tweet. Lou is seen stating ‘Chad I should’ve listened to you’. This further corroborates the fact that she ran the account ‘Dumoria67’.





As shown in the screenshots, on the **[LEFT]**, a Twitter user shows Dumoria67's contact profile showing that their alias was 'FlyLaMouche', showing that their skype had the tag 'dumoria-67'. This is also proven by the **[RIGHT]** screenshot from an internet archive capture of her defunct YouTube channel, showing that her alias was FlyLaMouche, and she had her Twitter handle present in the banner being 'dumoria67' as well as her YouTube channel handle being 'dumoria67'.











In 2015, it was known by people who interacted with Lou's account that she was 13 turning 14 in 2015, and that her birthday was on July 14th, as indicated by a deleted tweet she made where she announced it was her birthday. She never obfuscated this information and her followers actively knew how old she was. As provided in numerous instances, many people including the YouTuber Dawko had wished her a happy birthday.

There is no excuse Pyrocynical can make that she either obfuscated her age, or that her age was not public, because **many people referred to her as 14 years of age.**

[🧪ABSOLUTELY PHANTASTIC🔞⚠️🕶️ on X: "@SpiffingGamer @Dumoria67 But you're 13" / X]

[Noah ☃️⚔️🎧🔧💄💚 on X: "@Dumoria67 welcome to the 14 year old club! http://t.co/3Re6aQ1fd7" / X]

[Jade on X: "@Dumoria67 I... Not revealing your name, fly, 14, female, straight, French, single, you like drawing you dislike dropshock fact U have a cat" / X]

[ms.kunt on X: "@Dumoria67 youre 14. You've...nvm" / X]



On June 20th 2016, Lou is seen making her date of birth known as it is consistently present on her Twitter bio. This can be clearly seen on the internet archive.



Anything4views, a close associate and friend to Pyrocynical is seen referring to Lou as being 15. This is most likely due to him rounding her age up as in just over 5 months she would be turning 15. **Lou made it no secret that she was 14 on Twitter**, at most it was miscommunication on Chad's part.





In another Tweet, Lou is seen confirming on her ectofrog account that she missed her old Twitter account with the tag ‘dumoria67’. [(1) Lou on X: "sometimes I miss my old @ and old acc. (@/dumoria67)" / X]





In order to make the timeline easier to understand and more digestible, the rumours that Pyrocynical was dating Lou were circulating in very early 2016, meaning that the relationship most likely ended months prior as Lou was already referred to as an ‘ex girlfriend’ by February. As claimed by Lou, she had already started to meet up with, and date Ancient Reality in early 2016 and claimed that her relationship with Niall ended in 2015.



Memecorp, also known as Vagabonds, someone who will be mentioned later, is seen referring to Lou in a message to his ex-girlfriend who he allegedly had sexual relations with when he was 19 and she was 15. He describes how ‘a lot of people know about us…’, ‘and pyrocynical did the same thing I did with you, except she was 14’. **This adds more credibility to the fact that Lou was publicly known to be 14** at the time of 2015 and early 2016.

Vagabonds [Memecorp] along with Snipars and ChiliCSGO, were the initial people involved in the 2016 Skype GC, which were discussing the situation originally with Keemstar.

Pyrocynical lied about the French Girl

Now that we have proven that the French Girl did in fact exist and she operated an account called Dumoria67, before we cover the interview where she testified that she dated Pyrocynical and cover the dynamic of their relationship, **it is crucial to highlight how Pyrocynical successfully managed to gaslight and manipulate his easily impressionable fanbase into thinking the girl did not exist.**

The situation originally started when Keemstar had been contacted by detractors of Pyrocynical on Skype, being Vagabonds, ChiliCSGO and Snipars bringing up information that Pyro was dating an underaged girl. Keemstar then contacted Pyrocynical on March 22nd 2016 on whether the allegations had any validity **to which he stringently denied.**



Essentially the entire French Girl situation started to gain traction following the Tobuscus allegations, where Keemstar had originally made a Drama Alert video covering the situation in regards to Toby Turner's alleged victim, April Skywalker. **[April 5th 2016]**



This prompted Pyrocynical to make a video addressing the situation and criticising Keemstar for not making a clearer distinction that the topic he was covering were merely allegations in which

was uploaded on **April 10th 2016**. As many people took the allegations at face value and started to dislike bomb Tobuscus' channel.



This then prompted Keemstar to respond angrily, with him threatening to release '**files on top of files**', and then bringing up the French Girl situation on Twitter response videos and livestreams, to which due to conflicting information being fed to him at the time, caused Keemstar to change the ages because of miscommunication. The conflict between Pyrocynical and Keemstar continued over the course of many days.

Pyrocynical then seized on the opportunity to make a video titled 'My Experience With KEEMSTAR' **[June 9th 2019]**, to **lie to his audience and claim that the situation was fabricated and false**. Many people believed Pyrocynical's response video as Keemstar had an already tainted credibility at the time, and was seen as an inconsistent and unreliable narrator of allegations as he had consistently changed the ages of the French Girl, and didn't develop a cohesive video on the subject clearly illustrating to viewers that she was a real individual. Ultimately it was easier for Pyrocynical to gain an upper hand in this situation because Keemstar at the time was making claims which were **seen** as baseless and out of emotion and vitriol, Keemstar was also seen as unable to take criticism.

Pyrocynical; **'And if that wasn't bad enough, Keem is now trying to spread even more bullshit, saying that I'm dating underage girls and saying outright on stream that I'm a pedophile. This story is total bullshit. I know exactly the three individuals who told Keem and even got in a Skype group chat with him.'**
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7uREs5GKCdM **14:16 - 14:22**

Pyrocynical was seen claiming categorically that the situation was **'total bullshit'** despite him knowing that Keemstar had basis. Pyrocynical openly lied to his fanbase and still to this day, the overwhelming majority of people believe that the situation never occurred.

Pyrocynical; **‘So this man has lied about the age of this girl on two separate occasions, and, knowing Keemstar, this girl will probably be an embryo by next week. But in all seriousness, I've actually had people come to me and tell me that I can sue him for defamation of character and seeing that he's consistently lying about the [of the girl] age on purpose, I'm really going to look into that, in all honesty.’**
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7uREs5GKCdM **16:19 - 16:39**

Pyrocynical is seen homing into Keemstar’s inconsistencies to paint a narrative that the entire story was untrue, which very evidently was not the case. It is a form of manipulation where he framed the story as completely false by highlighting the discrepancies of his detractors. This example of malicious obfuscation of the situation, and total lack of transparency to his fanbase, has unfortunately made it so he has received little to no criticism for his actions of dating the 14 year old girl who had her age public, and then lied about it on a video with over 6 million views to cover it up.
Pyrocynical is also seen bluffing about taking legal action, to further build a case to his fanbase that the story was entirely fake, despite him evidently knowing that he dated a minor significantly younger than himself.
Another example of what Pyrocynical does, is to play down the situation as a joke to his audience and to mock the situation in a humorous manner to then ultimately dismiss the allegations and make it seem as untrue by drowning out any serious criticism with consistent memes and jokes within his community in which they ultimately did.



Keemstar was then seen 2 days later on June 11th 2016, tweeting out private Twitter dm messages between Pyrocynical and the French Girl [Dumoria67]. **These messages will be analysed and covered more later.**
Now many people believe that the messages were inspected or faked/fabricated when this was not the case whatsoever.
It clearly shows **Pyrocynical referencing to the French Girl that he would be happy to wait for her to reach the age of consent [16]**, before they continued to date. Therefore acknowledging the fact that she was younger than 16 years old at the time.
Keemstar tweeting out private dms between Pyrocynical and The French Girl, **also confirmed the fact that a relationship did transpire**, as Pyrocynical is seen stating that; ‘**I ended the relationship so they wouldn’t attack you or me anymore**’. Therefore confirming in his own words that he was in a relationship with the girl for a time.
This **directly contradicts** his video titled ‘My Experience With KEEMSTAR’, as he is seen

unilaterally dismissing and denying the allegations as ‘bullshit’, to his fanbase which were now under the impression that the entire situation was false and Keemstar was just vindictive enough as to fake it all for attention to get back at Pyrocynical. However in reality he was dating an underaged girl who was 14 at the time, when he was 18.





The dm exchanges between Pyrocynical on his Twitter account at the time, and Dumoria67, dated to March 10th 2016. It is clear that they are looking back and referring to their past relationship, so it is evident that it happened long before these messages were exchanged. However since Lou was born on July 14th 2001, and her birthday was public knowledge on her Twitter account and her mutuals as well as her disclosed their age numerous times, it was very evident to deduce the fact that **she was still 14 years old as the messages were being sent.**

After Keemstar had posted message proof that a conversation did transpire between the French Girl and Pyrocynical, confirming that Pyro knew she was underaged and had a relationship with her. Pyrocynical is seen shifting his entire rhetoric on Twitter now arguing, **‘I see no problem waiting for someone to reach the age of consent’**, while also deflecting the situation back to Keemstar and specifying that it doesn’t justify him lying about her age twice.
This is bitterly ironic as Pyrocynical was seen only 2 days prior, unilaterally claiming the French Girl situation was ‘**complete bullshit**’.

Pyrocynical; **‘And if that wasn't bad enough, Keem is now trying to spread even more bullshit, saying that I'm dating underage girls and saying outright on stream that I'm a pedophile. This story is total bullshit. I know exactly the three individuals who told Keem and even got in a Skype group chat with him.’**
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7uREs5GKCdM **14:16 - 14:22**

It is especially worse, because now **Pyrocynical has confirmed that he was actively waiting for the underaged girl he was dating to turn the legal age of consent, before they engaged in a proper relationship, which is indicative of grooming**, however this will be discussed more in depth later. Pyrocynical is also seen now conceding that the girl did exist, and did not deny the validity of the dms on Twitter. **So the idea that the messages were fake or fabricated is completely untrue.**

Now after Keemstar has released the messages on Twitter, this prompted Pyrocynical to get ahead of the allegations and address them on his subreddit.



r/pyrocynical • 9 yr. ago
Pyrocynical lol

Responding to KEEMSTAR

So as most of you know recently I uploaded the video "My Experience With KEEMSTAR" which I went into detail on how I've had an overwhelmingly negative experience with him as a whole, including slanderous comments, defamation of character and invasion of my privacy on a private facebook account.

One point I made in the video was how Keem would bring up multiple times that I was dating an underage girl, and at one point insinuated that I was a paedophile.

I pointed out in the video that he changed the age of this girl on two separate occasions originally saying she was 15 then later 14 then finally 13

Keem has now released a Tweet between me and this girl in an attempt to make it seem there was some kind of sexual relationship taking place

Firstly allow me to address *WE WERE NEVER DATING* this was a 15 year old girl (I was 18 at the time) who I talked to for a short span of time and the majority of our conversations were simply about our countries, her art and various other topics that you'd have with a friend

Now as you can see in the DM I say the word "relationship" once, this girl who I was talking to was going through a rough patch at the time and I supported her. I respect her privacy so I won't go into detail but in conclusion she was appreciative of my support and had very few people to talk to at the time so we had a friendship that I would word sometimes as a "relationship" this did not mean anything sexual transpired, we were simply talking to each other

I also said "happy to wait for you to hit 16" meaning that nothing sexual transpired and I was happy to wait for her to reach the age of consent if we were to ever have a proper relationship, bearing in mind we still had never met each other in person *ever*

Later certain individuals began to insinuate that there was something sexual betwen us, and that we had met up in real life, even though these two things never happened (I live in the UK and she lives in France) and this led to three individuals and Keem to create a skype group chat in an attempt to "expose me" this led to me having to end contact with the girl as knowing how these individuals work and think, I knew they would try to falsely incriminate me and potentially invade her privacy.

Now what people need to understand, these three individuals despised me, all of them youtubers one of them with over 300k subscribers on their channel, and two out the three had never actually known or talked to this girl and simply joined in on the bandwagon in an attempt to slander me

I originally came across this skype group by one of the members being contacted by keemstar on their stream and this was seen by one of their ex-girlfriends and was then passed on to me, later I was sent information of this individual *allegedly meeting up with a girl who was 15 at the time and having sex with her when he was 19* I confronted him about this and said how even though I have information he allegedly had sex with a minor I won't make it public even though he was actively participating in a skype group spewing misinformation about me which he himself admitted was not true on top of that I have his ex telling me that she has medical proof she was sexually active in January 2015 which was the time the two were in a relationship together

This individual then told everyone in the skype group to stop making false accusations against me and sent me a screenshot of him saying this in the chat as proof and from my knowledge the group became inactive

I have since contacted the girl that lives in france and asked if she sent the screenshot of us talking to keem or anyone else and she has totally denied it, and she believes that she's been hacked

Again to repeat myself I never had any sexual interactions with this girl, no nudes, no sexting, no meet ups nothing of the sort

I then called Keemstar out on twitter saying how there's nothing illegal or incriminating with waiting until age of consent and the fact that this doesn't justify him purposely lying about this girls age on two separate occasions, and instead of giving a constructed response he resorted to fearmongering saying how I've lied to my fans and friends

When Keem DMd me and asked me if I was dating a 15 year old I denied it, because we never were in a sexual relationship, I really don't see how simply communicating with someone of the opposite gender can instantly jump to something sexual, and this still doesn't justify him lying about the age on two occasions and insinuating I'm a paedophile

I still stand by what I said in my Keemstar video and I'm very happy that I made it after months of ignoring the problem, I knew uploading it would put myself in the firing line and keemstar is using classic fearmongering tactics to ignore the fact he made slanderous accusations against me

And not to mention one of these individuals *allegedly had sex with a 15 year old when they were 19* which I find extremely hypocritical how one would imply a 15 year old and a 18 year old simply talking as a sexual relationship, when they allegedly had sexual intercourse with a 15 year old when they were 19

Hopefully this clears everything up and I can go back to making normal videos, thank you for your time

EDIT: I chose to not name the individuals involved, please don't turn the comments into guessing game of "whodunnit" that was not the point of this post, the point is how keemstar is implying that I've had a sexual relationship with this girl and insinuated that I'm a paedophile

EDIT: Also I understand that in France the age of consent is 15 but I stand by what I said and we never had any sexual relations. The UK age of consent which is 16 and this is the reason it is mentioned in the *private* twitter DMs however the friendship never evolved into anything sexual. These allegations *ARE FALSE* extremely incriminating and highly slanderous

Pyrocynical's reddit statement directly responding to the French Girl situation **was littered in lies**, and YouTuber Toastify made a video correcting the record in regards to the situation, while highlighting the inconsistencies and lies in Pyrocynical's response in which went unnoticed by many. Pyrocynical created this response knowing that it wouldn't be viewed by the majority of his fanbase, and that it would be ardently defended by members of his subreddit who will defend him regardless.

Covering, and dismantling the argument that Pyrocynical pushed forward in this response is crucial in order to prove how manipulative Pyrocynical was, and how **he openly lied to his**

audience about the situation, and openly lied about the underaged girl who he was dating to get away with the situation with little to no criticism.

> Keem has now released a Tweet between me and this girl in an attempt to make it seem there was some kind of sexual relationship taking place
>
> Firstly allow me to address *WE WERE NEVER DATING* this was a 15 year old girl (I was 18 at the time) who I talked to for a short span of time and the majority of our conversations were simply about our countries, her art and various other topics that you'd have with a friend
>
> Now as you can see in the DM I say the word "relationship" once, this girl who I was talking to was going through a rough patch at the time and I supported her. I respect her privacy so I won't go into detail but in conclusion she was appreciative of my support and had very few people to talk to at the time so we had a friendship that I would word sometimes as a "relationship" this did not mean anything sexual transpired, we were simply talking to each other



Video proof of the reddit post, establishing how Pyrocynical's official Reddit account created this post.

Pyrocynical is seen claiming in his Reddit post that they were 'never dating', and that the girl was actually 15, while he was 18.
These are both lies. The age gap between Pyrocynical and Lou was 4 years 2 months, meaning that in 2015 when Pyrocynical was 18, Lou would have been 14 as her date of birth was on July 14th 2001.

Louanne attests in an interview herself that Pyrocynical knew her age and that she was 14 years old at the time and that they dated. This interview was conducted by Keemstar and you are able to access it and listen to it on soundcloud.

Transcript:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_hmHqUFJW9M **2:01 - 3:49**

Lou: '**So I met Pyro back in 2015 when I was 14 years old and he was 18 years old. I was a fan artist for him and his group of friends so all these youtubers in the community he was in. I drew him a lot because well he was my favorite of them all, to show me his support he followed me and so later on I thanked him and talked to him in dms and that's how we became friends.**'

Keemstar: '**So at some point this develops into a romantic relationship, when did that take place?'**

Lou: **'Well not very long after um I guess we'll have known each other for like a few months before this happened in dms we did start maybe a little bit flirting saying that well we like each other's personalities and he appreciates what I do for him and I appreciate his company um so it was quite you know young love like when you have a crush'.**

Keemstar: **'He said that like you know he never dated you like that you weren't his girlfriend, but were you boyfriend and girlfriend?'.**

Lou: **'yeah we were'**

Keemstar: **'In the sense of like e-dating right because you never met each other in real life but you were e-dating online'**.

Lou: '**mhm, exactly**'

As you are able to see via the transcript provided and publicly accessible YouTube videos and soundcloud audio with the necessary timestamps attached, **Lou confirms that she was 14** at the time that she originally met Pyrocynical in 2015 while he was 18. She confirms that she was a fan of his content and made fanart for him regularly as he was 'her favorite of them all'. Lou confirms that months later she got in an online relationship with Pyrocynical where they 'did start a little bit of flirting'. Lou also directly refutes Pyrocynical's reddit response **by claiming they were in a relationship.**

Transcript:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_hmHqUFJW9M **4:25 - 4:52**

Keemstar: **'So at the time you were 14, Pyro was 18 correct?'**

Lou: **'yep'**

Keemstar: **'and when you were dating and considered boyfriend and girlfriend and was it a short period of time? How long were you dating?'**

Lou: **'only about two weeks, two weeks'**

Keemstar: **'So in the course of these two weeks again just for the internet's sake what were the ages?'**

Lou: **'still 14 and 18.'**

As you can see via the transcript, **Lou vehemently attested to the fact that she was 14 when she dated Pyrocynical who was 18**, and confirmed that they were in a 2 week long online relationship.

Transcript:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_hmHqUFJW9M **5:16 - 6:17**

Keemstar: **'In his response he said that you were 15 years old and he was 18. Why did he say that you were 15?'**

Lou: **'I have no idea, maybe to make it less shocking, maybe to make the age gap smaller by a year because maybe people thought it would be not nicer but less wrong'.**

Keemstar: **'But what if Pyrocynical responds to this and says well she lied about her age she told me that she was older'.**

Lou: **‘He knew I was born in 2001 which would have made me 14 years old when it was 2015.’**

Keemstar: **‘Did he know the month you were born? I'm sorry this is like but we have to know this stuff because you know if there's any little hole of like, you know plausible deniability he's gonna take it’.**

Lou: **‘Well we surely told each other's birthdays, I mean it's what you do when you get to know someone so he probably knew i was born in July’**

Lou is heard stating that she has no idea why Pyro lied on Reddit claiming that she was 15 other than for the fact that **Pyrocynical wanted to play down the age gap because he knew it was wrong**, and in fact stated herself that Pyrocynical knew that she was born in 2001, which would have made her 14 in 2015, when she claims they dated. She also stated that Pyrocynical probably knew her birthday, and this is further corroborated by the fact that **her birthday was public knowledge on her Twitter account**, and Pyrocynical has no alibi where he could use the plausible deniability card and claim that he wasn’t accessing Twitter at the time. Not only did he follow Lou according to her own testimony, but as provided in previous screenshots, she had her birthday present in her Twitter bio, and made tweets announcing her birthday. The idea that Pyrocynical would date this girl without being aware of her birthday is not only unsubstantiated but completely illogical.

The situation was even further corroborated by ColossalIsCrazy who is a close friend and associate of Pyrocynical, with them featuring on the TBH Podcast together along with Dolan Dark.





On the Baited Podcast, which was a Podcast run by Keemstar, Tommy C and ColossalIsCrazy mainly, on their [final video together addressing the ImAllexx controversy](), Keemstar is heard bringing up the Pyrocynical situation where he had dated Louanne when she was 14, to which ColossalIsCrazy is seen not only defending and playing the age gap down, but in fact admitting that it did happen, which directly discredits Pyrocynical's initial Reddit statement where he denied the relationship ever taking place.

Transcript

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yFDRNJlsijM **42:03 - 42:43**

Keemstar: **'Was he or was he not in a relationship with a girl that was, I believe it came out to be 14 at the time?'**

Colossal: **'he was in an e-relationship nothing ever happened nothing ever occurred'**

Keemstar: **'In an e-relationship with a 14-year-old when he was what-?'**

Tommy C: **'I don't think she was 14'**

Keemstar: **'19?'**

Colossal: **‘no’**

Keemstar: **‘Yes she was I interviewed her, we got the correct age’**

Colossal: **‘He was 17’**

Tommy C: **‘Yeah that’s right he-’**

Keemstar: **‘No he wasn’t, no he wasn’t’**

Colossal: **‘Keemstar is always right guys’**

Keemstar: **‘not at that time he was not at that time he wasn't 17 at that time’**

Colossal: **‘nothing ever happened no news exchanged just a big nothing-burger meanwhile Keemstar is dating someone 20 years younger than him’**

In the segment it is clear that Colossal was trying to play down the age gap and make the online relationship seem less disparaging. He claimed that Pyrocynical was in fact 17 when they dated, which would have made no sense considering Louanne was 4 years 2 months younger than Pyrocynical, and with the added factor that they dated in 2015, meaning that Pyrocynical would have been 18 and Lou would have been 14. There was no proof or initial claim made by any party that Lou had ever lied about her age or that she purposely obfuscated it from Pyrocynical. In fact there is far more proof to the contrary, proving that Lou made her date of birth publicly known on her defunct Twitter account and that her age was publicly known by many individuals with her attesting that Pyrocynical knew too. In fact it has only been seen that Pyrocynical lied about her age and the age gap present to make the situation seem less severe.

Colossal is seen confirming the fact that there was a relationship online, but once again plays it down by insisting that it was a ‘nothing-burger’, as no nudes were exchanged, completely avoiding the fact that Pyrocynical was seen stating to Lou that he would be happy to wait for her to turn the legal age of consent being 16, before they continued to engage in a then ‘proper relationship’, which is a textbook indication of grooming.
Colossal is then seen shifting the topic and bringing up Keemstar’s girlfriend, despite knowing that the age gap is not as predatory as a young teenager and an adult.

Transcript:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yFDRNJlsijM **43:38 - 44:14**

Colossal: **‘I'm not calling you a pedophile but I do find it ironic however that you would call someone like Pyrocynical a pedophile for an e-relationship with three year age gap-’**
Keemstar: **‘Go google it, google it’**

Colossal: **‘or four year age gap-’**

Keemstar: ‘**Go google it, google it, yeah 4, go google the ages people, go google the ages, go find it out yourselves’**

Colossal: **‘I get confused because you got them wrong like eight times’**

Tommy C: **‘hey in fairness to Clown [Colossal], we didn't figure out that girl was 14 until like two years ago, we couldn't prove she even existed’**

Colossal is seen stating that Keemstar should not have called Pyrocynical a predator over a 3 year age gap in which he had with Louanne, to which Keemstar counters and argues that wasn’t the exact age gap, to which Colossal is then seen correcting himself and stating that it was a 4 year age gap. With Tommy C stating that in fairness to Colossal getting the age gap wrong, a lot about the situation is still being uncovered considering the fact that for the longest period of time, it was impossible to even prove she existed and everyone was under the idea that it was false.
However that can easily be attributed to the fact that Pyrocynical **openly lied** in his original response video to Keemstar, heavily alluding to the fact that the story was ‘bullshit’, despite it being proven to have happened causing many members of his fanbase to go with the false narrative and mock anyone who openly questioned the situation. Even when Keemstar originally showed Twitter messages of Pyrocynical speaking to Louanne, did Pyrocynical then admit that the girl did exist, but yet still continued to lie about the relationship nonetheless. Pyrocynical didn’t bother correcting the record on his channel, and instead responded to it only once in an old reddit post and allowed his fans still to this day, to be deluded into thinking that it wasn’t true.

However as comprehensively proven in this document, Pyrocynical did lie several times, he did date this girl as attested by Lou herself, and the age gap was 4 years as proven by the age difference and also by Pyro’s close associate; Colossal admitting that the relationship did take place, further dismantling Pyrocynical’s waning defense.

There has been a consistent flow of misinformation, helped massively by Pyrocynical’s fanbase, in which have made it so he has escaped all the situations with little criticism.

Transcript:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yFDRNJlsijM **48:02 - 49:30**

Colossal: **‘What was she accusing him of nothing she had nice things to say where was the video where's the story there's nothing’**

Keemstar: **‘he did have nice things to say’**

Colossal: **‘you had jack s–t you couldn't make it about Pyrocynical but you were desperately trying to’**

Keemstar: **‘the AGES Clown, the AGES the AGES’**

Colossal: **‘who gives a f–k? I mean who gives a f–k? Nothing happened, they e-dated while they were both teenagers who gives a s–t?’**

Keemstar: **‘Pyro came out and lied. clown your friend your buddy came out and lied multiple f–king times on Reddit about that scenario and you're going to defend him?’**

Colossal: **‘I am going to defend him there was nothing it's a big nothingburger’**

Keemstar: **‘he lied, he lied to his audience-’**

Tommy C: **‘I will say I will say-’**

Keemstar: **‘oh so you're going to f–king defend him and his lies Clown?’**

Tommy C: **‘Pyro was not fully honest and did his share of manipulation to protect himself’**

Keemstar: **‘Thank you!-’**

Tommy C: **‘but I have to also agree with Clown-’**

Keemstar: **‘He lied through his f–king teeth’**

Colossal: **‘I don’t deny that but there was nothing there’**

Tommy C: **‘No, I agree with you’**

Keemstar: **‘I mean when the truth is out, you say lied okay, truth comes out well there's nothing there it's a nothing-burger absolutely nothing’**

Keemstar: **‘Nothing there other than the fact that the AGES of the AGES and the e-relationship nothing there though’**

Colossal: **‘High school, high school s–t,**

Tommy C: **‘He was highschool age Keem’**

Colossal: **High school ages, not just high school drama because it was all on the internet. They never even met up in person he didn't even know what she looked like as far as I know’**







Pyrocynical's statement that he was 'never in a relationship', is a complete lie, and this is debunked by **his own admissions to Louanne**, in 2016 Twitter conversations he had with her, which have been presented in Toastify's video.
In the conversation, Niall and Lou and clearly discussing the topic of why he broke up with her, to which Pyrocynical argues against the idea that he broke up with her for 'fame', to which Lou states that she didn't state that, instead she believes he left because he '**didn't want to be seen as a pedo**'. Pyrocynical is then seen stating as underlined in red, 'let's be fair we were only talking for 2 weeks so it wasn't like a lifetime relationship anyways', therefore acknowledging himself that he was in a relationship with this girl, to which Lou even attested in an interview with Keemstar.

Now as presented in the first screenshot on the [LEFT], Pyrocynical is seen mentioning a person named **Mick**, in reference to Louanne, this is AncientReality, who was a 23 year old predator who was actively dating Lou who was 14, Pyrocynical knew about this age gap and dynamic as referenced in the private messages, however this will be discussed later.


Now as you can see in the DM I say the word "relationship" once, this girl who I was talking to was going through a rough patch at the time and I supported her. I respect her privacy so I won't go into detail but in conclusion she was appreciative of my support and had very few people to talk to at the time so we had a friendship that I would word sometimes as a "relationship" this did not mean anything sexual transpired, we were simply talking to each other

In Pyrocynical's own Reddit post addressing the situation, he admits to the validity of the messages, however lies and downplays the dynamic he had with Louanne claiming they weren't actually in a relationship, however as seen by his own private messages and as per Lou's own testimony, Pyrocynical is very evidently lying.
However this is shown to corroborate the fact that the messages were in fact true, and Pyrocynical admitted to the veracity of the dms himself.

Pyrocynical's behavioural pattern of lying can be best highlighted in the case of Louanne and Ivory. When presented with damning information, Pyrocynical will attempt to forge the best excuse and when he is combatted on the validity of his response, he will slowly start changing and morphing his story to best suit his position.

Turkey Tom; **"Pyro has a pattern of behaviour in which he rides the benefit of the doubt, he will fabricate the best excuse he can based on the known evidence, then adjust it when more information comes out."**
https://youtube.com/watch?v=Oe9x4L5HRNU **19:08 - 19:16**

The Case of Grooming

The act of Pyrocynical waiting for Louanne to turn 16 to then engage in a proper relationship with her once she turns the age of consent in the UK, is indicative of grooming.
The age gap dynamic between a 14 year old and a 18 year old is already demonstrably large and there are significant differences in emotional and cognitive developments between the 2 parties. They are in completely different stages in life, 14 year olds are still entirely dependent on their parents and in terms of education they are still in the lower echelons of the school curriculum, whereas an 18 year old is either leaving school or going to University, in the case of the UK education system. The argument Colossal introduces that 18/14 is justifiable under 'High School Age Gap' context, is inherently disgusting and completely untrue. 14 year olds oftentimes have an underdeveloped prefrontal cortex, which as discussed earlier, hinders their impulse control and decision making skills. Lack of life experience makes them susceptible to

forms of manipulation, coercion and abuse and relationships with large age gaps will negatively affect the teenager into normalising similar age gaps for future relationships, and this is seen in Louanne dating Ancient Reality after having dated Pyrocynical, to which Ancient Reality abused her.
The idea that nothing sexual happened, is something affirmed by both parties, however it does not negate responsibility in Pyrocynical's case. Furthermore, **many people have used the situation pertaining to Ancient Reality and Louanne, to deflect away from this situation**, despite the fact that the relationship between Pyrocynical and Louanne had a massive red flag that we will be getting into. The case of Ancient Reality will be thoroughly addressed, however the abuse Louanne faced from Mick does not detract culpability away from Pyrocynical, and **a lot of Pyro's fans seem to utilise Lou's abuse to switch the topic away from Pyrocynical's intention to groom them.**





Pyrocynical is specifically seen stating that he would be happy to wait for Louanne to turn 16 which would be the legal age of consent in the UK, before he engages in a 'proper relationship', with her, which has very obvious connotations of this "proper relationship" being sexual.
This would mean that essentially, Niall would be perfectly fine being in a proper sexual relationship with a 16 year old girl when he himself would be 20.
The idea of Pyrocynical waiting for numerous years for a 14 year old to turn the legal age of consent in his country to then engage sexually with them is in itself a form of grooming.

There is absolutely no debate. Pyrocynical is seen on Twitter replying directly to Keemstar that he saw no problem in waiting for this underaged girl to reach the age of consent. He followed up by deflecting the situation back onto Keemstar and claiming that he “lied about her age twice”. The act of waiting for a vulnerable minor to reach the age of consent before engaging in a proper relationship with them is a common grooming tactic used by abusers.

Pyrocynical built trust with Louanne in their relationship during 2015. Louanne looked up to Pyrocynical as he was a large content creator, and she even drew fanart for him. Pyrocynical was not dissuaded by the age gap and engaged in a relationship with her, with them having a common interest in video games and art, with Pyrocynical eventually voicing that he was afraid to appear as a predator due to the age gap, and highlighting that he would be fine with a sexual relationship once she turns legal, cutting it off because people were looking into their dynamic. Essentially Pyrocynical established a romantic relationship with a minor, built trust with her and a strong emotional connection, and expressed his interest to make their dynamic turn sexual as soon as she became of legal age.
Pyrocynical did not disengage entirely and was perfectly comfortable with engaging sexually in a 4 year age gap.

Notice, **Pyrocynical originally affirmed that the girl did not exist and that Keemstar was pushing forward false, unsubstantiated allegations**. However as soon as Keemstar made a tweet showing that in fact, Niall was messaging an underaged girl and was in a relationship with them online, **he is now seen not denying that the messages were real**, and is now seen changing his story to position himself in a vague position where he is seen stating that there is ‘**no problem**’ with waiting for ‘**someone**’ to reach the age of consent. Since Pyrocynical is good with wordplay manipulation, he refers to Louanne, not by her name, but as a ‘someone’, to allow himself the ability to slip away from the situation as he has not specifically admitted that he knew the girl, leaving his supporters to still believe the girl did not exist, as Niall has not explicitly admitted that those were his messages. If the message logs provided by Keemstar were false, Pyrocynical would not have needed to have replied with this message affirming that he sees nothing wrong with waiting for an underaged girl to reach the age of consent before engaging in a ‘proper’ relationship with them.

Pyrocynical dodged addressing the situation

After Toasify's video came out highlighting the 14 year old French Girl situation and coverup, Nicholas DeOrio made a tweet addressing the response Pyrocynical's subreddit had to the situation, where members of the subreddit show a tweet made by Lou defending Pyrocynical in 2021 and then use it in an attempt to completely discredit Toastify's video by bringing up a strawman point to which they urge Niall to address and debunk. The problem being the fact that it was Toastify never made the claim that Pyrocynical knew the abuse that AncientReality was inflicting on Lou. The subreddit was actively creating false pretenses to then collectively disregard the points made by Toastify that Pyrocynical dated a minor significantly younger than himself, had the intention of waiting for her to turn legal, and then lied to everyone about it for numerous years, downplaying his actions and refusing to take accountability.

Nicholas DeOrio @nic... · 10/07/2023

The top upvoted post today from Pyro's reddit is a post intending to "clear Pyro" of an allegation the video goes out if it's way not to make.

Lou clearly stated Niall had no knowledge of the self harm and abuse in Toastify's video.🤦



9 10 342 43K

The French Girl | Commentary's Darkest Secret

195K views • 1 year ago

Colossal is Crazy @Coloss... · 10/07/2023

I've also been reading what people have been saying online. I don't fault the video's intention here, but you can't deny the result. Many people actively thought EXACTLY what this screenshot now disproves. It IS on those people but I'm still glad to see the subreddit posted it.

2 2 130 8.4K

Nicholas DeOrio @nic... · 10/07/2023

I think people are concerned Pyro knew the age of both parties & turned a blind eye to them dating.

I'd rather not believe he would turn a blind eye to an older member of his circle dating a minor whom he knew. So I am waiting/hoping to hear that he didn't know any of this.

4 2 84 14K

Colossal is Crazy @Coloss... · 10/07/2023

We will talk about it on the podcast (I don't wanna speak too much for him yet) But I asked him the other day and he said he didn't know the age of Ancient pedo and assumed he was the same age.

11 6 154 64K

This caused ColossalIsCrazy to reply in defense of the subreddit to which Nicholas DeOrio replies that he would be willing to hear what Pyrocynical has to say in response. ColossalIsCrazy is seen stating they **will discuss the situation on the TBH Podcast** in which they operate, and that he asked Niall on the AncientReality situation to which he claims he believed AncientReality was the same age as Louanne (14-15).

What speaks volumes however, was that despite ColossalIsCrazy claiming that Pyrocynical would address this situation in depth on the TBH podcast, this **never actually ended up transpiring.**
Pyrocynical went on to ***completely ignore the situation***, and just left his fans to deflect criticism away from himself and onto AncientReality, despite the fact that Pyrocynical has exhibited **concerning behaviour** in this situation too.





Users a month later reply to whether Pyrocynical will actually address the situation, to which they garner **no response**.
The only response actually given was on the Baited Podcast where ColossalIsCrazy is seen **attempting to defend a 4 year age gap**, while **getting many aspects of the situation wrong** and complaining about being “blind sighted” by Keemstar, despite it being a valid criticism for Keemstar to bring up. Keemstar was lamented as a liar and a manipulative person who pushed forward false allegations in order to tarnish the reputation of someone he was a vendetta against, despite that in actuality, the girl that Keemstar referenced did in fact exist, and Pyrocynical did date her. Pyrocynical ended up escaping the situation unscathed **despite openly lying to his entire fanbase**.
Clearly Niall has continued to be immature in regards to this situation as **he has continued to ignore the situation even into Mid-2025**, 22 months after ColossalIsCrazy was seen stating that it would be directly addressed.

For clarification, despite Lou only having positive things to say in regards to Niall, **that does not in fact nullify how concerning it was for an adult to wait for a 14 year old to turn the legal age of consent in the UK**, alluding to the fact they would be fine with sexually engaging with them when they would be 16 and the other member would be 20.
Lou perhaps has overlooked this red flag, but it is absolutely necessary to cover in this document to build on the idea that Pyrocynical saw nothing morally reprehensible with a 4 year age gap.
It would be necessary to highlight how disgusting members of Pyrocynical’s fanbase have been in reference to Lou, either denying the fact she existed entirely, or making jokes at her expense, in some cases making sexually charged “memes” while referring to her being a minor.







These are the exact memes that his fanbase actively engage in spreading that serve to mock and trivialise the situation and make others brush it off as false and some elaborate inside joke. It's extremely disgusting and insensitive to the girl affected at hand, even if they don't see the dynamic they engaged in as inherently bad. Many victims often see no problem with the situation they have engaged in, until many years later, that is often how the process of grooming takes place, the minor is conditioned into thinking that the dynamic is not bad or immoral.

Pyrocynical covered for an 'alleged' child rapist

As discussed earlier, one of the original accusers of Pyrocynical who forwarded the information to Keemstar, was Vagabonds, an old friend of Niall and one of the 3 members present on Skype who broke the situation regarding Louanne.
Rather hypocritically however, was the fact that Vagabonds himself had allegations in regards to an apparent sexual relationship he had with a 15 year old girl when he himself was 19.



Video proof of the Reddit post Pyrocynical made with proof that it was his actual account which it was posted to his subreddit on.

The videos provided show that the Reddit post that we will be referring to was created by the actual Pyrocynical, showing clearly that his reddit account bears the ‘Pyrocynical’ tag and was created 11 years ago. The other videos prove that what will be referenced in this document and dissected in this specific segment were actually stated by Pyrocynical himself, to clear any possible rebuttal that people may say in regards to this statement being ‘fake’, ‘fabricated’, or tampered with. What has been highlighted in these videos are what will be referenced verbatim.

I originally came across this skype group by one of the members being contacted by keemstar on their stream and this was seen by one of their ex-girlfriends and was then passed on to me, later I was sent information of this individual *allegedly meeting up with a girl who was 15 at the time and having sex with her when he was 19* I confronted him about this and said how even though I have information he allegedly had sex with a minor I won't make it public even though he was actively participating in a skype group spewing misinformation about me which he himself admitted was not true on top of that I have his ex telling me that she has medical proof she was sexually active in January 2015 which was the time the two were in a relationship together

EDIT: I chose to not name the individuals involved, please don't turn the comments into guessing game of "whodunnit" that was not the point of this post, the point is how keemstar is implying that I've had a sexual relationship with this girl and insinuated that I'm a paedophile

In Pyrocynical's Reddit statement in response to the situation regarding Louanne, he mentioned that one of the people spreading the allegation against him had accusations against himself, being that he had a sexual relations with a 15 year old girl while he was 19. Pyrocynical had access to the alleged medical records of the 15 year old victim, had direct contact with the victim and had the proof in order to publicly come out about the situation, however he decided to not name the individual whatsoever. There was no inclination that the victim ever told him that they wanted the name of their abuser to remain secret, this is evident when the commentary YouTuber Toastify made a video in July 2023, revealing the abuser to have been Memecorp aka Vagabonds.

Pyrocynical stated verbatim in his own words that on his own volition he "won't make it public". Meaning by definition he knew that a popular YouTuber and Twitch streamer who had many underaged fans and access to these children, raped an underaged girl significantly younger

than himself, and yet would keep his name anonymous and not call him out despite the danger he may pose. Pyrocynical is seen stating himself that **he CHOSE** not to make Vagabonds and the other members of the Skype group names public and he doesn't want the situation to turn into a game of "whodunnit", despite the fact that he quite literally just showed medical records of a victim proving that they were taken advantage of and raped by a reasonably large YouTuber. There was absolutely no reason for why Niall disclosed that information if he proceeded to do absolutely nothing about it regardless.





Tweets showing the archived images of Vagabonds' streams;
https://x.com/tomxyoung/status/780431025658798080?s=46
https://x.com/salmonboyyy/status/785883001502724096?s=46

Do to the lack of public knowledge in regards to his alleged statutory rape of an underaged girl, Vagabonds continued to stream and get donations from fans to cover living expenses and rent and even eventually moved on to creating a massive meme compilation channel named Memecorp garnering over a million subscribers on YouTube and millions of views per video in 2018-2023, with no one knowing what actions they had committed thanks to Pyrocynical having chosen on his own volition to not disclose what he did despite having ample opportunity to. **It was known by Niall for years that Vagabonds allegedly raped an underaged girl**, but Vagabonds was instead, allowed to continue making meme content for children and get thousands of dollars in the process, facing little to no ramifications or repercussions whatsoever.



The problem regarding the victims

Many victims of Pyrocynical are afraid to come out about the abuse they have faced **due to a plethora of reasons**; mainly the fact that Niall's fanbase have **brutally harassed, lied and defamed Ivory** after his initial allegations, **victim blaming him and discrediting his story**, which has dissuaded many other minors coming out about their own situation.

Twitter user 'Daisycorpse', is seen stating on October 30th 2020, that due to the response Ivory received for coming out about Pyrocynical's actions, the victims she is in contact with are 'too scared' to come out, and 'will probably remain silent'.

Some affected simply **left the internet** and continued on with life, putting their experiences with Niall behind them, in the case of TrippyFox.

Others lost a lot of evidence to time, as there was a golden rule against leaking private and personal DMs; the action of doing so was often frowned upon. Additionally due to **Skype having wiped old messages**, it is oftentimes very hard to retrieve old messages, especially on

Discord too if their accounts have been banned, this is also further complicated by Niall having decided to use **Discord message purging scripts to mass wipe his sexual messages with numerous individuals too**, one such example being with Ivory Rasmus.





This was also something attested by **Saku**, who was **15 years old** when Pyrocynical allegedly sent furry fetish pornography to, while he was **19**. However, according to Saku, Pyro systematically purged evidence that incriminated himself out of guilt. Saku also attests that he spoke to another user that Niall ERP'd with and the **message history has been wiped as Skype cleared older messages.**

Popular Twitter furry Leo “@leosleepy”, references a user named AbortionASMR who came out allegeding that Pyrocynical sent furry NSFW to them and discussed fetishes with them while they were **15** and he was **19**, this call-out was made in December 2019. Leo is then seen stating that **a friend of theirs** had told them that Pyrocynical allegedly tried to commission them to make furry NSFW fetish art for them but was ignored **causing Niall to allegedly clear all chat logs**. The idea of Niall clearing chat logs is something seen in many similar situations. The alleged victim, colour coded white, is seen stating that; ‘Pyro **deleted his discord** so it’s even harder to find his chat’. Proving once again how difficult it is for victims to provide receipts.







Popular Twitter furry artist igloofella made a Tweet discussing one of the alleged victims of Niall who had contacted them in DM's. These DM's from an anonymous account go over how when they were **12**, they were in a **Skype group with Pyrocynical and other artists in 2016**. What ensued was **Pyrocynical allegedly asking them to create furry 'fat' fetish content consistently any time they wanted to speak with him**. They go on to highlight how **they then created and produced this content** not knowing it was inherently inappropriate or sexually suggestive. This user also had highlighted that *Skype **purged** message history for inactive users in 2018*, meaning **there was no possible way for them to retrieve message logs**. They also followed up by stating how they had a coworker IRL **who was the same age as them at the time [12] when Pyrocynical also requested them to make furry fetish content** for his own sexual gratification.





Saku is also then seen attesting that he messaged another person Niall allegedly rp'd with, if he could get access to the old Skype logs for proof, however also attested that Skype didn't keep logs prior to some time in 2017 unless you saved them on the same device.

Other victims are also close to Niall still, or still fans of his content and supportive of him, being unaware about how immoral and predatory it was for an adult YouTuber to have sexually communicated and interacted with them and other members of his fanbase, sending furry porn and discussing fetishes with anyone he could. Pyrocynical abused his power and influence to discuss sexual topics with minors and the community has downplayed his behaviour to the point where other victims have been gaslighted into believing the behaviour was not predatory but instead merely just “morally grey” and degenerate with it being made into a joke inside of Pyrocynical’s community.

However by finding pre-existing call-out posts and numerous people calling out Niall’s behaviour in which has went under the radar, I wish to show a pattern of Pyro’s behaviour and prove that Louanne and Ivory were definitely not the only minor Pyrocynical engaged with, and what will be shown here is only the tip of the iceberg.



Niall himself even acknowledges the fact that he sexually messaged and engaged with many people that it is simply “unreal”. He claims that “**he doesn’t even remember who they are or how many**”, which is a testament to how many other people there are to which we will never know.

Pyrocynical is seen stating that just 1 victim coming out scared him, because it could trigger a chain reaction of other people coming out too in response. Niall affirmed in his response video that the reason he said this was because he was always anxious and afraid of his degenerate fetishes being known to everyone. However, by peeling back the layers of his actions you can clearly see that he was also afraid of the ramifications and consequences from those he affected, many having been **minors**.

When a woman came out alleging that Pyrocynical sexually messaged them when they were 15, and he was 19, instead of people supporting her, they harassed her, belittled the situation and immediately discredited her as someone who was “desperate for attention”.
This is a common response of naive and impressionable children who are overtly parasocial and too attached to their favourite YouTuber, they will oftentimes brush off any criticism or evidence by trying to attack the accuser's character rather than the validity of their points. Instead of being level headed and mature, they will rush in and immediately attempt to use the term “clout chaser” to invalidate and brush off their experiences which is absolutely disgusting, and that behaviour should be completely looked down upon because it was revolting to see what Ivory had to go through, in the face of lies, misinformation and harassment thrown his way.

The reason for why many victims do not come out, is because of how spiteful and malicious members of Pyrocynical’s fanbase can be, and the horrific amount of backlash that befell Ivory, despite him being objectively a victim.







We had originally contacted an alleged victim of Pyrocynical, they will be colour coded as Pink for their privacy. They stated how they were extremely scared of the potential harassment which could befall them if they publicly came out, they also highlighted how they didn't wish to be objectified by them again and face possible death or rape threats.
He also went on to highlight how despite all the proof Ivory showed, Pyrocynical fans still went on to harass him and dismiss his story, which put a lot of other victims off ever addressing their trauma again.

They stress that they don't see that anything can come of this because they have lost the evidence after all these years, and the fact that his fans are so loyal that they will probably never come to terms with how severe and prolific his actions were.

Essentially Niall has had a **perfect storm** when it has come to these allegations, many of the people he sexually engaged with has faced a plethora of issues that have severely limited available proof present now, further complicated by his fanbase that have harassed Ivory into silence, with other victims now being entirely afraid to come out about their experiences for fear of experiencing the same level of harassment.

For clarification, what will now be covered are additional allegations levied against Pyrocynical from other people who have either came out showing credible evidence that Pyrocynical had sent them porn, sexual messages, fetish based conversations or fetishistic suggestive images to them whilst they were minors and he was an adult.
While some allegations hold more weight than others for a variety of factors presented, it is important to understand that it helps build a picture in regards to Niall's concerning behaviour and it should not go ignored. **All users presented in this segment were mutuals with, or had direct contact with Pyrocynical.** While some instances have significantly less proof than others, it is crucial to show how prolific the situation allegedly was, and hopefully it stimulates any other possible victims to come out about their experiences, as there are **many more**.

As Ida stated herself on June 4th 2016 to Ivory;
"**He [Niall] does that to everyone**".

Pink - 15-16 year old boy

Pink - Censored their their privacy

[redacted] · Jan 20

I know this alone won't convince anyone.
I dont have screenshots and my recollections of events are lacking at best. (I have memory problems)

But I can't keep this in anymore. Pyro groomed me.

3 7 1,517 Tip

[redacted] · Jan 20

It's around 6:09 am here.
From a day or two I will lock all my social media's.

I feel like breaking down cause I know what will happen

1 7 1,334 Tip

[redacted] · Jan 20

I was a hypersexual mentally ill teenager who barely knew how to converse.

He never once. Told me to stop. He would have been 19-20 around this period.

Instead he allowed me to make fetish *artwork* of him (both his fox sona and dragon one).

1 2 5 1,294 Tip

On January 20th 2023, Pink had come out claiming that they were **allegedly** taken advantage of and **groomed** by Pyrocynical when they were **15-16**, and that Pyrocynical had actively engaged in sexual activities and he discussed his sexual fetishes with them.
They go on to establish that Pyrocynical allegedly absolutely knew of their age and that they spoke about it on discord calls numerous times.
They stated how they never came out about it sooner because they never released the severity of it until they grew up and that they thought the interactions were normal.
They state that they don't have the screenshots and that Pyrocynical's discord account has been long deleted, followed by them stating that they would be taking down their accounts shortly after, they had over 1,000 followers and years of Tweet history, however after making this tweet they **deactivated permanently.**



In their final tweet they describe how Pyrocynical allegedly never told them to stop and that they would have been 19-20 at the time, following up by showing the fetish artwork they apparently made for Pyrocynical being of his dragon and Fox sona. Which were blurred as they were NSFW.
In an archived screenshot provided, it shows how **they had their age [15] present in the bio** and they were very open about it. With **Pyrocynical being seen following their account.**







After consulting Pink, he apologised for the miscommunication and being partially hostile at the start because understandably, **they were extremely stressed out**. They prefaced the fact that maybe they would change their mind in regards to coming out about the allegations later on down the line, **but currently they are not in a spot where they could do so**.



By looking at archived tweets, (which will not be linked to protect the identity of the victim), their birthday is on **July 20th**. Since they are **23** as of the creation of this document, that effectively means they are turning **24** this year. Meaning that they were born in **2001**, allowing us to find out their date of birth was **July 20th 2001**, making this **alleged** age gap between them and Pyrocynical, the largest age gap present so far with it being over 4 years 2 months.
They were public and open about their age being 15 as shown in their Twitter bio during 2016 in the archived screenshot. Meaning any excuse or attempt at plausible deniability in regards to not knowing their age falls flat.





On their Tumblr page, their age was also present in their description, clearly illustrating how they were **15**.

While this specific allegation does not contain as much evidence as other cases, it is extremely important to highlight the fact that this user was **actively followed by Pyrocynical**, and that it is especially important to give all victims a voice. This certain testimony has been included to highlight a **pattern of minors** who Pyrocynical actively followed, coming out years later to accuse him of inappropriate behaviour and **grooming** behaviours.



[Pink seen attesting that Pyrocynical knew of their age and allegedly continued inappropriately messaging them regardless, their age has been proven to have been public knowledge as presented on all their socials, such as Twitter and Tumblr, adding credibility to their allegations.]

UhSalt / Assault - 16 year old minor





Assault who went by the Twitter alias “@uhsalt” was a commentary orbiter who was associated with DolanDark, SolidShibe and Pyrocynical himself. He was often seen engaging in discord servers where members of Pyrocynical’s friend circle were. Assault was seen in VC’s with Sive & ChiliCSGO who were both close associates of Niall. He was also seen in the same discord server as ColossalIsCrazy and ImAllexx.



https://x.com/galaxychildart/status/828662178966290436?s=46 https://archive.is/wip/Bd0vI
https://x.com/retweetrave/status/847577703985401860?s=46 https://archive.is/wip/HtYzS
https://x.com/anarchisthannah/status/847557399695249408?s=46 https://archive.is/wip/zSCqF
https://x.com/coodererainy/status/847545561897209856?s=46 https://archive.is/wip/shghs
https://x.com/anarchisthannah/status/847306253034442752?s=46 https://archive.is/wip/ihQ2v

It was public knowledge that Assault was a minor in the community with their date of birth being **30th March 2001**, with tweets congratulating his 16th Birthday being made on 30/03/2017.

This effectively meant that there is a **3 year 10 month age gap** between Assault and Pyrocynical, which is important to consider when taking into account what was discussed between them in DMs. **The age gap was extremely close to 4 years** and there isn't much difference developmentally in terms of a 3 year 10 month age gap and a 4 year age gap, both are **extremely concerning age gap dynamics** that should not be engaged with by an adult YouTuber with a sizable platform and influence in regards to a minor who is a fan of their content.



Assault was mentioned briefly by Ivory in DMs with Ida, where she proceeded to victim blame him and attempt to justify the age gap between him and Niall.



Ivory was seen stating that he wasn't the only person affected by Niall's actions and that there were a "**bunch of other people**". Ida states in response "like who?"



Ivory was seen stating; "Assault is the same age as me and Niall hit him up when he was **15** too"

In response Ida is seen casting doubt on whether Niall actually engaged in fetish discussions with Assault, **but does not deny the fact that Assault was 15** [or at least a minor during the time], nor the idea that Niall ever actually engaged in private conversations and messages with Pyrocynical, only that she has a "hard time believing" Niall slid into their DMs asking about inappropriate, fetish-based topics.
This can also prove that people involved in the same community and friend circles in regards to Assault including both Ida and Ivory who were **extremely close to Niall** at the time, **both collectively knew that Assault was a minor**, meaning there is reasonable suspicion to believe that Pyrocynical did too.



Furthermore it can be seen that Ivory stated how immoral it was for a popular YouTuber to be discussing fetishes with their underaged fans. He also went on to allude that it was obvious that Pyrocynical purged many of his messages out of guilt.

Ida is then seen **deflecting** the situation and bringing up how Assault asked for feet pictures, **which does not invalidate anything Ivory had said in regards to Niall allegedly behaving inappropriately with Assault when he was 15**. Ida being seen deflecting the situation and not flat out denying that Niall ever interacted with this other 15 year old is a massive **red flag** and it is very unusual for why this was completely ignored by everyone.



The discourse behind the Assault “foot fetish” situation is detailed with many users making jokes or comments in reference to it. This is added to corroborate the fact that Ida did know exactly who Assault was and was reasonably well versed on his actions as she even referenced Assaults alleged proclivities. It is also added to prove the fact that the user “@uhsalt”, was in fact the Assault figure mentioned by both Ida and Ivory by detailing more information to give sufficient context.







In a Christmas oriented video Pyrocynical had made on 27th December 2016, titled '[$3 BuzzFeed VS. $9999 BuzzFeed]', Assault was shown and mentioned in the video by Pyrocynical directly.

Transcript

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNbUr_0rGWk **4:45 - 5:42**

Pyrocynical; '**The end of Pyrocynical. Assault, that was quite mean of you just say. It really hit me in the heart, you know, you've affected my Christmas spirit so in return, I'm going to play about 15 seconds of your 100 subscriber special video.'**

Pyrocynical's video: **[Assault]: 'Hello everybody, Assault's here, two days ago, on March second, we hit one-hundred subscribers, (canned laughter) I don't know how much I can thank you guys, that's freaking awesome thank you guys so much .**

Pyrocyncial: **‘Where the f–k is that knife, I think I found it. Got it, I got it, sorry, I bought this knife just to take the piss out of Assault. Hello everyone, Assault here, two days ago we reached one-hundred subscribers, I don't think you guys know how much this means to me, allow me to show you respect by having a fu–ing Call of Duty: Black Ops character through a bunch of idle animations with this knife.’**

Pyrocynical is seen referencing a video Assault had on his YouTube channel, **so he was fully aware of what he sounded like**, and it is beyond any reasonable doubt that they had direct connections to one another and spoke to each other. Pyrocynical is seen referencing the fact he bought a knife in order to make fun out of Assault for playing COD in the background during his milestone video.
Pyrocynical would have only known about this specific video from a rather vague commentary orbiter if it was a regular inside joke between them and he had seen Assault’s socials platforms beforehand in order to have singled this out.

Now according to Ivory when I consulted him in late 2024, as well as other anonymous whistleblowers who contacted me, Assault, like other victims; is extremely scared and dissuaded to come out about his situation due to the harassment that Ivory received in 2020. Assault is not associated, nor does he have contact with Niall as of 2025.

In an uncovered tweet made in 2020 during the peak of the Ivory Rasmus situation, a **whistleblower** *claiming to have been well versed in the community and circles close to Pyrocynical*, using a throwaway account had come out stating that he was a witness to Pyrocynical’s behaviour at the time and was able to add more weight Ivory’s initial allegations, because he was personally in contact with another minor who also was a victim to Pyrocynical. He attests that what Pyrocynical did to Assault was exactly the same behaviour he was seen doing to Ivory.



https://x.com/ayylmao94579356/status/1323862940437676036?s=46

Disclaimer: *The video is extremely low quality and this video is the only known source of these screenshots. I corrected the rotation & increased the brightness. Keep in mind what is disclosed are allegations, however there is weight behind the validity of these claims.*

For Clarification: *Deleted User is presumed to be Assault, the Artist he is communicating with is censored, lol is believed to be Niall [Pyrocynical].*





In these alleged screenshots, Assault [Deleted User] is seen requesting fetish art from an artist [censored name] on **23th October 2017**, asking that he has art drawn of him being shrunk and either hidden in their shoe while they weren't wearing any. This is then followed up by the artist stating that Assault could be 'squished under a **foot**', with Assault liking that idea and also stating that 'wants to be jumped on'. Since it was an open secret that Assault had a foot fetish, **he was clearly requesting fetishistic art** that played into his sexual fantasies which he should not have been commissioning or requesting for since **he was only 15 years old.**





On 27th September 2017, Assault was then seen asking this anonymous artist for ‘voring art’ of his character, when the artist replies ‘who is voring’, Assault replies with ‘you voring me’. Essentially for context to those unaware of what “voring” is, **it is a paraphilia characterized by the erotic desire to be consumed or to consume. Art regarding Vorarephilia is often fetishistic art depicting a ‘another person or creature’ consuming another,** and obviously **the art is created to elicit sexual gratification.**

Vorarephilia

Article Talk

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Not to be confused with Sexual cannibalism.

Vorarephilia (often shortened to **vore**) is a paraphilia characterized by the erotic desire to be consumed by, or to personally consume, another person or creature, or an erotic attraction to the process of eating in general practice.[1][2][3] Soft vore fantasies are separated from sexual fantasies of cannibalism, also referred to as "hard vore",[4][*better source needed*] because the soft vore victim is normally swallowed alive and whole.[1] The word *vorarephilia* is derived from the Latin *vorare* (to "swallow" or "devour"), and Ancient Greek φιλία (*philía*, "love").

In these alleged messages, Assault is then seen stating on **29th October 2017** that Pyrocynical allegedly saw the NSFW fetish commissions of his character created of him when he was a minor, and then requested to see **all** the other fetish art he had in which was created in the past 4 days.
This was then followed by allegedly Assault stating that they **compared and exchanged furry fetish art** with one another, which bears direct comparisons to Niall's dynamic with Ivory, where they exchanged furry NSFW art with one another. To which is followed by Assault stating that apparently he has received more art than Niall in the past 4 days.
Assault is then seen stating that he congratulated Niall on 2 million subscribers and that Pyro called him an e-beggar for getting all the fetish art made for him.



This was then followed by Assault asking this censored user if they wanted to see the DMs they had with Niall, where they discussed the art.
As you can see in the DMs, it is clear that before the account was deleted, it was in most likely Assault who ran it, as **the archived screenshot clearly shows that their account was called Assault** and the account he was messaging had the discord tag **@lol** in which matched verbatim with Niall's tag in 2017, meaning there is reasonable belief that this was Pyrocynical.



As presented by the bot logs of Pyrocynical's discord server, the original account that owned the server had the tag "@lol". Proving that Pyrocynical went by that alias and most likely ran this account.



In the alleged DMs shown by Assault **[ABOVE]**, you can see what is believed to be Niall's account mentioning how Assault has received more fanart than him, and that he probably hasn't paid a single cent for the images. With Assault stating that he is not an e-beggar. Pyrocynical is then seen referring to Assault by his name.

If these DMs did take place, [there are many connections as to prove that this likely happened], then it proves that Niall did engage in sharing furry fetish content with yet another minor and that he, unlike Assault, actually paid for furry fetish art as he is seen stating "and I bet you haven't paid a single cent", inferring that he is jealous with the fact that Assault allegedly received the art for free.
Pyrocynical, specifically calling the art Assault received as 'fanart', brings up numerous questions. Since Assault had specifically requested for this art however received it for free, it is more than likely that Niall refers to art created in those instances as fanart, however in reference to Ida making her NSFW art public on e621, Pyrocynical was believed to have been anxious in Ivory's DMs about it being publicly accessible, with Ivory stating that he should just lie and claim they were instances of fanart in which he didn't specifically ask for. This would mean that s**ince Pyrocynical never explicitly mentioned to Ivory that he received fanart from Ida**, being art he commissioned for free, or art made of him without his knowledge, it is more than likely that he requested and **perhaps paid Ida originally to create the furry NSFW fetish content when she was a minor**. That is an important discrepancy to highlight.

Meaning that he conflated the definition of "fanart" with commissions, as he is referring to art that Assault had specifically requested for free as 'fanart', therefore meaning he most likely paid for Ida to have created furry fetish art for him as during the time of having contact with her, she started creating many instances of furry fetish art regarding his character with Ivory telling him to play it off as just "fanart", meaning that he could use the plausible deniability card and act like he never commissioned it.
At the time of these message exchanges [October 29th 2017], it was believed to be late October 2017, **Niall would have been 20, Assault would have been 16.**

Age of consent laws cannot be attributed to this situation either in the case that obscene furry pornographic art was actually exchanged with the minor and elicited from them.



The discord messages between Assault and allegedly Niall were taken on discord mobile meaning the messages could not have been easily tampered with especially in terms of screenshots dating back from 2020 when applications used to forge falsified evidence were far more trivial and scarce.



More proof is shown via discord screenshots taken by a close mutual of Pyrocynical's in 2017, named Saku [xPoutine was his old alias] showing on Twitter that Niall had the discord name "lol" at the time. This matches not only with the discord bot logs of Pyrocynical's account bearing the tag "lol#9982" which operated his discord server, but also matches with the alleged screenshot evidence of Pyrocynical messaging Assault where the account had the name "lol".

Furthermore this situation matches directly with Ivory's messages in regards to Ida, therefore corroborating the fact that this allegation provided by the unnamed whistleblower **is credible**.



Ivory Rasmus was contacted and asked if Assault was able to be contacted about the situation. Ivory is seen confirming that he was friends with Assault when he was 15, however he would not want to be contacted about the situation as he is 'very distanced from that name in the community'.

AbortionASMR - 15 year old girl

Another person who had come out detailing their alleged experience with Niall, was a user named “@AbortionASMR”, who claimed that when they were 15, they engaged in sexual messages in regards to furry fetish porn when he was 19. Keep in mind, these allegations came out 11 months before Ivory’s initial call-out tweet in November 2020. This tweet was eventually removed by the original poster as she was being harassed by Pyrocynical fans who called her a clout chaser and attempted to invalidate and discredit her experiences.





Link to the archived tweet;
https://web.archive.org/web/20200126154533if_/https://twitter.com/abortionASMR/status/1205513307806978048





As shown in the Twitter DM chat logs provided by AbortionASMR in their original call-out tweet, it shows her messages with Pyrocynical when he went by the Twitter alias "**sheriff**", with a **Kelpy-G profile picture**.

This matches exactly with the profile picture and Twitter account name he had during **September/ Early October 2016.**



Link to archived tweet; https://x.com/ehmamamiaspizza/status/782872959702687744?s=46
Link to archived tweet; https://x.com/bigmates/status/785144839063085057?s=46
Link to archived tweet; https://x.com/dapenjamin/status/785201783702425601?s=46
Link to archived tweet; https://x.com/swearista/status/784127741381738496?s=46



In Twitter DMs between Pyrocynical and AbortionASMR, they took place in September 2016, with Pyrocynical asking her if she had Skype where they could move their conversation to. It is abundantly obvious that Pyrocynical was testing the ground on whether they liked Furry fetish content by posting a fat furry image, to which AbortionASMR replied that it was cute and Pyrocynical immediately replied with if they liked the content and then offered to communicate via Skype, it is a repeated pattern seen playing out with numerous other minors he allegedly engaged with. If the minor expresses interest in Niall's fetishes, he then moves to engage with them fully on Skype, where he could call them and messages are often harder to obtain years later.



In these message logs, AbortionASMR is seen screen recording all the messages as of **29th September 2016**, the day the messages were sent, and a day after Pyrocynical has requested to move the conversation to Skype.
While these messages took place, **AbortionASMR was** [15 years 10 months old]

Age Calculator

Result save

Age:
15 years 10 months 20 days

Pyrocynical was [19 years 4 months old]();

Age Calculator

Result save

Age:
19 years 4 months 15 days




Skype™ - madmamax3
Contacts Conversation Call View Tools Help
nico nico nii
i love kurapika
Search
Home Call New
CONTACTS RECENT All
sherif
wokelchip
my nama jeff the killer
Show earlier messages
sherif
same | BEAST
Today
THIS IS GOOD
where do u get them from? da?
Nah but sites like it
I can find even thiccer if you want
share
1473208740.saintdraccnis_chromeshine_planet_comission-_done.png
150 KB
2:07 AM
The size of the gut is real nice
2:07 AM
it looks sc squishy
2:08 AM
Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
2:10 AM
Yea its cute
2:11 AM
via Skype
Type a message here
Abort: THIS IS GOOD where do u get them from? da?
Pyro: Nah but sites like it. I can find even thiccer if you want
Abort: share
Pyro: planet_comission
The size of the gut is real nice
Abort: it looks so squishy
Pyro: Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
Abort: Yea its cute
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
Geekpirates
2


Skype™ - madmamax3
Skype Contacts Conversation Call View Tools Help
nico nico nii
i love kurapika
Search
Home Call New
CONTACTS RECENT All
Today
sherif
Yesterday
wokelchip
my nama jeff the killer
Show earlier messages
sherif
same | BEAST
Today
Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
Yea its cute
I got more but it's like super gassy idk if you're into that
ive never seen it in a style I like before but send it anyways
1435699483.saintdraccnis_chronological_comission-done_20160924_00454...
491 KB
I think it's p nice tbh
2:14 AM
I LOVE IT
2:15 AM
like you find it hot?
2:15 AM
the style is so good im surprised
2:15 AM
via Skype
Type a message here
Pyro: Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
Abort: Yea its cute
Pyro: I got more but it's like super gassy idk if you're into that
Abort: ive never sen it in a style I like before but send it anyways
Pyro: chronological_comission
I think it's p nice tbh
Abort: I LOVE IT
Pyro: like you find it hot?
Abort: the style is so good i'm surprised
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
Geekpirates
3


Skype™ - madmamax3
Skype Contacts Conversation Call View Tools Help
nico nico nii
I love kurapika
Search
Home Call New
CONTACTS RECENT All
Today
sherif
Yesterday
wokelchip
my name jeff the killer
Show earlier messages
4
sherif
same | BEAST
Today
the style is so good im surprised
Yeeeee
I didn't think you'd find this stuff hot tbh
im ok with anything as long as its not scat honestly
Yeah same tbh
are you only into furry thicc
Ye p much why
1432583903.trinit/light_red_slobarin_copy.png
1.1 MB
Do you like anime thicc aswell?
via Skype
Type a message here
Abort: the style is so good i'm surprised
Pyro: Yeeeee. I didn't think you'd find this stuff hot tbh
Abort: im ok with anything as long as it's not scat honestly
Pyro: Yeah same tbh
Abort: are you only into furry thicc
Pyro: Ye p much why
trinitylight_red_slobarin
Do you like anime thicc aswell?
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
Geekpirates


Skype™ - madmamax3
Skype Contacts Conversation Call View Tools Help
nico nico nii
I love kurapika
Search
Home Call New
CONTACTS RECENT All
Today
sherif
Yesterday
wokelchip
my name jeff the killer
Show earlier messages
5
sherif
same | BEAST
Today
Do you like anime thicc aswell?
IT LOOKS SO SQUISHY I LOVE THESE
anime inflation or just obese
Yeah I love the gas tbh
Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
1468814076.djermengandre_terragandre_layfart_twitsafe.png
1.2 MB
Look at all that hot gas being pumped out
holy shit
whos the artist
Not sure but dyou like it?
via Skype
Type a message here
Pyro: Do you like anime thicc aswell?
Abort: IT LOOKS SO SQUISHY I LOVE THESE
Pyro: Yeah I love the gas tbh. Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
Abort: I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
Pyro: ??fart_twitsafe.png
Look at all that hot gas being pumpd out
Abort: holy shit whos the artist
Pyro: not sure but dyou like it?


Skype™ - madmamax3
Skype Contacts Conversation Call View Tools Help
nico nico nii
i love kurapika
Search
Home Call New
CONTACTS RECENT All
Today
sherif
Yesterday
woketchip
my nama jeff the killer
Show earlier messages
sherif
same | BEAST
Today
Yeah I love the gas tbh
Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
1468814076.djermengandre_terragandre_layfart_twitsafe.png
1.2 MB
Look at all that hot gas being pumped out
holy shit
whos the artist
Not sure but dyou like it?
I just find random art tbh
yes! I told u ive liked gassy stuff even before I got into furries like its so good
Mmmm ikr
via Skype
Type a message here
Pyro: Yeah I love the gas tbh. Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
Abort: I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
Pyro: ??fart_twitsafe.png
Look at all that hot gas being pumpd out
Abort: holy shit whos the artist
Pyro: not sure but dyou like it? I just find random art tbh
Abort: yes! I told u ive liked gassy stuff even before I got into furries like its so good
Pyro: Mmmm ikr
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
Geekpirates
6


1
Pyro: if you're into thicc like no meme
i can send ya some
Abort: high quality thicc?
Pyro: Sure. But like it depends what kinda thic are you into. Like chub stuff or a literal blob
Abort: chub is cuter but blob works
Pyro: light_blobby_fox_comision
What about this
Abort: THIS IS GOOD where do u get them from? da?
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
2
Abort: THIS IS GOOD where do u get them from? da?
Pyro: Nah but sites like it. I can find even thiccer if you want
Abort: share
Pyro: planet_comission
The size of the gut is real nice
Abort: it looks so squishy
Pyro: Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
Abort: Yea its cute
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
3
Pyro: Are you into this kinda stuff I take it
Abort: Yea its cute
Pyro: I got more but it's like super gassy idk if you're into that
Abort: ive never sen it in a style I like before but send it anyways
Pyro: chronological_comission
I think it's p nice tbh
Abort: I LOVE IT
Pyro: like you find it hot?
Abort: the style is so good i'm surprised
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
4
Abort: the style is so good i'm surprised
Pyro: Yeeeee. I didn't think you'd find this stuff hot tbh
Abort: im ok with anything as long as it's not scat honestly
Pyro: Yeah same tbh
Abort: are you only into furry thicc
Pyro: Ye p much why
trinitylight_red_slobarin
Do you like anime thicc aswell?
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order
5
Pyro: Do you like anime thicc aswell?
Abort: IT LOOKS SO SQUISHY I LOVE THESE
Pyro: Yeah I love the gas tbh. Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
Abort: I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
Pyro: ??fart_twitsafe.png
Look at all that hot gas being pumpd out
Abort: holy shit whos the artist
Pyro: not sure but dyou like it?
6
Pyro: Yeah I love the gas tbh. Just eating tons of food and letting out all that hot gas
Abort: I was into eproctophilia before thicc but couldn't find any good art lol
Pyro: ??fart_twitsafe.png
Look at all that hot gas being pumpd out
Abort: holy shit whos the artist
Pyro: not sure but dyou like it? I just find random art tbh
Abort: yes! I told u ive liked gassy stuff even before I got into furries like its so good
Pyro: Mmmm ikr
$0.00
Simply pay for shipping
Click to order

As seen by these messages [*not all the messages are shown as claimed by AbortionASMR*], an account in which is claimed to have been Pyrocynical, is constantly seen asking a 15 year old girl at the time what specific fetishes they are into. Pyrocynical at this time a 19 year old adult, and if these allegations are true, then was seen essentially **consistently sending furry fetish porn to this minor and engaging in inappropriate conversations with them**. This behaviour is not only seen in reference to AbortionASMR's allegations, but it was also seen when Ivory came out about his experience in late 2020 revealed almost a year later, and also many other minors whose experiences went under the radar until now. It's a repeat pattern of behaviour adopted by Niall which has been proven regardless (*on the small possibility that this specific allegation is not true*) and it's especially concerning as he seemed to not be dissuaded by large age gaps in reference to what he stated himself verbatim that once 'learning' Ivory was 16, he continued sexually messaging him regardless because he "**didn't see a 4 year age gap as significant**". If a 4 year age gap did not dissuade him in regards to the Ivory Rasmus situation, then that should build on context for these other allegations with considerably large age gaps.





https://x.com/abortionasmr/status/1206946197807796224?s=46
AbortionASMR is seen posting an image of what they claim to be Pyrocynical's Skype profile **as of 2019** (at the time they created the initial call-out tweet). The image is highlighted in red.

The full image shows that Pyrocynical's alleged Skype tag was called "scran", with it having a Zurg profile picture. There is considerable proof to corroborate this account actually being operated by Pyrocynical.

https://x.com/igloofella/status/1884426419926634635?s=46
In a tweet created by igloofella, he is seen showing proof that another alleged anonymous 12 year old victim of Niall, had him added on Skype, however the message history was wiped by Skype. In the screenshot it showed that Niall's profile was called scran with a Zurg profile picture.







The Skype account shown by the alleged 12 year old victim **matches identically** to the account AbortionASMR showed in their original 2019 call-out tweet with the same Skype tag and profile picture. The account shown in igloofella's tweet also shows that the accounts name was changed from 'abdul' to Pyro in reference to, of course; Pyrocynical, **adding significantly more credibility to AbortionASMR's allegations.**

Images presented in the logs were specifically not embedded exempt for one.



[LEFT] As we have proven, the deleted account user who messaged Ivory was in fact Niall [Pyrocynical]. **[RIGHT]** As you can see in the messages between allegedly Pyrocynical and AbortionASMR on Skype, Pyrocynical is seen sending an image of a furry resting on a fat furry with fart clouds surrounding it [assumed to be Pyrocynical's sona] with buttocks visible, this was sent on September 29th 2016. This exact same commissioned image was then sent to Ivory on 3rd February 2017. Deleted User [Pyrocynical] is even seen asking Ivory "you know that's the artist who did me thic ye?"



In Skype messages with AbortionASMR, Pyrocynical is allegedly also seen directly stating that the commissioned image was ‘my fox’, directly stating that it was his.
These Skype messages were filmed in Nov 2016, and were released in Dec 2019, **this was before Ivory had ever come out about his personal situation and DMs with Niall.**





As seen in the logs, Pyrocynical is seen establishing the fact that the Furry NSFW fetish image that he sent Ivory and AbortionASMR, was originally commissioned from an artist named “Fatty Meat!”

The addition of the furry fetish image that allegedly Pyrocynical posted to AbortionASMR, strengthens the credibility of her story greatly; as she forwarded these allegations publicly in December 2019, 11 months before Ivory ever did, either she faked these messages and there would have had to be the biggest coincidence ever that this account just somehow knew that Pyrocynical commissioned this exact image from ‘Fatty Meat!’ and that the deleted user account which was **proven to be Pyrocynical** was seen 3 months later reposting the exact same image

to another minor [Ivory], which would be statistically **improbable**, or this account was indeed Pyrocynical as the account she was messaging on Twitter had the exact same Twitter name “Sheriff”, as the actual Pyrocynical account did in the exact same time period.





Now in reference to the Skype account profile that allegedly Pyrocynical had at the time of these messages being filed; 29th November 2016, you can see the name of his being “sherif” which matches the name of his Twitter account at the time, additionally you can see that the account has a **pizza profile picture** which may throw people off at first. However by cross referencing tweets made in early December 2016, only a couple of days later, and you can see a tweet made by a user on 1st December 2016, showing their Skype DMs with SolidShibe, Pyrocynical’s close associate; https://x.com/jonasfullbobafe/status/804272524796248064?s=46



Showing that SolidShibe has the **same pizza with shades pfp** that the account being alleged to be Pyrocynical had. Thomas is the name of SolidShibe for context.

A tweet by Sive Morten is also seen with him being in a call with SolidShibe where he is seen having the pizza with glasses profile picture;
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/811333946327740416?s=46





The user is displayed as “**dogegaming**”, which is an alias of SolidShibe. This is evident in a tweet made on October 27th 2016, where a user posts Sive’s Twitter DMs showing him making a joke about wanting to have sex with “doge gaming”. The original creator of the tweet pings both Sive and SolidShibe in reference to what was said.

https://x.com/hatef3ck/status/791728486532714496?s=46

This message is also concerning due to the fact that SolidShibe was a minor at this time, and SiveMorten was 21, making a joke comment about wanting to have sexual intercourse with him.



SolidShibe’s face was openly public and it was evident to everyone in the community that he was a minor. Sive had no excuse to make sexual jokes in regards to SolidShibe, regardless of how long ago these comments transpired. Clearly as judged by the Ava “Kris” Tyson situation, sexual jokes towards minors made by adults with disparaging age gaps **is unacceptable**.

Another tweet is shown by atozy [30th November 2016], where he is attempting to create SolidShibe’s profile picture by putting shades on a pizza slice that he is holding.
https://x.com/atozy/status/804009319373148160?s=46

As you can clearly see, Thomas, which is SolidShibe's name, is present on the right with his profile picture being visible. It is understood that his profile picture was some inside joke of the community.





SolidShibe can even be seen in archived twitter images, having tweeted out the image of the pizza with shades. [1st December 2016]
https://x.com/applicationbot1/status/804344112606494720?s=46



https://x.com/tehcod11/status/901177887998574594?s=46
https://x.com/_jarsh/status/880281909758230528?s=46
https://x.com/lukabigpants/status/851613904270753792?s=46

The pizza profile picture is seen as a recurring joke in social spaces around SolidShibe well into 2017.



Both Pyrocynical [**September 19th 2016**] and ColossalIsCrazy [**October 8th 2016**] had now deleted tweets on the pizza meme, to which many people joked that it looked like “Pizza Steve”, in reference to the animated character from the show Uncle Grandpa in which was a slice of

pizza with shades, directly reminiscent of the profile picture that the account which is claimed to be Pyrocynical's Skype account had at around the **exact same time period these tweets were sent.**



This segment adds credibility to AbortionASMR's allegation as not only did Pyrocynical have the name "Sheriff" on Twitter at the time and have the exact same profile picture, but he also sent the exact same furry fetish art he commissioned from an artist, to Ivory only 3 months after sending it to her. With the Skype messages too, it doesn't seem illogical to assume that Pyrocynical just used SolidShibe's Pizza meme profile picture for his Skype account as they were very evidently, extremely close friends and associates at the time. He had tweeted a meme referencing the image on 19th September, being 10 days before his alleged Skype logs were recorded by the victim, showing his apparent profile picture to have the pizza image.



Saku who was someone who was in contact with Pyrocynical and in the community at the time is also seen **attesting** that despite him losing his old Skype login, **he does remember Niall having that exact pizza profile picture in 2016.**



AbortionASMR was seen on their active account; “@fertilebigfoot”, stating in a tweet made in 2022 that Pyrocynical had allegedly sent them furry pornography and that he “sexted” her when she was 15, posting furry fart pornography and even “assigned” her a furry fetish sona. This proves that AbortionASMR has **remained consistent with her claims over the course of numerous years, her claim being that Niall sent her furry pornography and discussed fetishes with her when she was a minor.**

https://x.com/fertilebigfoot/status/1549815804677574657?s=46

https://x.com/fertilebigfoot/status/1531659063276208128?s=46

Now in reference to the Twitter account which AbortionASMR operated when she was originally contacted by Niall, that remains unknown. AbortionASMR has always affirmed that they were constantly suspended on Twitter, so for now it’s impossible to retrieve the account she operated and examine archived screenshots of her bio, however every account she operated on her 2019-2020 account and current one, shows that she always had her date of birth present in her bio. So in reference to the AbortionASMR situation, **it is currently unknown if Pyrocynical knew her age**, however in many other cases highlighted in this document, Niall either asked their age and / or was directly informed, and in other cases it was extremely implausible for him

to have not known. Best case scenario for Pyrocynical in this case, was that he engaged in sending pornography and discussed fetish based topics with a minor who he did not verify the age of, ***however he had verified the age of numerous others minors before and has been shown to continue acting inappropriately regardless***, that should be succinctly clarified.

In the case of AbortionASMR, it was originally believed by the majority of people that the accounts she was messaging were not Pyrocynical because the name of the accounts used did not match up with Pyrocynical's at the time, current accounts and no one remembered the old aliases Niall had used back in 2016, however as proven with Twitter archived screenshots from 2016 and matching behavioural patterns with Niall sending the same instance of furry fetish imagery to other people and also by comparing the Skype tag [which she screenshotted in 2019] with other alleged victims [which was screenshotted in 2024], this allegation is **extremely credible** as Pyrocynical's Skype account was very secretive and these accounts matched proving their authenticity. The only last piece to finish the puzzle was the name of the account she operated, from that we are able to determine how close she was to Pyrocynical and if she had her age present in the bio similar to her other accounts which is unknown as of currently.

This allegation **is not** defined by AbortionASMR not making her age known, it's defined by <u>what her suspended account was</u> and if her age was present in her bio like her other accounts. There is no current evidence that AbortionASMR ever lied about her age or withheld it. Her age being known is inconclusive for now.

<u>Mewibos / Leo - 14 year old</u>

While not as much proof is openly available as the aforementioned situations, the case of Mewibos is rather **concerning**. This segment will touch on the **allegations** that Pyrocynical allegedly commissioned a 14 year old boy to create fetishistic and pornographic content in private for him. This was attested by numerous people well versed in the community at the time all in different instances which make the matter rather important to highlight, however, take these *allegations* in a **level headed manner.** What is being alleged are from numerous **witness testimonies.**



Mewibos was a **14 year old Twitter artist** who was a commentary community orbiter. She often created extremely suggestive or flat out pornographic artwork, and no one decided to call it out at the time. As of now Mewibos has been completely offline for years, having abandoned her old alias.



https://x.com/chromelxd/status/773255576860160001?s=46



https://x.com/solarspaceboi/status/836717355619188736?s=46

As illustrated it was reasonably well known that Mewibos was a minor, with people being open in specifying his age in private.

Mutuals of Mewibos would also knew at the time, and actively talked about how Leo went to school.
Further adding credibility to the idea that they were underaged at the time.





https://x.com/hawkpsd/status/784089385897758720?s=46

Pyrocynical was also seen having liked their tweets proving that he was actively viewing and engaging with their account. The screenshot was taken on 6th October 2016, when Pyrocynical's Twitter account did have the name "Sheriff". When this screenshot was taken, Pyrocynical was at the time **19**, and Mewibos was **14**.



Link to the video and timestamp provided; https://youtube.com/watch?v=mAFnS1-YtZE **0:48 - 0:53**

Pyrocynical is then seen having **featured art created by Mewibos** of his YouTube persona in his YouTube video. This proves that they did have contact and Pyrocynical did use art from them. While it may not specifically build on the allegations that Niall commissioned them for pornography, it proves that these allegations do hold weight.



The video was uploaded on September 6th 2016. Mewibos [Leo] was born on **August 8th 2002,** meaning they were originally **13 turning 14** or **just turned 14** when they created this art for Pyrocynical.



[LEFT] A Twitter user named Naomi is seen attesting that they personally avoid Pyrocynical due to the fact that in private, Pyrocynical allegedly had Leo / Mewibos create “poopy fart vore” of his fursona. Essentially alleging that Pyrocynical commissioned multiple instances of furry fetish pornography from a minor significantly younger than himself.

[RIGHT] Saku was even seen allegeding that Pyrocynical got Mewibos to draw a lot of furry pornography for him in private.

Keep in mind Saku was **well versed** in the community at the time and was friends with many users who were openly taken advantage of by Niall, there is no incentive for him to lie, especially when he has shown discord message proof that Niall was sharing fetish content to

him while he was a minor. Furthermore Saku was not the only person who had alleged Niall to have inappropriately engaged with Mewibos in private, that should be taken into consideration.

It is especially important to establish the fact that Mewibos was a minor creating suggestive and pornographic art for public consumption, and yet nonetheless Pyrocynical is seen openly commissioning her for art of his character and featuring it inside his videos. He wasn't dissuaded by any possible ramifications that came out of engaging with a minor who created NSFW art. Morally it is questionable for a 19 year old adult to be getting art from and publicly following an alleged 14 year old girl who creates pornographic art publicly. This is **provable** with evidence still being publicly accessible to this day, such as archived screenshots of Niall engaging with her Twitter account as well as him featuring her art in YouTube videos.

Now in order to prove Mewibos' date of birth and the age gap between Leo and Niall, we have to refer to a separate situation.
Mewibos had come out in 2019, claiming that in 2017, when they were **15**, the YouTuber **Shadical** had behaved inappropriately to them and **asked for feet pictures** while he was **18**.





[Old archived screenshots from a collage made against Shadical, images are very low quality]



Shadical on 23rd March 2023, then made a [response video] clarifying and going over the entire situation including showing the chat logs.
Shadical is seen stating that they **never knew of Mewibos age** and that **he believed Mewibos was considerably older than they were** because of the art that they created. He also stated that Mewibos **never made their age present in their bio** and that **he never realised that they were a guy, not a girl.**



Shadical is then seen showing how old he was at the time of the Twitter message exchanges which he claims took place on September 30th 2017. Shadical is seen showing that he was 18.
Now if we take into account that Mewibos claimed to have been **15** during the message exchanges which according to Shadical took place on **September 30th 2017**, and we also take into account that people were congratulating Mewibos on their birthday on **8th August.**





This would essentially mean that Mewibos' date of birth would be **8th August 2002.**

This essentially means that the age gap between Mewibos and Pyrocynical would be **5 years 2 months.**





In a tweet provided by igloofella, he shows a discord message of a friend of an alleged anonymous victim with them attesting that they were in an old Skype group chat with Pyrocynical and their other mutual friends and that they saw their friend who was **14**, be constantly asked to draw nude and NSFW fetish art for Pyrocynical. The name provided ends with an ‘s’ and **matches identically to “mewibos”. Mewibos was also 14, the ages match.**

Pyrocynical sent porn to a 15 year old - Turk

In November 2016, a user named ‘catwiththemac0’, made a tweet showing private Twitter messages with Pyrocynical in 2015, showing how allegedly Pyrocynical had sent them furry pornography of Foxy and Chica from FNAF, with Foxy sitting on Chica’s face. Even allegedly Pyrocynical acknowledges in these message exchanges that it is **inherently sexual** by referencing the image as a ‘Foxy ass’ image.
Archive: https://archive.is/wip/Ao7HW







As provided in these two tweets;
[(1) türkjew warrior on X: "when ur 15 and have no teen angst https://t.co/yH1xPNBdQ7" / X]
Archive: https://archive.is/wip/fQCyq
[(1) türkjew warrior on X: "Birthday time." / X]
Archive: https://archive.is/pSopR

It is evident that Turk was open about their age publicly on Twitter, as they established they were 15 as of October 2015. Judging by these two tweets it is easy to decipher the fact that Turk was born on January 31st 2000.
Meaning that the age gap between Turk and Pyrocynical would have been **2 years 8 months.**



While the alleged age gap between Pyrocynical and Turk is not significant as representative of the other cases, it builds a larger picture that Pyrocynical was willing to openly post NSFW content to anyone regardless of their age, furthermore specifically in Turk's case, he made his age present on Twitter on October 21st 2015.
As seen in Twitter private messages with Pyrocynical, Niall had sent them pornographic content in late December.
Pyrocynical would have been [18 years 7 months old], Turk would have been [15 years 10 months old].





Link to tweet; https://x.com/catwiththemac0/status/615935116666343424?s=46
Archive: https://archive.is/wip/2AKtL
Turk had tweeted out a screenshot on 30th June 2015, where it showed that the **actual Pyrocynical had followed them on Twitter**, adding credibility to their initial allegation.
Turk was also seen **consistently communicating with Pyrocynical's old account on Twitter**, therefore adding further credibility to their claim. Furthermore, their initial tweet, displaying the private twitter messages they had with Pyrocynical, where he is seen posting NSFW to them, was originally made in November 2016, 4 years before the Ivory Rasmus callout post. There was no incentive for them to have even fabricated these message logs regardless. Additionally, the idea that Pyrocynical did not know the age of this person is drawn into question, as they were never secretive about their age whatsoever, nor their date of birth. Pyrocynical unlike with the Ivory situation, **does not have an alibi that he 'was not on Twitter so therefore could not see the tweets of them disclosing their age'** as Turk made it known that his birthday was on January 31st, in a tweet he made in early 2015, 10 months before his private Twitter messages to Pyrocynical. Ultimately regardless, it was proven that he was on Twitter during the time period he claims he was not and he was even accessing Ivory's newest tweets. **Therefore proving his alibi to be completely incorrect and a lie in the first place**.

Turk was also seen making the tweet establishing that he was 15, close to 4 months **after** Pyrocynical had followed them, with them also having made it known that they were in school numerous times before Pyrocynical directly messaged them privately on Twitter.
Turk is seen almost 7 years after their original 2016 tweet, talking about how Pyrocynical had sent them 'fat furry porn', when they were 16, however they liked his content, so they chose not to care; türkjew warrior on X: "pyrocynical sent me fat furry porn when I was 16 but I like him so idc lol" / X
Archive: https://archive.is/FO2EC
However, as established before, Pyrocynical sent them the content in late December 2016, while he was 18. This effectively meant Turk was 15 when they received the content as their date of birth was 31st January 2000. Furthermore when they had stated that they were 16, this could have been due to them rounding their age, however it could also be insinuated that more

Age:
15 years 10 months 28 days

instances followed since their exchange in late 2015, and it could have continued while they were 16.
Regardless, it was illegal for Pyrocynical to have sent furry NSFW content to a 15 year old, while he was 18. Age of consent laws to not cover for someone sending NSFW content to minors.

Archive of bio: https://archive.is/wip/zcdqw

Turk is from New Jersey where it is established that it is illegal for an adult to distribute and share obscene pornographic content to a minor. This would effectively mean once again the excuse that the “age of consent in New Jersey is 16”, to be completely irrelevant because it is illegal to send a minor obscene pornographic content regardless of if they are 16 or 17. Anything under the age of 18 is a minor under US Federal Law.

The image Pyrocynical had sent would fall under (4c) **‘Fondling or other erotic touching** of covered human genitals, public region, **buttock** or female breast.”

The law covers the illegality of the adult “**knowingly distributing**” obscene content to a “**person under 18**”, to which Niall is seen evidently doing.



CALL US LOCATIONS LIVE CHAT

It is only logical that lawmakers would an interest in deterring exposure of obscene materials to minors. The result was the adoption of **N.J.S.A. 2C:2C:34-3** in New Jersey. This law makes it a third degree crime punishable by years in prison to sell, distribute or exhibit obscene materials to someone under 18 years of age. The statute also applies if someone knowingly admits a juvenile to an establishment where obscene films, enactments or portrayals shall occur.

MENU

JUSTIA

2009 New Jersey Code
TITLE 2C - THE NEW JERSEY CODE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
2c:34
2C:34-3 - Obscenity for persons under 18

2C:34-3. Obscenity for persons under 18
2C:34-3. Obscenity For Persons Under 18. a. Definitions for purposes of this section:

(1) "Obscene material" means any description, narrative account, display, depiction of a specified anatomical area or specified sexual activity contained in, or consisting of, a picture or other representation, publication, sound recording, live performance or film, which by means of posing, composition, format or animated sensual details, emits sensuality with sufficient impact to concentrate prurient interest on the area or activity.

(2) "Obscene film" means any motion picture film or preview or trailer to a film, not including newsreels portraying actual current events or pictorial news of the day, in which a scene, taken by itself:

(a) Depicts a specified anatomical area or specified sexual activity, or the simulation of a specified sexual activity, or verbalization concerning a specified sexual activity; and

JUSTIA

(b) Emits sensuality sufficient, in terms of the duration and impact of the depiction, to appeal to prurient interest.

(3) "Specified anatomical area" means:

(a) Less than completely and opaquely covered human genitals, pubic region, buttock or female breasts below a point immediately above the top of the areola; or

(b) Human male genitals in a discernibly turgid state, even if covered.

(4) "Specified sexual activity" means:

(a) Human genitals in a state of sexual stimulation or arousal; or

(b) Any act of human masturbation, sexual intercourse or deviate sexual intercourse; or

(c) Fondling or other erotic touching of covered or uncovered human genitals, pubic region, buttock or female breast.

(5) "Knowingly" means:

(a) Having knowledge of the character and content of the material or film described herein; or

JUSTIA

(b) Having failed to exercise reasonable inspection which would disclose its character and content.

(6) "Exhibit" means the sale of admission to view obscene material.

(7) "Show" means cause or allow to be seen.

b.Promoting obscene material.

(1) A person who knowingly sells, distributes, rents or exhibits to a person under 18 years of age obscene material is guilty of a crime of the third degree.

Pyrocynical sent porn to another 15 year old - TrippyFox

TrippyFox was a relatively small commentary orbiter, being friends with Pyrocynical and being associated in his friend circle for many years. He was associated with **SolidShibe**, SiveMorten and others.









TrippyFox is seen making a tweet showing the subscriber counts of SolidShibe and iFlipsful who had **both subscribed to his YouTube Channel**, showing that SolidShibe was subscribed to him for over 6 months.
https://x.com/trippy_fox/status/714146678693990400?s=46
Archive: https://archive.is/wip/pcObz

TrippyFox also showed proof that he had direct contact with SolidShibe, showing excerpts of his messages; https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/727603175579062272

TrippyFox also shows Thomas [SolidShibe], offering to trade items with him, proving once again how they had contact with one another.









TrippyFox was seen **regularly interacting under Pyrocynical's tweets throughout 2016**, referencing how he was mentioned in Niall's video which was made on April 13th 2016. Also even referencing the term '**thicc**' to Pyrocynical, this is direct proof of **Trippy acknowledging what the term thicc meant as of 2016** and fits in to the allegation made by Trippy that Pyrocynical had shared 'thicc' furry fetish pornography to him while he was 15.

Links to tweets;

https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/771475268796084226
https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/761499652046872576
https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/767078407935000576
https://x.com/Trippy_Fox/status/720592358316843008





Pyrocynical was seen thanking TrippyFox for putting together his montage for his 800,000 subscriber special, showing that the two had contact and were speaking together.

https://youtube.com/watch?v=ObwnUlQy8Fk **9:50 - 10:01**

Pyrocynical: **“Before I end the video I just want to give a shout-out to the re—rds that helped me out this video together, thanks to SuperProWaffles for the intro animation, thanks to Capticon and TrippyFox for that montage of all my previous videos”**



Pyrocynical was even seen linking TrippyFox's channel in his description.
Archive: https://archive.is/wip/otYEz



In TrippyFox's unlisted video on Pyrocynical and Leafy;
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pq80R4rgoEQ
https://archive.is/wip/PqVFS

https://x.com/trippy_fox/status/738815282496581632?s=46
https://archive.is/wip/YdqDp
He is seen showing that Pyrocynical did in fact follow him on Twitter and that he was mutuals with them. This adds credibility to TrippyFox's story in which we will cover.



TrippyFox is also seen having had **Sive added on Skype** and getting on call with him, along with Sive commenting on his main channel in the comment section. Proving that they were both mutuals.

In March 2024, TrippyFox came out to claim that Pyrocynical had shared to them furry fetish pornography when they were 15, and claimed Pyrocynical knew their age. Link to the tweet **[NSFW warning]**; [(1) Trippy on X: "@FakeBigBunjeee he did that shit to me knowing I was 15 💀 https://t.co/Ww4zDmialV" / X]
Archive: [https://archive.is/wip/W8Z9C]





As seen in these alleged Twitter messages between TrippyFox and Pyrocynical, Niall is seen to have sent NSFW furry fetish content of 2 furries engaging in explicit acts. Trippy is seen stating ‘this can be us’, to which Pyrocynical allegedly replied, ‘which one would u be tho’, to which Trippy is seen stating that Pyro should be the one on the left.
What is believed to be Pyrocynical is seen stating ‘AAAAAAAAAA’, in reply to Trippy making sexually suggestive comments towards him, clearly showing how Pyrocynical allegedly, actively engaged in such discussions and was complicit in them.
Pyrocynical is then seen allegedly telling Trippy to go on Skype, and then to delete the DM, this is perhaps to remove incriminating messages and cover his tracks.

As presented in this Tweet, [(3) Trippy on X: "Anyone from the 2016 era seeing this? Yesterday a friend told me that Pyro "Got away with it" because apparently he claimed to not know the age of his victim? Well, he knew I was 15 (He was 19?) and still sent me porn and pressured me into "erotic furry roleplaying" w him lol" / X
https://archive.is/wip/0fdIB]

TrippyFox is seen stating that Pyrocynical knew of his age being 15, however was unsure how old Pyrocynical was at the time, he goes on to allege that Pyrocynical sent him pornography and pressured him into ERPing.



TrippyFox affirms that he has the evidence on hand, and claims that Pyrocynical was not properly outed so therefore Pyrocynical, or anyone else for that matter, has no incentive to ever want to change that behaviour. [(4) Trippy on X: "@TheTexanHusky I have evidence lol, just find it a eery since without being properly outed he or others don't hsve any reason to change that behaviour" / X
https://archive.is/wip/QwL4U]



https://archive.is/wip/EHeWJ - I'm 17
https://archive.is/wip/ZZF2A - happy birthday to myself
As established, the age gap was 2 years 11 months 7 days, so effectively 3 years.
TrippyFox established on 27th June 2017, that he was 17. Meaning his date of birth was on April 21st 2000, making him 1 year older than Ivory Rasmus.
It has been detailed that Pyrocynical and TrippyFox were indeed close associates, and there is no incentive whatsoever for TrippyFox to have forged messages against Pyrocynical when taking into consideration how much Ivory was harassed merely because he didn't cohesively make a document going over his DMs which would have made his side of the story much easier to digest.
The case of Pyrocynical sending furry fetish porn to a TrippyFox when he was a minor, is just one of many cases of Pyrocynical abusing his power and influence as an adult to engage sexually with underaged fans of his and continue to get away with it.

Pyrocynical is also seen having directly referenced TrippyFox on Twitter on **March 24th 2016.**

Pyrocynical got a 15 year old girl to make fetish art

This allegation has been proven to be true, Pyrocynical **admitted** that he engaged with this minor in his Response video.

For context in **2016**, an artist on Instagram known as SpoopyScaryAliens, aka Ronnie, made fanart for large YouTubers at the time such as LeafyIsHere, JackSepticEye and Markiplier. They also made many art pieces for Pyrocynical. They openly made it no secret that they were **underaged** and **went to school.**





https://www.instagram.com/spoopyscaryaliens/p/BKwjyCjjJ2m/
https://www.instagram.com/spoopyscaryaliens/p/BKuN3qSDcGJ/

One particular instance of art they made for Pyrocynical was a body pillow image they had made of Niall's Fox character.
This was then subsequently followed by Niall contacting them in private Twitter messages asking for why they had made their profile picture the image, stating that it wasn't even "thicc".





This was followed by Pyrocynical complimenting their art 4 minutes later and then asking how old they are, to which they reply 15, to which Niall acknowledges.
So it is incredibly hard to understand how Niall in all the other instances of him acting inappropriately around minors, simply did not know their age if he had requested the age of Ronnie during these message exchanges in 2016.
Furthermore her age being 15, did not dissuade him from requesting furry fetish art from her regardless.



This was highlighted on May 9th 2019, when Ronnie under the Sulkaeda alias made a TikTok; https://www.tiktok.com/@sulkaeda/video/6689143919340424454

Highlighting her interaction with Pyrocynical and showing a plethora of messages of Pyrocynical having encouraged her to create fetish content of his character and knowing that she was **15**. They were the first time the messages were shown, and this remains the first allegation made against Pyrocynical, as it was made **7 months** before AbortionASMR's call-out tweet on Niall, and **1.5 years before Ivory's call-out tweet.**



As detailed in the message exchanges, Ronnie is seen communicating with an unknown person that Pyrocynical "demanded" that they create "thicc" furry fetish content of his character, despite knowing that they were 15.
Now when Pyrocynical addressed this situation in his Response video, he does his best to **downplay** the situation and claimed that the images were **not pornographic**, despite them not only being *inherently fetishistic* showing thicker proportions, but also regarding the fact that his character is clearly seen having a **penis bulge** and **larger defined buttocks laying on bedsheets.** This art piece was clearly made to elicit a sexual reaction because it was created to conform to Niall's sexual fetishism and the art was intended for erotic purposes. To argue that the creation of this artwork was not to play into Niall's sexual fantasy is simply untrue.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **20:26 - 20:48**

Pyrocynical; '**I asked them for a thicc version and they drew this [shows the image], which isn't even really that different from previous things they drew before even getting into contact with me. I didn't commission it, what was drawn wasn't pornographic, they'd**

drawn very similar stuff before and there was no role-play here it was nothing and the thing is this wasn't even a call-out post the person that made the original video just that it was funny'

'**That being said it was incredibly irresponsible of me and not my best look I admit but this isn't at all comparable to the current situation [in reference to Ivory].**'

Pyrocynical despite attempting to feign the idea that he is taking accountability, takes subtle steps to try and downplay the situation, first he claims he did not commission the image, but his specification of this **doesn't change the fundamental problem of the situation at all**. According to the same girl, and from archived DM's present, he '**demanded**' and pushed the girl to create the 'thicc' furry fetish content. Pyrocynical also attempts to shift the topic onto the other examples of art that the minor had created trying to **downplay** his specific artwork in terms of how suggestive it was. Some art created by the minor focused on guro [gore], and others were objectively NSFW, however this only serves to deflect the subject away from what Niall did, just because the minor created suggestive and sometimes pornographic content, does not now give Niall the greenlight to push the minor to create fetish content for him. The defense that this was not comparable to the Ivory situation as there were no examples of ERP [erotic roleplay] present is correct, but is extremely important to highlight this situation nonetheless, because it is a **distinct example of Niall knowing the age of a minor, and continue to engage with them inappropriately regardless**. It is important to highlight because it presents a **concerning pattern of his behaviour.**





Niall got a **15 year old girl to create fetish content for him** when **he was 19** [August 16th 2016], played it down in his response video, and many people forgot about it. Ronnie is even seen in their instagram post where they posted the image, stating it was '**Pyro approved**'.



Ronnie was seen making a post highlighting how Pyrocynical liked their art. Pyrocynical **abused his power dynamic** as a content creator to **engage with impressionable fans** who created fanart for him, and **pushed them into engaging with his fetishistic fantasies** by creating suggestive fetish based content for him.

Niall, as an adult, knew she was 15 and continued to engage with her regardless **instead of cutting contact**. How this was not a red flag which people should have picked up on is extremely concerning in itself.

Keep in mind specifically for the Ivory situation, his entire alibi that he didn't know the age was based on a lie, and there is a lot more evidence to prove he most likely knew Ivory was a minor far before he made it known he was 16 in July 2017.



The fact that the girl attested that she was effectively "**demanded**" by Niall to create this content is something that many people didn't touch upon either.

This was **not** the only minor who allegedly was consulted by Niall to create furry fetish content as Pink was another victim.





This user was known to have created guro [gore] NSFW artwork when they were a minor to cope with trauma and suicidality. Them creating NSFW artwork however, does not make it morally acceptable for Pyrocynical to have encouraged this 15 year old girl to create furry fetish pornographic content of his character, while he was an adult.



Despite their birthdate **not currently** being known, it is believed that they were born in December 2000 / January 2001, as they made a statement in mid December 2020 stating they were almost 20. Making the age gap between them and Pyrocynical about **3 years 7 / 8 months.**

Pyrocynical sending fetish content to a 16 year old - Ken

Ken (@gensnarl) was at the time, a 16 turning 17 year old furry artist who sometimes created furry pornographic content. They were someone involved in friend circles around Ivory Rasmus and were even overly flirtatious with YouTuber Kwite at the time.





Tweet links;
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/815026622864625664?s=46
https://x.com/siltayt/status/811324444429975555?s=46

Ken is seen having their age present inside their bio to this day, with them stating that they are currently 24 years old. An archived screenshot of their Twitter account from 2016, shows that their age was present in their bio clearly indicating that they were a minor, they were open about their age being **16**.
This archived screenshot was shown by a Tweet posted on **10th December 2016**. This effectively means that Ken was born in **2000**.
Link to archived tweet; https://x.com/owlbones4/status/807692980278665216?s=46





On **December 25th 2016**, Twitter user "@gensnarl" aka Ken, posted a tweet showing who they claim to be Pyrocynical, sending them furry fetish content. **Now while this instance is not inherently pornographic or explicit**, it is suggestive and is included to show a pattern of behaviour that Niall expressed in terms of sending furry fetish content to minors. The following day, Pyrocynical's Twitter account was suspended with Ken making a tweet over 2 weeks later joking about this being the reason for why;
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/819026722024587264?s=46



As presented in the tweet made by OwlBricks on 10th December 2016, **it is clear that Ken had their age present in their bio in early December 2016**, 15 days before Pyrocynical was seen sending them furry fetish content.
Their age and date of birth was completely public information.



As evident in these tweets, Ken was very open about their age on their Twitter account during 2016 & 2017. Even if we were to play *Devil's Advocate* and use Pyrocynical's **plausible deniability alibi** that he was suspended on Twitter so therefore he wasn't on Twitter from late December 2016 to late June 2017 ***[which later turned out to be false regardless]***, he was still seen messaging furry fetish content to Ken on the day he was suspended, which took place 2-3 days after Ken's other tweets in reference to them being 16, which they had publicly tweeted.





When factoring in the fact that Ken's date of birth was **August 17th 2000**, as they were turning 17 in 2017, this would effectively mean the age gap between Pyrocynical and them would have been **3 years 3 months.**

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 23/10/2016

some bad art for @HWYMG and @pyrocynical

3

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 23/11/2016

teeth are fun to draw @pyrocynical

1 1 11

Post

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 16/11/2016

busts are $6 !!

3 2 15

Nervotic Follow

@JNervotic

AWESOME @Lord_Bung AND @pyrocynical DUDE OR DUDETTE !

06:08 · 16/11/2016

1

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 16/11/2016

thank you !!

1 1

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 03/01/2017

i gues im just drawing inspirations at this point lmao

1 4

NOXI Follow

@NOXIBLOOD

is Pyro an inspiration to you? :3

04:04 · 03/01/2017

1

ken ✨ @gensnarl · 03/01/2017

yeah hes p great but he got suspended lmao s o

1

NOXI @NOXIBLOOD · 03/01/2017

oh wait hes still suspended?

Link to tweets;
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/790076916812292097?s=46
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/801372137223286784?s=46
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/798753365584855040?s=46

Ken would also be seen creating art for Niall too, even stating that he was an inspiration to them adding credibility behind the allegation that they were in contact with one another.

Link to tweets;
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/757793798671872000?s=46
https://x.com/gensnarl/status/794784474890309632?s=46

Ken was also seen creating art for Squizxy, who was Niall's at the time girlfriend, one can insinuate that they had contact with one another when Ken is seen in a tweet stating "hey sorry this looks bad but here's your art", implying that they have communicated about this beforehand.

Saku / 404Sakuu / xPoutine - 15 year old

Another credible allegation that has arisen was from an **old associate of Pyrocynical** who once went under the alias “xPoutine”.

https://x.com/404sakuu/status/1659460259147317249?s=46

Saku aka xPoutine is seen sarcastically pretending to be a Pyrocynical supporter and then jokingly being seen playing down his friendship and associations with Pyrocynical by claiming “we weren’t close…”, but then stating that Pyrocynical **insisted that he had a sexual fetish** and sent him **numerous different instances of furry fetish porn**, which matches cohesively with all the other instances of Pyrocynical being alleged to have done the exact same to other minors.

https://x.com/404sakuu/status/1731011190225350772?s=46

Saku is then seen on Twitter stating that according to him, Pyrocynical **furry roleplayed with 3 of his friends while he was 16.**

Saku is then seen referencing his own alleged situation by making a joke out of Pyrocynical sending degenerate messages to a 15 year olds DMs in reference to fractalcounty's now deleted tweet.

https://x.com/404sakuu/status/1699470383706169820?s=46
https://x.com/404sakuu/status/1699465963643756899?s=46





Saku aka xPoutine, was seen to have been featured in Pyrocynical's video titled "$3 BuzzFeed Vs. $9999 BuzzFeed".
Furthermore Saku [Poutine] was seen posting alleged discord conversations he had with Pyrocynical [lol], therefore adding more credibility to his allegations and illustrating that they were closely associated.



In the other screenshots provided, Saku is once again seen posting discord messages he had with allegedly Pyrocynical with the name 'lol' and the Kelpy-G profile picture that was infamous with his branding in 2016/17. These screenshots build on the idea that he had direct contact with Niall for an **extended period of time**, from 2016-2017. This adds credibility to Saku's allegations.





Saku is seen stating he was **16** on Twitter during early 2017. **This effectively nullifies any excuse that he was secretive, lying or not open about his age.**

https://x.com/404sakuu/status/836176592506249217?s=46

https://x.com/404sakuu/status/1895050627149111357?s=46

Saku is seen on Twitter celebrating his **24th birthday on 27th February 2025**, meaning his date of birth would be **27th February 2001**. This effectively means that the age gap between Saku and Niall would have been **3 years 9 months 13 days.**

Birth date person 1:*

Feb

27

2001

Birth date person 2:*

May

14

1997

■ The age difference between the two is: 3 years 9 months 13 days.

Saku @404Sakuu · May 29, 2017

Some people still haven't seen my **face** somehow so uh... here #BroArmy

11 1 16

Saku @404Sakuu · Jan 19, 2017

For some reason some people STILL haven't seen my **face** even after all the memes... so here. Like this to boost my ego.

7 1 12

Saku @404Sakuu · Dec 13, 2016

Good game @PlayOverwatch

Cyranek

1 8 44

Accuser of the Brethren @frostfireee · Dec 13, 2016

don't you have school tomorrow poutine?

1 1

Saku @404Sakuu · Dec 13, 2016

fuck up cunt

1 1

Saku @404Sakuu · Dec 13, 2016

I've got twitter memes to make involving an overweight teddybear wearing a sombrero. This is more important

1 2

Accuser of the Brethren
@frostfireee

gonna fail all your finals LUL

9:54 AM · Dec 13, 2016

Saku @404Sakuu · Dec 19, 2016

More pictures for the "poutine's face meme" folder



13 5 23





As provided by these screenshots, Saku consistently posted images of his face on his Twitter account and his appearance was public knowledge. It was very evident to decipher the fact that **he was obviously underaged**, and **close mutuals** to Saku such as '@frostfireee', **knew Saku was below the age of 18** and made joke comments about them having to go to school and do exams.
This was all while Pyrocynical could still access Twitter in early December 2016, and while **Pyrocynical made a video referring to a comment he had made inside of it.**







The video Pyrocynical made referencing Saku's tweet and in the same timeframe Pyrocynical was seen messaging Saku's discord account, was the same time period where Saku was seen consistently posting his face to mutuals in Twitter replies and being open with what he looks like; even with people stating he looked young with someone stating that **he looked prepubescent.**







Saku is seen replying to a user stating that he ‘doesn’t look 8’, obviously in reply to the user claiming he looked very young.
Saku is also seen attesting to me in private Twitter DM’s that **while Niall did know his age**, many of the groupchats present have been **lost to time and are inaccessible**, this doesn’t detract credibility to his claims however, as he fluidly states that he would **regularly post images of his face when he was 15, and it was abundantly obvious that he was underaged**. Many of his associates knew him to still be below the age of 18 too, and that he still went to school.

Such instances provided of Saku posting his face were posted while Pyrocynical was **still active on Twitter** and **not suspended [December 2016]**, and actively engaging with Saku on discord.



For more clarification, **xPoutine** is the old alias that Saku originally operated under, before he was allegedly told to change it.

Saku is also seen attesting that while Niall did know his age, many of the group chats present have been **lost to time and are inaccessible**, this doesn’t detract credibility to his claims however, as he fluidly states that he would **regularly post images of his face when he was 15, and it was abundantly obvious that he was underaged**. Many of his associates knew him to still be below the age of 18 too, and that he still went to school.

During the creation of this document, **I had reached out to contact Saku** about his experiences with Niall.







Saku is seen stating that he personally doesn't consider himself a victim because in every instance that Pyro would send him NSFW content or make inappropriate jokes to him, he would personally cut it off, and eventually according to him, Pyrocynical stopped messaging after the 5th instance. He stated that there are **other victims** and people who were **personally affected by Pyrocynical**, however he will not name them to respect their personal boundaries. Saku did however mention a person named **Mewibos**, who we have discussed earlier.





When having contacted Saku in private about their experiences with Niall, they discussed how Pyrocynical used a bot to wipe all his messages with people he was concerned about, or **manually deleted messages which could have incriminated him**.
He then goes on to show alleged discord messages between him and Pyrocynical in a group-chat from **4th February 2017**, when he would be **15**, and Niall would have been **19**.

Pyrocynical is seen in these group-chat messages talking about the description of a YouTube video from 2012 with Saku, with then him completely out of nowhere sending **a link to an image of his entire collection of furry fetish porn images in which he commissioned**, including an entire file of the alleged content.

Pyrocynical's fox sona is seen present in one of the first commissions present in his fetish content files. Adding credibility to the fact that this was indeed the actual Pyrocynical sharing fetish content to a minor on Discord. These sketches are **not public information, nor have many of them been shown until now.**



Many such images present contained **exposed buttocks, tights, sexualised and suggestive body parts** in which are significantly disproportionate to the body, and it is **indubitably fetishistic content in which Niall allegedly commissioned to illicit sexual gratification.** Pyrocynical, despite knowing that this content is inherently sexual and pornographic, sent it to Saku who was a 15 year old regardless.





Saku also goes on to show discord logs between him and allegedly Niall, where **he claims Pyrocynical sent furry inflation porn to him**, in which he then **later deleted**. This can be easily deciphered due to the conversation change, where the account being alleged to be Niall, said “Always asking if I can call him b stuff”, to then Saku stating “You have an unhealthy obsession”. There is only one logical explanation for such a large conversational shift and that would be that Niall clearly posted something to elicit such a reaction from Saku.

The account allegedly being claimed to be Pyrocynical follows up by stating, “I do not”, “You’re probably into traps or smth”, to which Saku states, “get help Pyrocynical”.
This adds significant credibility to Saku’s allegations as in discord logs from 2017, he directly refers to the account as “Pyrocynical”.
Furthermore, Pyrocynical is seen mentioning that the 15 year old would “probably be into traps”, which is yet another sexual fetish and topic in which fits with the numerous other alleged instances of Pyrocynical discussing sexual kink based fetishes with his fans who are significantly younger than he is.





Saku states that Pyrocynical allegedly knew the age of everyone in regards to a group chat he was added to with numerous minors. Saku states that his friends who were added in a group chat with Niall made their age known to him being 16. To which according to Saku, Pyrocynical immediately posted a suggestive Overwatch fetish commission art piece he had in his files. **Not being dissuaded whatsoever by their age.**

A user named Peter who Pyrocynical was mutuals with is seen adding Pyrocynical's account to the group chat, with him and then Arcty posting archived screenshots of the messages in which Pyrocynical sent on that account, showing that he had the discord name "lol", and showing how he had the Kelpy G profile picture he used for his Twitter at the time and also his fox fursona art.



A user named Krisp is seen referring to the deleted account which is allegedly Pyrocynical as “Niall”, being his name. Krisp asks Pyro if they were best friends to which Pyrocynical is seen asking “who are you”. To which Saku is seen laughing, Krisp is seen stating that he is Peter’s brother and with Peter posting another discord screenshot message of Pyrocynical stating “yeah”.

Krisp makes his age and Peter’s ages known on **February 5th 2017** by stating that they were born on 17th August 2000. This effectively means that if they were actually brothers, they would be twins born on the same day. This would also have made them 16 at the time of these message exchanges, as this took place on 5th February 2017. Niall would have been 19.
Peter is then seen posting a screenshot of when the deleted account had sent “who are you”, showing that at the time, it bore the exact same name and discord profile picture as the actual Pyrocynical.
This would also essentially mean that Pyrocynical knew these users were minors **as they made their age known to him** and yet continued nonetheless.



The age gap between Niall and the two brothers would have been **2 years 8 months**. Not inherently predatory, however **it shows a pattern once again of Pyrocynical sending sexualised fetish based content to minors he knew the age of.**

Despite Krisp and Peter's age being known to Pyrocynical regardless, over an hour later he is seen randomly **posting suggestive fetishised Overwatch commission art** he had on his computer to the 2 minors. With Krisp being surprised and stating "wtf".

Pyrocynical is clearly observed in this instance not being dissuaded from posting fetish content to minors, which helps to paint a picture in reference to his other allegations regarding numerous minors.





Saku also **provided a video** of him showing messages from **4th February 2017**, where Pyrocynical is seen **sending another example of an art piece commission he had of a fetishised Overwatch character with large breasts and large buttocks**, which is inherently suggestive and NSFW. Pyrocynical had posted this in a group chat which had both Peter and Saku present.

Despite Peter's brother Krisp stating that their birthdays were on the same day a day later on 5th February 2017, Krisp most likely had done this to verify his authenticity and it is **unknown** when Pyrocynical actually knew Peter's age. Regardless however, after being notified that they were minors, Pyrocynical still proceeded to post fetish content to them and not delete his prior instances of posting NSFW Overwatch fetish content.





In the video Saku provides, it shows him left clicking on the deleted user profile and copying their discord ID, to which they end up pasting on notepad to **display the ID.**


Direct Messages
Search
Deleted User 2/4/2017 7:15 PM
from his first video
HAHAHAHA
jsut the leafy
LOL
RICEGUM
https://gya
gosh bless
Gyazo
Gyazo
I will die a v
peter
Members—2
Saku
balling
New Text Document.txt
File Edit View
108354332730105856
Ln 1, Col 19 18 characters 210% Windows (CRLF) UTF-8
Message d...


User-ID | Any ID Learn More | Discord.ID.Wiki
108354332730105856
Check
Deleted User 49d1e8c5#9982
Bot False
Badges None
ID 108354332730105856
Created 2015-10-27 00:01:26 UTC

Tony. Beta BOT 09/24/2018
Role applied
lol (108354332730105856) got the lol role
Role removed
lol (108354332730105856) lost the dab role
Role removed
lol (108354332730105856) lost the virgin role


Tony. 2 BOT 12/17/2017
Server Name : Pyrocynical
Server ID : 281224583451901952
Server Created : 2017-02-15 00:46:05.595000
Server Region : vip-us-east
Verification : none
Server # Roles : 50
Server Owner : lol#9982
Owner ID : 108354332730105856
Owner Status : dnd
Total Bots : 10
Bots Online : 8
Bots Offline : 2
Total Users : 7006
Users Online : 1913
Users Offline : 5093
Current Channel : #xd
Channel ID : 283060201207103488
Channel Created : 2017-02-20 02:20:10.972000





In the video it shows that the deleted user has the ID, "**108354332730105853**". The fetish material files that Pyrocynical was seen sending his been blurred.

When cross-referencing this with the screenshots provided by Ivory in 2020, you can clearly see the discord ID's of the accounts match. The ID of the account shown in the video provided by Saku, matches directly with the discord account Niall actually owned that operated his own discord server which also had the tag "lol#9982".





When copying and pasting the Discord ID provided by Saku; "**108354332730105853**" onto the Discord ID lookup, you can clearly see the deleted user account was created on 27th October 2015.
Proving that this was the legitimate account that Niall operated.
Discord ID lookup; https://discord.id

Despite there being no message evidence that Pyrocynical knew Saku to be underaged, not only was **Saku friends with minors all collectively younger than Pyro** [in similar age to himself] and engaged in friend circles with them, but when Pyro was seen being told by two of Saku's friends that they were twins and minors, Niall continued regardless. Pyrocynical was at bare minimum, incredibly negligent as to not verify his age and this magic excuse to reduce culpability **can only be used so many times** before a concerning pattern starts to develop. Especially when **Pyrocynical has exhibited a pattern of behaving with minors despite knowing their age regardless such as when he pried a 15 year old girl to create fetish art of his character after knowing her age and continuing nonetheless.**





The same Overwatch fetish image Pyrocynical commissioned in which he showed in his video titled “$3 BuzzFeed Vs. $9999 BuzzFeed” created on **27th December 2016**, was posted over a month later to Saku and Peter who were both minors on **4th February 2017.**
This adds credibility to Saku’s allegations as the same account that posted this image, also shared their computer files compiling numerous instances of Overwatch fetish content that were never publicly shown but were drawn in the same style as the initial Overwatch fetish image presented, adding on to the idea that this was most likely Pyrocynical.

Conclusion

Where the ability is available, Pyrocynical will be sure to take any option that gives him the **benefit of the doubt** even if that means flat out lying, unless he is pushed on his stance with new evidence and public opinion starts breaking against him, to which he will then seen gradually admitting more and more, only to the point where he is still able to have a path to weasel his way out of the situation.
Even if we were to be extremely charitable, Niall cannot continuously use the “I didn’t know the age excuse”, especially when he used it in reference to Ivory and it was more probable than not that he knew Ivory was probably a minor long before Ivory ever sent a link to his Twitter with his

age present in his bio. Yet because there is **no conclusive evidence** of Ivory stating his age verbatim, he took the only route available by **feigning ignorance.** Pyrocynical just didn't expect that someone would comprehensively go over the numerous red flags and instances of Ivory heavily alluding to the fact that he was a minor, which directly starts to break down his Response.

He has unfortunately up to now, been able to consistently play this off as a joke to avoid accountability because his fanbase don't see how immoral this behaviour actually is.

There is an **undeniable pattern of minors** who Pyrocynical has been proven to have been in contact with or mutuals with, coming out over the years accusing him of inappropriate conduct, however people will continue to consistently make excuses and play down the situation instead of processing that clearly some malicious pattern of behaviour is being unraveled here.

Sive Morten







Sive Morten was a very close associate to Pyrocynical and featured in Pyrocynical's Response video to defend Niall and testify against the allegation that Niall was flying out Ivory Rasmus who was 16 years old at the time, to have sex with them at a meet up in the UK. Sive Morten was popular for his editing and went on to edit for Felix [PewDiePie], being typically referred to as 'Brad#2'

Sive Morten was also someone who was extremely close friends with SolidShibe, and was seen consistently messaging him and replying to him on Twitter.

However when looking through old Twitter interactions between Sive Morten and SolidShibe, a lot of **disturbing tweets** were uncovered.

Sive @sivemorten · 01/12/2016
Replying to @ShibeXD
fucking your account, no bb u can only fuck me
3 1 16

Sive @sivemorten · 18/12/2016
Replying to @ShibeXD
thomas if i were gay id fuck you right now no homo
3 1 31

Sive @sivemorten · 01/12/2016
Replying to @ShibeXD
u should of read that gay fan fic i sent ya recently
1 14

Sive @sivemorten · 01/12/2016
Replying to @ShibeXD
love u bby
1 1 9

Sive @sivemorten · 23/11/2016
@ShibeXD I question my sexuality each and everytime I talk to you 😚😍😅
#SolidShibeObsession #GoodDoge #DicksOut
5 2 32

Tweet links;
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/810568651359166464?s=46
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/804342026066137090?s=46
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/804301197540814848?s=46
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/804299919817379840?s=46
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/801282701667942400?s=46

Sive Morten was openly seen making sexual “joke” comments directly to SolidShibe, discussing sexual intercourse and his sexuality and even jokingly addressing him as “bby”. Sive Morten is also seen addressing the fact he sent a “gay fan fiction” to SolidShibe in private messages.

Sive @sivemorten · 26/12/2016



1 12

This Post is from a suspended account. Learn more

Sive @sivemorten Follow

22:52 · 26/12/2016

This Post is from a suspended account. Learn more

Sive Follow
@sivemorten

22:52 · 26/12/2016

1 5 68

CreeperFilms @CreeperFil... · 26/12/2016
dam Thomas getting his ass eat out by the leaf man

CreeperFilms @CreeperFil... · 26/12/2016
dam Thomas getting his ass eat out by the leaf man

Saku @404Sakuu · Jul 30, 2017
Replying to @sivemorten and @shibexd
He's got you there Thomas, your mother does suck big bazoongas

What is especially concerning was that Sive Morten was seen reposting images of SolidShibe's face, who was a minor at the time, and **editing his face on an extremely suggestive image of a naked woman showing her buttocks** with LeafyIsHere resting his head on them. A user is seen referring to SolidShibe by his name; Thomas, and making a sexual joke in comment to the image. Mutuals are seen referring to SolidShibe as Thomas.

Aro ✯ CEO OF MISSILIA AMORI Follow
@HATEF3CK

oh @sivemorten @ShibeXD

im gay for doge gaming

if there was one guy to fuck it would be doge gaming

sivemorten · 20:47

20:49 · 27/10/2016

Deleted tweet from SolidShibe

1 3

This Post is from a suspended account. Learn more

Aro ✯ CEO OF MISSILIA A... · 27/10/2016
sivemorten confession his love to you 😩🔥

1

Show replies

Sive @sivemorten · 27/10/2016
Replying to @ShibeXD
officially edating doge gaming x

3 2 14

Trippy @Trippy_Fox · Jul 28, 2016
doge gaming x.com/SolidShibe/sta...

This Post is from a suspended account. Learn more

4

makenna @calvinify · Jul 30, 2016
Replying to @SolidShibe
"doge gaming" practice what ya preach, sista!

1

Sive was also seen making jokes **about wanting to have sex with SolidShibe** while he was **15**. *'Doge Gaming' was another alias that SolidShibe had used.*

There were consistent jokes made between Sive and SolidShibe of them being in "love".

Links to tweets;
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/791613703938539520?s=46
https://x.com/sivemorten/status/783665290668302336?s=46

Link to tweets;
https://x.com/404sakuu/status/819212730141843456?s=46
https://x.com/404sakuu/status/848773115047952386?s=46
https://x.com/404sakuu/status/824798843019489281?s=46

It was public knowledge with people that were close to SolidShibe [Thomas] such as Saku, that he was a **minor** and was **16** in early 2017.

Links to the tweets;
https://x.com/404sakuu/status/877779134742581248?s=46

Saku was evidently a very close friend of SolidShibe and had direct contact with him on Skype as clearly seen by his still public tweets. So his comment about Thomas' age is credible.





Tweet links;
https://x.com/daisytatsuki/status/774776399592816640?s=46
https://x.com/rooting_around/status/898990167976890368?s=46
https://x.com/yamenaya/status/897386697993986048?s=46
https://x.com/rabbittherabid/status/854051446228217856?s=46



https://x.com/trippy_fox/status/747939730411642882?s=46

It is extremely important to highlight that TrippyFox is seen directly stating and referencing that SolidShibe was **15** on **June 29th 2016** in reply to a Twitter thread with Sive Morten. The idea that somehow Sive Morten did not see, get a notification, or engage with this tweet and somehow did not know SolidShibe's actual age despite how close they were is extremely improbable.



https://x.com/trippy_fox/status/734814365585657857?s=46

Sive was seen casually replying to TrippyFox throughout 2016. With an archived screenshot provided by TrippyFox showing that Sive was consistently mentioning him on Twitter and engaging with his account such as retweeting his tweets and liking his tweets.

Trippy was also seen stating for Sive to “unfollow him”, jokingly. Meaning that **they were mutuals in 2016**, making it significantly more improbable that;

1. Sive didn’t know the age of SolidShibe under any circumstances.
2. Sive didn’t see the tweet of Trippy clearly establishing how old SolidShibe was.

Sive and SolidShibe were also consistently engaging with each other on Skype at the time and calling one another, it would be once again, relatively easy for him to decipher that Thomas would have been underaged, whether it was his voice or his appearance.



Ivory was also seen stating that SolidShibe was the same age as him at the time being **15** in **2016**. SolidShibe was also very open about his date of birth being 11th July.
This would effectively mean that SolidShibe's date of birth would be **11th July 2000.**



Sive is known to be born on **January 9th 1995.**

This effectively means the age gap between Sive and SolidShibe was **5 years 6 months.**
Sive was making **sexually charged "jokes"** towards someone who was openly known in the community to be a minor, while he himself was a grown adult.



TrippyFox was seen stating that Thomas [SolidShibe] was 15 in June 2016, this effectively meant that preceding July 2016, Thomas would have been 16.

This is also corroborated by Saku stating that Thomas was 16 in January 2017.

Pyrocynical projects his fetishes to his audience

Another criticism levied against Pyrocynical would be his constant examples of exposing his fetishes to his audience and viewers, many who consist of **minors**.
Ever since Pyrocynical came out publicly to disclose his fetishes in his Response video, he has consistently made references to his fat furry inflation fetish on his streams and videos,

essentially trivialising the situation and integrating it to his content and audience so he is able to play it off as a joke and reoccurring meme. The larger goal in all of this is to play off his predatory behaviour in the past where he discussed his fetishistic desires with minors, he attempts to **dilute** the situation and make his past behaviours seem more mundane, **conditioning** his viewers into believing it is **less malicious and disgusting** than it actually was.
This has effectively been done so no one can actually take the situation seriously without people and Pyrocynical sympathisers, attempting to belittle the nature of his actions without attempting to make light of the situation. The best way for Niall to have overcome the lasting effects of his Response video, was to take it to heart and show full transparency regarding it.





<<
Pyrocynical is seen showing a commissioned 3D model of his furry inflation fox sona originally created by a fat furry fetishist named IkkyPanda.

He originally presented this sculpture on a video of his detailing the predatory actions of Onision to the bewilderment of his own fanbase and viewers who were uneasy about Niall openly showcasing his fetishes publicly on his channel.



Conveniently too, Pyrocynical has set up numerous instances where he has “accidentally” showed Furry fetish content on his streams and leaked it to his audience. It is abundantly clear to anyone, including his own viewers; that he knew exactly what he was doing, it was premeditated and was completely staged. The purpose was to clip farm and generate more attention to his streams by lowering and humiliating himself to the point of having to consistently remind his viewers that he had a fat furry fetish, and showing such examples on stream with thousands of viewers to attempt to imprint it into his community.

FIND A GAME
LOADOUT
STATS
You have pending alerts! Go to the Main Menu to view them.
PYRO
A B C D
THROWER
SHOTGUN
FIRE AXE
PYROMANCER'S MASK
COSMETIC
ACTION
<< BACK

FIND A GAME
LOADOUT
STATS
You have pending alerts! Go to the Main Menu to view them.
PYRO
A B C D
LONG BELCH
FLARE GUN
THE POWERJACK
COSMETIC
ACTION
<< BACK


When you don't back down like the government expects you to...
WhistlinDiesel
Subscribe


After upload tasks
Destinations
Application settings...
Task settings...
Hotkey settings...
Screenshots folder...
History...
Image history...
Debug
Donate...
Twitter...
Discord...
Discord_lyddhDJWBJ.png
Discord_qxZd4YtdU6.png
Discord_H41mY6z3FF.png
chrome_rTml7JE78i.png


wawet
the imposing presence..
mothskiin has donated $10!
hey my favorite pretty boy fem twink pyro, whats your favorite fat furry? asking so i know what to draw and send to your PO box. i expect it to be framed and hung on the wall
(kinx)
SECRET
MUFFLED_F1LTH: NO
Leon Talks a Lot: W dono bro
StreamElements: mothskiin just tipped $10.00!
EmeraldCosmos: no
Call_it_funny: milks gonna get cold :(
Saturn: STAND UP
The Official Johnny Sins Gaming Youtube Channel:
KEEP EM COMING LADS

It's a redundant and **repetitive** act that only serves to garner attention whilst also serving as a buffer for him to continually nullify his past behaviour by **neutralising the severity of posting fetish based content to minors while he was an adult.**
If he is able to spin something degenerative and suggestive, as something that ultimately his fanbase are able to mock instead and not take as seriously, then he has pitted himself in a position where future callouts in case of more potential victims calling him out, will not be taken as seriously because Niall has now successfully **conditioned** larger swathes of his audience into believing that it is not a large problem and instead a joke because of how outlandish it is. It's a **calibrated** act, that also serves to his benefit as he is able to generate more attention from clipfarming these "incidents", because he is unable to revert his image back to when his fetishes were not public knowledge, so Niall does his best to **capitalise** off of it instead.
He will never be able to properly normalise the situation, so instead he will openly merge it with his brand at occasions and ingrain it into his fanbases' culture and humour.

Pyrocynical was seen "accidentally", showing his Discord messages with another user on Discord, showing his messages spanning through numerous weeks to over a month, where he is seen commissioning a furry fat fetish suggestive model of his character. This was then shown on stream.





Pyrocynical was also seen in other instances on stream, having shown this time, **explicit NSFW furry fetish commissions**, involving disproportionate body parts and extensive attention drawn to the buttocks region. The art Pyrocynical showed can be assumed to have been other commissions involving his fox character sona, and he is explicitly seen telling the artist to make his character naked.

Reddit post source [NSFW warning];
https://www.reddit.com/r/pyrocynical/comments/1geahef/damn_again



Members of Pyrocynical's subreddit even had reached a point where they were very evidently uncomfortable with the content being shown, and it was abundantly obvious that he was doing these "accidental leaks" on purpose to gather attention for himself.

Pyrocynical's association with Shadman

Shadman is a notorious **pedophile artist** on the Internet who was extremely popular and infamous in Internet culture for having created **loli** content depicting underaged characters and sexualised art based off of **actual underaged children** at the time, such as Dafnee Keen, Keemstar's daughter, LtCorbis and other minors.
Despite Shadman's controversial and degenerative acts, Pyrocynical was seen **openly interacting with his account** on Twitter and *allegedly* following his account.

Pyrocynical and Shadman were seen interacting with each other on 16th July 2016.
https://web.archive.org/web/20160717193136/https://twitter.com/shadbase/with_replies

https://x.com/turtlesweaters/status/754262908712865792?s=46

On June 30th 2017, Pyrocynical returned officially to Twitter under the alias **PyrocynicalVEVO**. Under this alias he was seen interacting with Shadman regularly.

An archived image provided by a member of his subreddit in a post clearly showed Pyrocynical on **August 5th 2017**, replying to a post created by Shadman.
https://www.reddit.com/r/pyrocynical/s/OQ1Pd48dLg

Archived tweets made by different users on the same day show that Pyrocynical's alternate Twitter account "@PyrocynicalTV", as well as his main Twitter account were seen in reply threads with Shadman's Twitter account "@Shadbase", proving how they interacted with one another on **July 14th 2018.**
One user is seen specifically joking that "Pyro watches loli porn confirmed", as it was **public knowledge that Shadman created loli content.**
https://x.com/nerteram/status/1018203592552050688?s=46
https://x.com/bleachboystv/status/1018185545543905280?s=46
https://x.com/atariariari/status/1018175550660251649?s=46

1, 2, vic @1_2_Oatmeal · 14/07/2018
Replying to @Shadbase @PyrocynicalTV and @pyrocynical
now this is epic
1 · 21

chilling @iamonlychilling · 14/07/2018
Replying to @PyrocynicalTV @Shadbase and @Pyrocynical
hahaha pyro that's good lol

Pixie of Misery is here @PixieI... · 14/07/2018
Replying to @PyrocynicalTV @Shadbase and @pyrocynical
what the fuck where are my fortnite videos

Peppermintguy @serovs3 · 14/07/2018
Replying to @PyrocynicalTV @Shadbase and @pyrocynical
It'll get suspended 5 minutes after that, mate.

HSK @YaBoiHSK · 14/07/2018
Replying to @PyrocynicalTV @Shadbase and @pyrocynical
Not even out lord Shäd can do that

Kotsuzui @Kotsucainine · 14/07/2018
Replying to @PyrocynicalTV @Shadbase and @pyrocynical
That's long dead
6

More Twitter replies are presented to show that Pyrocynical was very evidently seen having engaged with Shadman on Twitter, which prompted many of his fans to comment in reply. The Tweets of both Shadman and Pyrocynical have been deleted and have **not been archived**, it is evident however that it took place as the tags of the accounts have **remained**.





Video proof provided showing that Pyrocynical and Shadman's account tags were present in the archived Twitter thread, proving that they did contact each other and engage in **2018**.
This is concerning because this was **2 years following the fact that Shadman openly drew CSAM material involving real children**. This was public knowledge as Pyrocynical's close associate, ColossalIsCrazy, was seen confronting Shadman on his livestream about his creation of sexualised art of Keemstar's underaged daughter in **November 2016.**



Now despite it being **public knowledge** that Shadman created this art of *actual prepubescent children* as well as *fictional children [loli content]*, Pyrocynical is still seen **openly engaging** and **associating** with him on Twitter **years later** as deciphered by archived Twitter replies, up until **July 2018.** This has selectively been **forgotten** and brushed under the rug however.





Despite Shadman allegedly making sexual comments in reference to Dafnee Keen who featured in the movie Logan, who was only **12** years old, making **horrific CSAM art** of her, distributing such content on his website, and also making sexually suggestive art of LtCorbis who was **11** years old, with this behaviour spanning **through late 2016 to June 2017**, Pyrocynical in **July 2018**, can still be seen engaging with Shadman's account publicly on twitter.



A user on **3rd January 2019**, is seen expressing his discomfort in the fact that **Pyrocynical and other animators *allegedly* followed Shadman on Twitter.**

Link to tweet.

This tweet was made **2.5 years after** it was already public knowledge that Shadman created CSAM art based off of actual minors.

Another user is seen *attesting* in October 2017, that Shadman was **directly responding** to Pyrocynical in Twitter replies.

Shadman was also seen openly responding to Tweets made by DolanDark in 2020, in an archived screenshot provided. It shows the **institutionalised humour which was prevalent in their community**, Shadman was often paraded and supported by Pyrocynical fans for behaving sexually degenerate and posting such content, in some examples, of Niall himself. In this specific example, Shadman is seen stating “and?”, in reference to Reddit users jokingly sexualising Niall, by genderbending him.



Shadman is seen establishing himself, that he created sexualised and suggestive content of Pyrocynical.
In response to Shadman’s initial Tweet to DolanDark in reference to people being increasingly horny towards genderbent media of Niall, a user was seen posting a screenshot from a video Pyrocynical made where he is seen referencing their Tweet directly telling Shadman to create “trap [genderbent] fanart”, for Pyrocynical many years ago.
https://x.com/unukomunizma73/status/1272576956060631042?s=46



This shows how intertwined Shadman's fanbase and Pyrocynical's fanbase were, their cultures were intermingled and Pyrocynical felt perfectly comfortable at the time showing tweets of users telling Shadman and encouraging him to make 'trap fanart' of Pyrocynical. Whether it was humour purposes or not, the effect remains the same, despite Shadman being an open pedophile, Pyrocynical did not care enough as to disassociate from Shadman at the time, and still included jokes and references to him in videos regardless.

After the fallout of the Ava Chris Tyson Situation, and her ties to Shadman, many people started calling out other influencers for their associations or interactions with the artist. One such figure was Dolan Dark.







Dolan was seen responding to people questioning his “friendship” with Shadman, by denying that he ever supported him and denying that he was ever friends with Shadman. He follows up by stating that “his **only** interaction… was replying to **some** of his meme tweets 5+ years ago”. He affirms that he barely interacted with Shadman, and that he would never defend or praise him. DolanDark attempts to use the excuse that it was 5+ years ago to dilute the situation and attempt to feign the excuse that it was in the past, to therefore minimise criticism. This would have possibly worked, except for the fact that Shadman was publicly known to be a loli artist and to have created CSAM artwork **as early as Late 2016 / Early 2017**, during the Keemstar Daughter Situation and the Logan Movie Situation. DolanDark attempting to excuse the situation as being 5+ years ago does not detract from the fact that he **engaged** with an **open pedophile** *years after his controversies* were openly known.
Despite the belittling of his interactions by stating it was “his **only** interaction” before then contradicting himself to then say he engaged with “**some** of his tweets”, he still can be seen via archived Twitter replies, having engaged with Shadman throughout 2017 and 2018.
I can only assume that Pyrocynical would have attempted to utilise the exact same defenses Dolan has used if his interactions were brought into question too.

Dolan takes no attempt at actually taking accountability for playing into any conversations or dialogue with Shadman, only attempting to play the situation off as inherently false by stating for users to “not believe everything they see online”. No acknowledgement that he had a lapse in judgement or that he made a mistake, only that he engaged in “meme tweets” with him and nothing else.

For Pyrocynical’s case and DolanDark’s it is hard to argue in their favour, because despite tweets having been deleted over the course of time, a timeline is still cohesively shown that they engaged with Shadman years **preceding** the fact that **he created sexualised art of Keemstar’s underaged child**. Pyrocynical nor DolanDark can feign the plausible deniability excuse because their **close associate** *ColossalIsCrazy* was seen directly confronting Shadman about the situation.



Tweet Keemstar made where he is seen directly stating in 2024 that Shadman created sexualised art of his daughter who was 8.

The Case of Seylel

Seylel was an **alleged pedophile and child rapist** who Pyrocynical was very close with over the course of numerous years.

Seylel was born on August 21st 1997 and created his YouTube channel on July 20th 2015. Pyrocynical was seen openly shouting out and promoting Seylel's channel in his Dame Tu Cosita video, describing him as his friend. [June 11th 2018]

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jhuSF2WcpqE **8:22 - 8:29**

Pyrocynical; **'I got my mate Seylel to actually reenact one of these videos of mailing himself to Dame tu Cosita.**'



Pyrocynical was seen having subscribed to Seylel and featured him inside his video for an extended period of time, which was unusual considering how small Seylel's channel was at the time.

Pyrocynical was also seen featuring in a video with Seylel [Luke], in **December 2016**, along with other content creators such as DolanDark [Jay], Jameski [James] & Fitz [Cameron].

Partial archive of the deleted video created by Jameski was included in Toastify's video.



A former moderator of Seylel's discord server [Bleachee] is seen **stating that Pyrocynical and Seylel were IRL friends and were friends since secondary school**, which would explain Pyrocynical's associations with Seylel in Dec 2016, and why he shouted out his channel when he was extremely small in June 2018.



Bleachee was also seen stating that he **'would not be surprised**' if Pyrocynical knew Seylel was dating a girl named Tiny, and if Pyrocynical knew Tiny was underaged.



Toastify was seen interviewing Tiny, the underaged girl who Seylel had originally dated. As seen in these messages, Tiny stated that she became aware of who Seylel was from Pyrocynical, and when she had followed Seylel's Twitter account, he soon followed back. She decided to draw fanart for him, in which resulted in Seylel private messaging her and inviting her to his discord server where he modded her.







Tiny establishes how she and Seylel bonded over similar interests regarding ghibli, LoL, kirby, SSBM and more. This was corroborated with tweets Seylel was seen making in regards to Super Smash Bros: Melee.

Tiny was also seen sharing a screenshot from snapchat, showing her playing LoL [League of Legends], with Seylel, with his username being present.

Tiny affirms that she was not interested in going further with him, as he was already in a committed relationship, stating that other mods for Seylel present in his discord server jokingly tried play-fighting for her affection to which Seylel engaged in, with Tiny shutting down his advances to which he brushed off.

Tiny then came to the realisation that he was not joking, he was entirely being serious in his attempts to flirt with her.

Tiny was seen giving more examples of channel art and spites she made directly for Seylel.



Tiny is seen attesting that Seylel then used this opportunity of their dynamic where she created art for him, to then start venting about his current girlfriend. Tiny attests that she gave the best advice she could prompting Seylel to break up with her very shortly after.
Tiny states shortly after this, they immediately start dating with her confessing her feelings first, with Seylel being aware of the age gap between them present but then stating he ‘doesn’t care’, and engaging with her regardless.

Seylel was seen having bought a necklace for Tiny commemorating the start of their relationship which took place on 26th November 2016. Tiny provides an image of the gift that she received.



Tiny was seen testifying to Toastify that Seylel would shower her gifts that made her feel very special. However eventually he started having outbursts and she did her best to fix the situation, with Seylel affirming that it would eventually get normal again, with it never happening.

Tiny attests that Seylel would fatshame her and tell her to work out, to which she did to personally fit his standards, to which she claimed he wanted her to appear ‘petite and loli-like’, which matches verbatim with his sexual fantasies that he was open about.

Tiny states that Seylel made her push away all her male friends as he was jealous and restrictive on who she could talk to, and then eventually pushed her to cut everyone off accusing her of not dedicating more time to him.
As a result of this, she claimed that she reached the state of loneliness and only had Seylel to speak to, being fully dependent on him resulting in her spending time with him a lot more.
This then ended with Seylel claiming that she was far too clingy and gaslighting her when he was the reason for her cutting off everyone to begin with.



Seylel went on to meet with ‘Tiny’ in Estonia, groom her and sexually abuse her. Pyrocynical was seen on livestream associating and openly playing with Seylel in 2020. They were close friends. Mods close to Seylel can attest that Pyrocynical knew about the situation, Niall has no way of attempting to utilise the plausible deniability excuse.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xPn-IHrTaGM
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TL91YnLcIc4

Debunking Pyrocynical Fan’s arguments

By analysing the responses when it comes to people defending Pyrocynical from his allegations, it can be summed up into a few categories due to how predictable they are.
It is **critical** to highlight the mannerisms and the defenses used by Pyrocynical’s fans to discredit victims and genuine evidence, it is extremely important to shoot these defenses down to mitigate the spread of misinformation and to prevent people from being gaslight and manipulated into the idea that Niall is innocent, despite his long documented pattern of predatory behaviour which has gone greatly overlooked.

‘It was in the past’ excuse







Many Pyrocynical defenders attempt to use the “Japanese Soldier Who Kept Fighting 29 Years After World War II” meme in order to invalidate any statement that directly calls out Niall for his predatory behaviour. The people who post these memes not only attempt to trivialise a serious situation with actual victims who have been taken advantage of, but they also serve to mock very serious allegations instead of taking them seriously whatsoever. They post these memes in order to attempt to discredit the accuser by claiming that what Niall did was years ago and the drama was years ago and was “debunked”, without actually arguing their points or putting forward a counter to any legitimate evidence displayed. It is an incredibly weak and lazy retort that only serves to make his fanbase collectively look worse if they try and use the “it happened years ago” excuse as that same excuse did not fare well for Ava Kris Tyson or Dr Disrespect in regards to their behaviour in 2018 and 2016.



Time does not invalidate the fact that there are legitimate victims in this situation and that Pyrocynical has seemingly been able to sweep this under the rug without addressing it head on for years.
Pyrocynical objectively committed numerous crimes by sending obscene pornographic content to Ivory while knowing he was 16, and it is still prosecutable if charges are filed within 12 years of the situation transpiring.
It is also worth pointing out his entire pattern of lying / manipulation and behaving inappropriately with minors as most of his own viewers have no idea how deep his degeneracy actually goes.

13/08/25 - After the release of this document, Pyrocynical sympathisers struggle to actually invalidate any evidence presented, instead some don't even deny the validity of

the claims, only that the situation is ‘old news’, completely sidestepping the fact that this document clarifies both old allegations with new evidence, and new allegations entirely.

“It is out of context”



This response is often used by Pyrocynical fans when a clip of Niall is shown with him admitting to have continued to engage sexually with Ivory after knowing him to be 16, while Pyro would have been 20.
Pyrocynical fans attempt to use the “out of context”, retort as if they could invalidate what Pyrocynical said himself.

Pyrocynical acknowledging that he knew that Ivory was 16, yet continued to ERP with him.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1C0SckVqH2g **9:22 - 9:48**
Transcript: ‘In retrospect, I never should have continued the furry stuff with him **once I learned of his age**, even though **at the time I didn't see it as a problem**, legally the age of consent is 16 in both my country and his state. **I didn't consider the age gap significant enough** because what we were talking about and doing was so far removed from actual sex’

Niall’s response by attempting to use age of consent laws of Pennsylvania and the UK, **does not justify him having continued to send porn to a minor which is illegal regardless**. And the US federal age of consent is 18, not 16. Not only is there no excuse for consistently sending porn to a minor and requesting it, to which Niall doesn’t even address in any regard and instead downplays as just “**furry stuff**”, but a 4 year age gap between him and Ivory is inherently predatory, but there is no “context” provided in the video that can alleviate Niall of the fact that he committed a crime and that he actively sexually engaged with a minor 4 years younger than himself to which he knew the age of, from when they were 16, to when they were 17.
Pyrocynical didn’t actually clear his name of anything, he just attempted to use the plausible deniability excuse and claim he didn’t actually know Ivory’s age of 15 when they originally started sexually messaging.
The idea that there is “important context” which needs to be added is essentially just a blanket statement with no weight behind it, given when no logical rebuttal can be derived, so they use the “it’s out of context” excuse as a last ditch effort to try and invalidate and deflate justified criticisms against Niall.

Pyrocynical stating that the conversations were “so far removed from actual sex”, is an example of him using **wordplay manipulation** to play down the severity of the situation his messages with Ivory, he still inappropriately communicated with a minor he knew the age of, he discussed his fetishes, their sex life, exchanged furry pornography with one another and erotically roleplayed. Many influencers have been called out and ostracised for this behaviour beforehand, Pyrocynical has somehow played down his actions and was allowed to get off the hook because no one has directly challenged his video and interpretation of events.

‘You want clout’ retort

Another claim many Pyrocynical fans attempt to use to smear people covering the situation is that they only incentivise clout and are only doing this because they are desperate for attention. This is one of their main methods in attempting to tarnish the credibility of the accuser before they even present the evidence. This behaviour was even seen with the victims; where

Pyrocynical fans would harass and attack the victims and belittle their stories and their struggles by claiming that they were only calling out Pyrocynical to get attention.







Deflection and Ad hominems

Ad Hominem

(Attacking the person): This fallacy occurs when, instead of addressing someone's argument or position, you irrelevantly attack the person or some aspect of the person who is making the argument.

Another common technique is when Pyrocynical fans deflect the topic away from the original accusations and then seek to **bring up completely irrelevant or disjointed topics to drastically discredit the accuser**, rather than bringing anything of value to the table to debunk the valid claims they make. They will also drastically try to pin and label the other person as a "clout chaser", "desperate for attention", or even do their best to present the accuser as a predator themselves, attempting to allude to the fact that they are projecting. They attempt to deflect the situation by slandering the original creator of the document, claiming; Parlo is a pedophile and even groomer, referencing the Dupe situation which has been **covered**

extensively, where Dupe had consistently lied about his age and **catfished Parlo** and admitted to not only **manipulating him**, but confirming that Parlo **never groomed them**, **never pressured them** and **never solicited them or coerced them**. Many also bring up Parlo's history with Nathorix / Nattie Forsyth / Scrimzox, omitting the fact she lied, gaslighted him and manipulated him, while also grooming him through the ages of 16 - 17 when **she was 4 years 10 months older** than him. [*large video will be made regarding the situation*]







This behaviour is not just seen with users attempting to discredit Parlo, but it is also seen with any other YouTuber who covers the allegations pertaining to Pyrocynical. It is a common, methodical pattern of behaviour, **they hinge their bets on the fact that if they can tarnish the credibility and slander the person making the accusations, then people will be disinterested in seeing the evidence that they provided and have gathered.**


@finalsunsupernova 2 hours ago
TDLR this doc is filled with stuff that was already debunked and stuff we know of from years ago
also i find it absolutely hilarious how parlo calls out pyros double standards but has worse allegations then pyro this doc is not worth hyping up
5
Reply

DeafMetalHorse • 8h ago
pyro fucking cover DARK already
Parlo fucking go away
10
Reply
Award
Share
iamnotafbiagnt • 7h ago
He's such a parasite
8
Reply
Award
Share
DeafMetalHorse • 7h ago
pyro fucking cover DARK already
10 bucks he's trying to do this so people forget his own allegations.
4
Reply
Award
Share


ItsRobloxHere • 3h ago
We literally dont care, parlo just trying to shift the blame on someone else because parlo is Jared Fogle
1
Reply
Award
Share

Red Angry Bird @redstar1234245 · 19h
12 year old me thought his ass was innocent turns out Turkey Tom was right all along
2
548
GMDCarti
@gmdcarti
Turkey Tom supports rape and genocide
1:09 AM · Aug 13, 2025 · 86 Views
1


ExactCap3059 • 5h ago
Isn't parlo a creep?
0
Reply
Share
Easy-Rock5522 • 4h ago
doesn't change anything about the doc itself at all
1
Reply
Share
FunLingonberry266 • 3h ago
Yes it does,
0
Reply
Share

Recent attempts of ad hominem character attacks show Pyrocynical fans attempting to tarnish both Turkey Tom and Toastify's reputation, more specifically Toastify who covered the recent allegations on stream.



People have shown the inability to digest and consider the evidence presented, instead deflecting based on who made the document. They attempt to make no clear distinction between the points made and the author of the document and are seen spreading misinformation claiming the document covers information which has already been known, sidestepping the new victims, discord messages and testimonies.







Pyrocynical fans were seen mass downvoting any post that highlighted the fact that Pyrocynical disseminating pornographic content to Ivory [16 at the time] was illegal in his state of Pennsylvania regardless of age of consent laws.
Instead, Pyrocynical fans were seen deflecting the situation and outright accusing any post critical of Niall as being made by ‘Parlo’s alt’, this is similar behaviour they did to Keemstar, Turkey Tom and Toastify, to discredit and degrade the opposing sides stance.
Most importantly, a user is seen completely sidestepping a valid point made in terms of legality by accusing the user of ‘ignoring Parlo’s allegations’, and overall deflecting the fundamental topic of conversation. Not only are Parlo’s allegations a completely irrelevant factor in this situation, but **t**[**hese users were seen slandering and openly defaming Parlo as a predator in late April 2025, over the Dupe situation**]. They have already proven themselves to be unreliable narrators and openly malicious.

‘It’s fake’ Instant Dismissal









Pyrocynical fans have a tendency to completely fabricate misinformation and spread it unprompted without verifying any details whatsoever. This is best seen when the lie started to be claimed that this document has been ‘invalidated’ and ‘proven false’, with 0 evidence or source provided whatsoever.

There is a pattern of Pyrocynical fans oftentimes deluding themselves into believing Pyrocynical will always be innocent, **this is because they want to believe it**, so to achieve that end, they will dismiss any victim, any testimony and all evidence.



A user was seen highlighting that Pyrocynical dated a 14 year old girl named Louanne and in his own words, would be ‘willing to wait for her to hit 16’, as he stated himself; ‘he sees nothing wrong in waiting for someone to reach the age of consent’. Members of the r/YouTubeDrama subreddit were instead seen deflecting jokingly coining the user as Turkey Tom while also stating that the person is bringing up ‘debunked’ claims. This person had their posts mass downvoted and they were instantly discredited, because **users on r/YouTubeDrama are refusing to research the situation and read the document.**



More proof of members of the r/YouTubeDrama subreddit openly mass downvoting anyone who highlights the information covered in the document.

Age gap defending

Many people also attempt to defend and legitimise the situation by rationalising the age gap dynamics. If this were any other YouTuber, they would be ostracised for predatory behaviour when taking into consideration both the power dynamic present and age gap dynamic. In Pyrocynical’s case however, many people have attempted to minimise the age gap, or use age

of consent laws to justify the situation, using intense mental gymnastics to excuse the behaviour.











Victim Blaming



Doxxing



By directly proving the contents of the document correct, Pyrocynical fans have attempted to dox the original creator of the document; Parlo. They are seen leaking his **full legal name** and university to intimidate and spite him. It shows that Pyrocynical's defenders will opt for any method available to harass, attack and intimidate any user who covers Niall's actions.

Spreading deliberately false claims



It is important to get ahead of these claims and stamp them out before they take a form of their own. This claim made is completely untrue and categorically false. They cite no evidence nor source to which screenshots were 'doctored', nor do they even highlight the victims who had 'lied'. This is an example of an **unsubstantiated claim.**

Lack of critical reading skills

Members of the r/youtubedrama community have openly made it known that they will not be mature nor level-headed when it comes to digesting the information presented in this document, instead they remain solely reliant on members of Pyrocynical's Subreddit to condense the contents inside the document and determine whether it is important information or not. This is extremely biased and an extremely pathetic attempt at trying to discredit the new and now better formatted evidence provided in this document.





Any comment seen challenging the main narrative presented is ignored, discredited immediately and mass downvoted without anyone actually replying. This serves to prove that both Pyrocynical's subreddit and the r/YouTubeDrama subreddit are both echochambers containing people who have deluded and gaslight themselves into believing Pyrocynical's innocence, while refusing to digest nor address any points presented in this document. They claim that the evidence has 'already been addressed', **sourcing no evidence** to prove this statement, yet people believe it regardless.

In Pyrocynical's subreddit, whenever someone provides a logical statement about the situation and even suggests that Pyrocynical's behaviour was extremely concerning and weird, their comments are mass downvoted and shunned without explanation, further corroborating the idea that both Pyrocynical's subreddit and the YouTube Drama subreddit are echo chambers that only benefit the narrative that Pyrocynical is innocent, by suppressing any critical stance.







If this situation continues to get picked up, and is spread to more people, observe these common behavioural traits adopted by those who will attempt to defend Pyrocynical, many of the defenses will fall into these categories and highlight the flaws in their excuses to prevent public consensus once again being manipulated by lies and Pyrocynical getting away with his behaviour once again.

Pyrocynical's Moderator Responds

In response to the document coming out a high ranking moderator of Pyrocynical's current discord server named Abyss was seen not only playing down the severity of the allegations, but was also seen brushing off the claims by stating that they simply; '**do not care**'. Showing not only their callous disrespect to minors who were allegedly victimised by Niall, but their blatant disregard and lack of willingness to maturely handle and speak about the situation, highlighting their lack of maturity.









https://x.com/abysswalker4984/status/1955382608193523728

https://x.com/abysswalker4984/status/1955653832362619059

Regarding Ivory Rasmus

As stated by Ivory, he did not participate in the editing of this document. Do not harass him. He has been through enough.



To immediately discredit this point, **not once did Ivory ever publicly state that he did not consent for this information to be covered**. He simply stated that he did not participate in the creation of the document. The claim that it was made 'without his consent', is **false**. Notice how this user also attempts to use quotations when referencing Ivory as a victim to dismiss his story but also to minimise the context surrounding the situation. This is specifically used as predator apologia and to undermine Ivory and other victim's credibility.



Someone questioning why the original poster referenced Ivory was a 'victim' with quotations, pointing this out in the r/YouTubeDrama subreddit, known for biased opinions and blatant misinformation and was subsequently mass downvoted despite them saying objectively nothing wrong.
Another user is seen attempting to justify the action by claiming that Ivory's proof was 'poor' and 'Pyrocynical disproved them', two statements that are categorically false and that have been debunked in this document. This proves that not only do members of the subreddit do no research, but they have gaslighted themselves into believing Pyrocynical was innocent.



A very close associate and trusted friend of Ivory Rasmus, @crossmutt was seen expressing their disgust in Niall's actions and has been over the course of many months. They were seen recently **forwarding the document**. Had this document made Ivory uncomfortable [*or any other victims in that regard*], or contained any untrue statements, they would have highlighted this and can always contact me.

Pyrocynical Responded

14/08/25 - Pyrocynical addressed the document on his livestream.
https://x.com/Saammuel/status/1955826252817825893
Pyrocynical's responds to the new document made on him



Pyrocynical was seen addressing the situation on livestream to his audience **focusing on minimal claims** that **aren't relevant to the allegations at all**. Highlighting how the document was tweeted on a burner account, and that 2 tweets in reply were hidden. Both extremely redundant points to highlight, however Niall finds it important to disclose regardless to undermine the credibility of the document by sidestepping all the points highlighted inside of it and remaining vague.

Pyrocynical highlights how he is pitted in a position where he will have to await other content creators' opinion on the situation before he makes a public response video on his main channel,

because he is **hyperfocused on public consensus and how to manipulate / deal with shifting public opinion**.
Pyrocynical is hedging his bets on potential Commentary YouTubers covering the situation, to botch and misinterpret the allegations and spread misinformation, which gives him **wiggle room to invalidate the entire situation based on addressing strawman points**, he did this with Keemstar when he got Louanne's age incorrect as well as Turkey Tom being speculative in his first document and the Red Kiwiz comparison.
Niall will attempt to discredit the allegations by lunging at the **most weak points** to manipulate his viewers into believing all the claims are debunked.

Important Information

Drive folder of all the information:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1oeO1UKyaQ6pxSxZ8qykz_klKSY3-naA9?usp=sharing

Timeline of statements released by Ivory and Pyro since the initial 2020 allegations:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/10ZU6qkG6RvY-AvZr_suCgOffiQJ0e7_D6u8zxBIIpB0/edit?usp=sh

Archived video of Thafnine on discord proving that Pyrocynical messaged him for a discord message remover script;
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nZ3X6Er4emo

WifiSkeleton [Cyrus] alleged to have been groomed by Pyrocynical and that Niall knew Ivory's age before he overdosed;
Wifiskeleton claims to be groomed by Pyrocynical : r/pyrocynical

Pyrocynical Was NEVER Innocent (New Receipts);
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4swaxTZdL4M

Toastify's Stream Condensing and Covering the document;
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SJlmTUOamgI

More will be added to this document as the situation progresses and more information is provided.
Updates will be consistently provided. In case of the document being taken down, copies will be created and will be archived on catbox.

- 12th August 2025

More information is currently being collected in regards to **Ancient Reality** and **Seylel**, two **predators** who were closely associated with Pyrocynical.
As well as **Ida victim blaming Ivory**, there will be in-depth analysis about those message exchanges.
In depth analysis of **Pyrocynical's recent response** will also be added.
More instances of Pyrocynical fans using **redundant and illogical defenses** will continue to be added.

Archive the document to prevent Pyrocynical fans attempting to **wipe the truth.**

Archives to this document will be <u>consistently reposted.</u>

<u>Every instance of Pyrocynical fans attempting to silence and wipe the truth will be logged and included as evidence.</u>

I strongly urge any more victims to either contact me, or come out about your experiences.
There are many more people without a voice.
The document was worked on from early April 2025, this file was created on May 8, 2025

Archive of the document [reuploaded Google Document] - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1QdX_WP33A7fdnzBEIchZyW-TFJsCJa4RW0n1G-U3mE0/edit?usp=sharing